



Science

ACTIVE POWER LOSS REDUCTION & STATIC VOLTAGE STABILITY MARGIN ENHANCEMENT BY AERIFORM NEBULA ALGORITHM

Dr.K.Lenin^{*1}

^{*1} Professor Prasad V. Potluri Siddhartha Institute of Technology Kanuru, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh -520007, India



Abstract

In this paper, Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been used for solving the optimal reactive power dispatch problem. Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is stirred from the deeds of cloud. ANA imitate the creation behavior, modify behavior and expand deeds of cloud. The projected Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been tested on standard IEEE 30 bus test system and simulation results shows clearly about the superior performance of the proposed Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) in reducing the real power loss and voltage stability has been enhanced.

Keywords: Optimal Reactive Power; Transmission Loss; Aeriform Nebula; Nature -Inspired Algorithm.

Cite This Article: Dr.K.Lenin. (2017). “ACTIVE POWER LOSS REDUCTION & STATIC VOLTAGE STABILITY MARGIN ENHANCEMENT BY AERIFORM NEBULA ALGORITHM.” *International Journal of Research - Granthaalayah*, 5(10), 375-389. <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v5.i10.2017.2316>.

1. Introduction

Main objective of the Optimal reactive power dispatch problem is to minimize the real power loss and to enhance the voltage stability index. A variety of numerical techniques like the gradient method [1-2], Newton method [3] and linear programming [4-7] have been adopted to solve the optimal reactive power dispatch problem. Both the gradient and Newton methods has the complexity in controlling inequality constraints. If linear programming is applied, then the input-output function has to be articulated as a set of linear functions which predominantly lead to loss of accuracy. The difficulty of voltage stability and fall down, play a major role in power system planning and operation [8]. Global optimization has received wide-ranging research responsiveness, and enormous number of methods has been applied to solve this problem. Evolutionary algorithms such as genetic algorithm have been already proposed to solve the reactive power flow problem [9,10]. Evolutionary algorithm is a heuristic approach used for minimization problems by utilizing nonlinear and non-differentiable incessant space functions. In [11], Genetic algorithm has been used to solve optimal reactive power flow problem. In [12],

Hybrid differential evolution algorithm is proposed to perk up the voltage stability index. In [13], Biogeography Based algorithm is planned to solve the reactive power dispatch problem. In [14], a fuzzy based method is used to solve the optimal reactive power scheduling method. In [15], an improved evolutionary programming is used to solve the optimal reactive power dispatch problem. In [16], the optimal reactive power flow problem is solved by integrating a genetic algorithm with a nonlinear interior point method. In [17], a pattern algorithm is used to solve an ac-dc optimal reactive power flow model with the generator capability limits. In [18], a two-step approach to evaluate Reactive power reserves with respect to operating constraints and voltage stability. In [19], a programming based proposed approach used to solve the optimal reactive power dispatch problem. In [20], presents a probabilistic algorithm for optimal reactive power requirement in hybrid electricity markets with uncertain loads. In this paper, Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been used for solving the Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch (ORPD) Problem. Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is stirred from the deeds of cloud. ANA imitate the creation behavior, modify behavior and expand deeds of cloud. In this algorithm a new - revolve round search method is presented. In which the entire population expand from the present optimal positions to the entire explore space in a cloud continuous existence pattern [21-25], as a replacement for clustering from all directions to the optimal position. This individual optimization method can produce the Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) to conserve elevated population assortment and stop the algorithm from fence into local optimal solution. The proposed Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been evaluated in standard IEEE 30 bus test system & the simulation results show that our proposed approach outperforms all reported algorithms in minimization of real power loss and voltage stability also enhanced.

2. Voltage Stability Evaluation

2.1. Modal Analysis For Voltage Stability Evaluation

Modal analysis is one among best methods for voltage stability enhancement in power systems. The steady state system power flow equations are given by.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta P \\ \Delta Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{p\theta} & J_{pv} \\ J_{q\theta} & J_{qv} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta\theta \\ \Delta V \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Where

ΔP = Incremental change in bus real power.

ΔQ = Incremental change in bus reactive Power injection

$\Delta\theta$ = incremental change in bus voltage angle.

ΔV = Incremental change in bus voltage Magnitude

$J_{p\theta}$, J_{pv} , $J_{q\theta}$, J_{qv} jacobian matrix are the sub-matrixes of the System voltage stability is affected by both P and Q.

To reduce (1), let $\Delta P = 0$, then.

$$\Delta Q = [J_{qv} - J_{q\theta} J_{p\theta}^{-1} J_{pv}] \Delta V = J_R \Delta V \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta V = J^{-1} - \Delta Q \quad (3)$$

Where

$$J_R = (J_{qv} - J_{q\theta} J_{p\theta}^{-1} J_{pv}) \quad (4)$$

J_R is called the reduced Jacobian matrix of the system.

2.2. Modes of Voltage instability

Voltage Stability characteristics of the system have been identified by computing the Eigen values and Eigen vectors.

Let

$$J_R = \xi \Lambda \eta \quad (5)$$

Where,

ξ = right eigenvector matrix of J_R

η = left eigenvector matrix of J_R

Λ = diagonal eigenvalue matrix of J_R and

$$J_{R^{-1}} = \xi \Lambda^{-1} \eta \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (8), we have

$$\Delta V = \xi \Lambda^{-1} \eta \Delta Q \quad (7)$$

or

$$\Delta V = \sum_i \frac{\xi_i \eta_i}{\lambda_i} \Delta Q \quad (8)$$

Where ξ_i is the i th column right eigenvector and η the i th row left eigenvector of J_R .

λ_i is the i th Eigen value of J_R .

The i th modal reactive power variation is,

$$\Delta Q_{mi} = K_i \xi_i \quad (9)$$

where,

$$K_i = \sum_j \xi_{ij}^2 - 1 \quad (10)$$

Where

ξ_{ji} is the j th element of ξ_i

The corresponding i th modal voltage variation is

$$\Delta V_{mi} = [1/\lambda_i] \Delta Q_{mi} \quad (11)$$

If $|\lambda_i| = 0$ then the i th modal voltage will collapse .

In (10), let $\Delta Q = e_k$ where e_k has all its elements zero except the k th one being 1. Then,

$$\Delta V = \sum_i \frac{\eta_{1k} \xi_i}{\lambda_i} \quad (12)$$

η_{1k} k th element of η_1

V –Q sensitivity at bus k

$$\frac{\partial V_K}{\partial Q_K} = \sum_i \frac{\eta_{1k} \xi_i}{\lambda_i} = \sum_i \frac{P_{ki}}{\lambda_i} \quad (13)$$

3. Problem Formulation

The objectives of the reactive power dispatch problem is to minimize the system real power loss and maximize the static voltage stability margins (SVSM).

3.1. Minimization of Real Power Loss

Minimization of the real power loss (Ploss) in transmission lines is mathematically stated as follows.

$$P_{\text{loss}} = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k (V_i^2 + V_j^2 - 2V_i V_j \cos \theta_{ij}) \quad (14)$$

Where n is the number of transmission lines, g_k is the conductance of branch k, V_i and V_j are voltage magnitude at bus i and bus j, and θ_{ij} is the voltage angle difference between bus i and bus j.

3.2. Minimization of Voltage Deviation

Minimization of the voltage deviation magnitudes (VD) at load buses is mathematically stated as follows.

$$\text{Minimize VD} = \sum_{k=1}^{nl} |V_k - 1.0| \quad (15)$$

Where nl is the number of load busses and V_k is the voltage magnitude at bus k.

3.3. System Constraints

Objective functions are subjected to these constraints shown below.

Load flow equality constraints:

$$P_{Gi} - P_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{nb} V_j \begin{bmatrix} G_{ij} & \cos \theta_{ij} \\ +B_{ij} & \sin \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, nb \quad (16)$$

$$Q_{Gi} - Q_{Di} - V_i \sum_{j=1}^{nb} V_j \begin{bmatrix} G_{ij} & \sin \theta_{ij} \\ +B_{ij} & \cos \theta_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, nb \quad (17)$$

where, nb is the number of buses, PG and QG are the real and reactive power of the generator, PD and QD are the real and reactive load of the generator, and G_{ij} and B_{ij} are the mutual conductance and susceptance between bus i and bus j.

Generator bus voltage (V_{Gi}) inequality constraint:

$$V_{Gi}^{\min} \leq V_{Gi} \leq V_{Gi}^{\max}, i \in ng \quad (18)$$

Load bus voltage (V_{Li}) inequality constraint:

$$V_{Li}^{\min} \leq V_{Li} \leq V_{Li}^{\max}, i \in nl \quad (19)$$

Switchable reactive power compensations (Q_{Ci}) inequality constraint:

$$Q_{Ci}^{\min} \leq Q_{Ci} \leq Q_{Ci}^{\max}, i \in nc \quad (20)$$

Reactive power generation (Q_{Gi}) inequality constraint:

$$Q_{Gi}^{\min} \leq Q_{Gi} \leq Q_{Gi}^{\max}, i \in ng \quad (21)$$

Transformers tap setting (T_i) inequality constraint:

$$T_i^{\min} \leq T_i \leq T_i^{\max}, i \in nt \quad (22)$$

Transmission line flow (SLi) inequality constraint:

$$S_{Li}^{\min} \leq S_{Li} \leq S_{Li}^{\max}, i \in nl \quad (23)$$

Where, n_c , n_g and n_t are numbers of the switchable reactive power sources, generators and transformers.

4. Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA)

Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is stirred from the deeds of cloud. ANA imitate the creation behavior, modify behavior and expand deeds of cloud. Clouds are anall over part of our planet. Because of the multifaceted generation procedure and the impermanence of transform, cloud has a multi-coloured look and ever-changing attribute. Basically the cloud is water droplets condensed by water vapour in the air, super cooled water droplets, ice crystals or a perceptible suspension in mixture of them. Convective clouds form when moist air is warmed and becomes buoyant. The air raises-haulage water vapour with it and expands, get cooled as it goes on. In view of the fact that the temperature and pressure of the air decrease, its saturation point, equilibrium point of evaporation and condensation has been reduced. When the water vapour content of the growing air becomes superior to its saturation point, then condensation occurs, which yield the miniature condensed cloud water particles. The water particles acquire jointly and hang in the air by the gather power of updraft, and form clouds what we observe in the sky. But stratus clouds often form, when oodles of warm and cool air combine due to lifting of the air over terrain. Although the generation process, self-motivated movement process, extend and revival processes of cloud are multifaceted in the nature, if we see just from a macroscopic view it can be easy: Firstly, the earth can be considered as a whole space simply composed of many disjoint areas, and every area has its own humidity value and air pressure value. According to universal information we know that area with higher humidity value would produce clouds with higher potential, and the air pressure dissimilarity between areas generates airflow and under the action of which the generated clouds would utter to the areas with low air pressure, and they might get together or extend according to the air pressure of close by areas in the shift process. By simulating the generation behaviour, shift behaviour and extend behaviour of cloud, this paper proposes a new optimization Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) for Solving optimal reactive power dispatch problem.

Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is stirred from the deeds of cloud. ANA imitate the creation behavior, modify behavior and expand deeds of cloud.

The process of Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is described as follows:

- i. The absolute search space is divided into numerous disjoint regions according to the specified rules, and every region has its own humidity value and air pressure value.
- ii. The performance of clouds should follow set of laws as scheduled below:
 - a. Clouds are able to be generated in regions whose dampness values are higher than one exact threshold.
 - b. Beneath the endeavour of wind, clouds move from regions with enhanced air pressure value to regions with lower air pressure value.
 - c. In the moving procedure, the droplets of one cloud would extend or acquire jointly according to the air pressure difference between the region where this cloud locate earlier than shift behaviour and region where cloud locates subsequent to shift behaviour.

- d. One cloud is regarded as departed, when its revelation exceeds a specific value or its droplets number is less than a specific value.
- iii. The humidity value and air pressure value of all regions are rationalized each time after the generation behaviour, shift behaviour and extend behaviour of clouds.

The metaphors of prime concepts in Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is as follows, Suppose U is the cosmos, the region is defined as subspace after the parting of U according to some system. Every dimension of U is divided into M small intervals

$$I_i = \frac{(u_i - l_i)}{M}, i = 1, 2, \dots, D \quad (24)$$

Where I_i is the length of interval in i th dimension, u_i and l_i express the upper boundary and lower boundary of i dimension respectively, D is the dimension. Then the total search space would be split into MD regions, and each of them meet the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} \bigcup_{i=1}^{M^D} U_i = U \\ U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset, \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, M^D\}, i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Cloud C is defined as a qualitative perception in U, and x is the stochastic execution of C, $x \in U$. Each x is called one cloud droplet, and the allocation of x in U is called cloud. In this paper the perception of cloud is described by the normal cloud model. So the qualitative feature of one cloud can be described by the three digital character (Ex, En, He) and the droplets number n, where Ex (Expected value), En (Entropy) and He (Hyper entropy) of one cloud articulate the centre position of cloud, the cover range of cloud and the thickness of cloud correspondingly. Presume there are m clouds in iteration t, the term of which is:

$$C^t = \{C_1^t, C_2^t, \dots, C_j^t, \dots, C_m^t\}. \quad (26)$$

The droplets numbers of clouds can be articulated as

$$n^t = \{n_1^t, n_2^t, \dots, n_j^t, \dots, n_m^t\}. \quad (27)$$

The droplets numbers of all clouds must convene the two properties listed below:

$$\begin{cases} n_j > dN, \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \sum_{j=1}^m n_j \leq N \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Where dN express the smallest value of the droplets number in one cloud, N express maximum value of droplets number in every iteration. For the communicative expediency the three digital characteristics (Ex, En, He) of one cloud is marked as $C \cdot Ex$, $C \cdot En$, $C \cdot He$. The droplets allocation of one cloud can be articulated as:

$$C(x) \sim N(C \cdot Ex, En^2) \quad (29)$$

Where $En' \sim N(C \cdot En, (C \cdot He)^2)$, $N(C \cdot En, (C \cdot He)^2)$ express criterion normal random variable when $C \cdot En$ indicates the prospect, $(C \cdot He)^2$ is the variance. Each region has its humidity value and air pressure value.

The dampness value of one region is defined as the best fitness value found in this region so far, the expression of which is

$$X_i^* = \arg \max_{x \in U_i} f(x), H_i f(X_i^*) \quad (30)$$

Where f is the objective function; x express the droplets which dropped into region U_i once, X_i^* indicates the position with the maximum fitness value and articulate the humidity value of region U_i .

The air pressure value of one region is defined as how much period this region has been searched, which is articulated as:

$$P_i = CNT(x \in U_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, M^D \quad (31)$$

Where CNT function is used to do the statistics of data meeting the obligation.

In the initialization segment, Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is chiefly to attain the region separation, the initialization of the dampness values and air pressure values of regions, and the limit settings, including threshold factor λ , contract factor ξ , weaken rate γ , . The initialization of the dampness value and the air pressure value of regions is accomplished by disperse the population in the search space arbitrarily and the humidity value and air pressure value of regions are initialized by Equations (30) and (31) correspondingly.

Based on the imaginary information of normal cloud model, we recognize that normal cloud can be generated when the three digital features (Ex, En, He) and droplets numbers are prearranged. In this paper, the influence of the super-entropy is ignored, so we assume He as constant and it is set as $He = 0.001$. Then there are three parameters required to be confirmed earlier than the generation process: (a) the regions where clouds can be generated, and then the middle position Ex can be established; (b) entropy En, which is used to settle on the exposure of one cloud; (c) the droplets figure, which determine the dimension of cloud.

In this paper, we presume that no more than the regions whose dampness values are superior to a threshold value can generate cloud.

The computational principle of the threshold value is expressed as follows:

$$Ht = H_{\min} + \lambda^* (H_{\max} - H_{\min}) \quad (32)$$

Where H_{\min} and H_{\max} express the minimum and maximum dampness values of the whole search space respectively; λ is threshold factor. Then the regions where clouds can be generated can be articulated by the set $R = \{i | H_i > Ht, i = 1, 2, \dots, M^D\}$. The value of λ determine the regions in which clouds can be generated and the droplets number of cloud recently generated. If λ is set

too large, the number of regions where clouds can be generated in is so little and that will cause enthrallment into local optimum of Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA). When λ is set too small, there will be too many regions that can generate clouds. This observable fact will go in opposition to the convergence of Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA). So the setting of λ is vital and we set it as $\lambda = 0.701$ in this paper.

For the cause of advancing the convergence precision in Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA), the paper presumes the initial entropy value of one cloud newly generated decrease with iterations. Firstly, the initial entropy value EnM_0 is defined as:

$$EnM_0 = \frac{I/M}{A} \quad (33)$$

Where I is the length of explore space; A determines the early coverage of the cloud. According to the 3En rule of normal cloud model, we set $A = 6$ in this paper, which means the cloud generated in the first time can cover one area approximately.

The value of EnM decreases in a non-linear model with iterations, the expression is given as,

$$EnM^t = EnM^0 \times \xi \quad (34)$$

Where ξ is contract factor $0 < \xi < 1$.

Presume the greatest number of droplets in the explore space at one time is a steady value N and the droplets numbers of clouds recently generated in diverse regions are related with the humidity values of these regions: upper humidity with more droplets, and lower humidity with fewer droplets.

The total number of droplets can be newly generated in current iteration marked as n_{New} , the expression of which is:

$$n_{New} = N - \sum_{j=1}^m n_j^t \quad (35)$$

Where N is the maximum value of droplets number in every iteration; m is the number of clouds existed in iteration t ; n_j^t is the droplets number of cloud C_j^t in the t th iteration. As mentioned in the explanation of cloud, the droplets number of one cloud must be greater than a steady value dN , or else it will be regarded as departed. So if the n_{New} calculated by Eq. (35) is less than dN , there is no cloud generated this time, if not we can then determine the droplets number of each cloud.

Presume that the set $R = \{i | H_i > Ht, i = 1, 2, \dots, M^D\}$ has k elements, the clouds newly generated are, $C_{m+1}^t, C_{m+2}^t, \dots, C_{m+j}^t, \dots, C_{m+k}^t$. The droplets number of cloud recently generated has a comparative relation with the humidity of regions in R , as given in Eq. (36).

$$n_{m+j}^t = \frac{H_{R(j)}}{\sum_{j=1}^k H_{R(j)}} \times n_{New} \quad (36)$$

Where $j = 1, 2, \dots, j$; $H_{R(j)}$ is the humidity value of region $R(j)$.

After calculating the droplets number of each cloud newly generated, check out all the values, if there is one or more $n_{m+j} < dN$, $0 < j \leq k$, remove the element with least humidity rate in R . Then recalculate the droplets number of each cloud until all the droplets numbers are superior than dN .

The numeral features of clouds newly generated can be expressed as

$$C_{m+j}^t \cdot Ex = X_{s(j)}^*, C_{m+j}^t \cdot En = EnM^t, C_{m+j}^t \cdot He = He, 0 < j \leq k. \quad (37)$$

Presume the region where the cloud c_j^t ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) locates is US, randomly select one region whose air pressure value is lower than U'_s as the target region UT. The pressure differentiation between US and UT is $\Delta P = P_s - P_T$. The update equation of cloud's location is expressed as:

$$C_j^{t+1} \cdot Ex = C_j^t \cdot Ex + \vec{V}_j^{t+1}, 0 < j \leq m \quad (38)$$

The shift velocity of cloud can be expressed as follows,

$$\vec{V}_j^{t+1} = \vec{e} \times 6 \times C_j^t \cdot En \quad (39)$$

Where \vec{e} expresses the direction of movement and \vec{e} can be calculated as follows,

$$\vec{e} = \frac{(1-\beta) \times \vec{V}_j^t + \beta \times (X_T^* - C_j^t \cdot Ex)}{\|(1-\beta) \times \vec{V}_j^t + \beta \times (X_T^* - C_j^t \cdot Ex)\|} \quad (40)$$

Where β is air pressure factor and can be calculated as $\beta = \frac{\Delta p}{P_{\max} - P_{\min}}$ (41)

Where P_{\max} is the maximum air pressure differentiation of the search space so far and P_{\min} is the least amount one. The value of β indicates the influence degree of air pressure difference on the move velocity of cloud; the fitness value of X_T^* indicates the humidity value within the region UT.

Due to the disappearance or collide between clouds in the move process the energy of cloud would reduce, so this paper propose a perception of weaken rate γ , which means the droplets number of each cloud will decrease $\gamma \times 100\%$ after each iteration.

The update expression of droplets number is:

$$n_j^{t+1} = n_j^t \times (1 - \gamma) \quad 0 < j \leq m \quad (42)$$

If the droplets number of cloud is less than dN after this step, it is regarded as degenerate. The γ is mainly used to determine the moved out speed of clouds, and the set of it is very important. Because a large value of γ will lead to the diminishing of clouds before they left far away from the regions where they are generated, the global explore ability of Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) will be appalling; while when the value of γ is very small, the sustained continuation time

of cloud will be so long that the number of clouds newly generated will be too few, which may put shortcoming to the convergence of Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA). In this paper we put $\gamma = 0.20$.

Presume the region where the cloud c_j^t ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) locates is US, and the region where cloud will locate after the move process is UT. If $UT \neq US$, the extend swiftness of cloud is expressed as:

$$C_j^{t+1} \cdot En = C_j^t \cdot En \times (1 + \alpha) \quad (43)$$

Where α is extend factor and it can be designed as,

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta P}{P_{\max}} \quad (44)$$

Where P_{\max} is the maximum air pressure difference in the search space; $\Delta P = P_S - P_T$ is the pressure difference between US and UT. If $UT = US$, the cloud C_j^t will extend according to the greatest pressure difference between US and tangential regions, the extend expression is as same as Eq. (43). Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) updates the dampness values and air pressure values of all regions every time after the generation process of cloud, the cloud's shift process and extend process.

4.1. Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) for Solving Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch Problem

- a. Start
- b. Initialization
- c. Generation of Cloud
- d. Update The Humidity Value And Air Pressure Value of Region
- e. The Shift Behaviour of Cloud
- f. The Extend Behaviour of Cloud
- g. Update The Humidity Value And Air Pressure Value of Region
- h. End of Loop? If Yes End or Go To Step c

5. Simulation Results

The efficiency of the proposed Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is demonstrated by testing it on standard IEEE-30 bus system. The IEEE-30 bus system has 6 generator buses, 24 load buses and 41 transmission lines of which four branches are (6-9), (6-10), (4-12) and (28-27) - are with the tap setting transformers. The lower voltage magnitude limits at all buses are 0.95 p.u. and the upper limits are 1.1 for all the PV buses and 1.05 p.u. for all the PQ buses and the reference bus. The simulation results have been presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 & 4. And in the Table 5 shows the proposed algorithm powerfully reduces the real power losses when compared to other given algorithms. The optimal values of the control variables along with the minimum loss obtained are given in Table 1. Corresponding to this control variable setting, it was found that there are no limit violations in any of the state variables.

Table 1: Results of ANA – ORPD optimal control variables

Control variables	Variable setting
V1	1.042
V2	1.043
V5	1.044
V8	1.031
V11	1.000
V13	1.031
T11	1.00
T12	1.00
T15	1.00
T36	1.01
Qc10	2
Qc12	2
Qc15	2
Qc17	0
Qc20	2
Qc23	2
Qc24	3
Qc29	2
Real power loss	4.2712
SVSM	0.2476

Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch (ORPD) problem together with voltage stability constraint problem was handled in this case as a multi-objective optimization problem where both power loss and maximum voltage stability margin of the system were optimized simultaneously. Table 2 indicates the optimal values of these control variables. Also it is found that there are no limit violations of the state variables. It indicates the voltage stability index has increased from 0.2476 to 0.2489, an advance in the system voltage stability. To determine the voltage security of the system, contingency analysis was conducted using the control variable setting obtained in case 1 and case 2. The Eigen values equivalents to the four critical contingencies are given in Table 3. From this result it is observed that the Eigen value has been improved considerably for all contingencies in the second case.

Table 2: Results of ANA -Voltage Stability Control Reactive Power Dispatch (VSCRPD)
Optimal Control Variables

Control Variables	Variable Setting
V1	1.048
V2	1.047
V5	1.049
V8	1.034
V11	1.005
V13	1.038
T11	0.090
T12	0.090
T15	0.090

T36	0.090
Qc10	3
Qc12	2
Qc15	2
Qc17	3
Qc20	0
Qc23	2
Qc24	2
Qc29	3
Real power loss	4.9886
SVSM	0.2489

Table 3: Voltage Stability under Contingency State

Sl.No	Contingency	ORPD Setting	VSCRPD Setting
1	28-27	0.1419	0.1434
2	4-12	0.1642	0.1650
3	1-3	0.1761	0.1772
4	2-4	0.2022	0.2043

Table 4: Limit Violation Checking Of State Variables

State variables	Limits		ORPD	VSCRPD
	Lower	Upper		
Q1	-20	152	1.3422	-1.3269
Q2	-20	61	8.9900	9.8232
Q5	-15	49.92	25.920	26.001
Q8	-10	63.52	38.8200	40.802
Q11	-15	42	2.9300	5.002
Q13	-15	48	8.1025	6.033
V3	0.95	1.05	1.0372	1.0392
V4	0.95	1.05	1.0307	1.0328
V6	0.95	1.05	1.0282	1.0298
V7	0.95	1.05	1.0101	1.0152
V9	0.95	1.05	1.0462	1.0412
V10	0.95	1.05	1.0482	1.0498
V12	0.95	1.05	1.0400	1.0466
V14	0.95	1.05	1.0474	1.0443
V15	0.95	1.05	1.0457	1.0413
V16	0.95	1.05	1.0426	1.0405
V17	0.95	1.05	1.0382	1.0396
V18	0.95	1.05	1.0392	1.0400
V19	0.95	1.05	1.0381	1.0394
V20	0.95	1.05	1.0112	1.0194
V21	0.95	1.05	1.0435	1.0243
V22	0.95	1.05	1.0448	1.0396

V23	0.95	1.05	1.0472	1.0372
V24	0.95	1.05	1.0484	1.0372
V25	0.95	1.05	1.0142	1.0192
V26	0.95	1.05	1.0494	1.0422
V27	0.95	1.05	1.0472	1.0452
V28	0.95	1.05	1.0243	1.0283
V29	0.95	1.05	1.0439	1.0419
V30	0.95	1.05	1.0418	1.0397

Table 5: Comparison of Real Power Loss

Method	Minimum loss
Evolutionary programming [26]	5.0159
Genetic algorithm [27]	4.665
Real coded GA with Lindex as SVSM [28]	4.568
Real coded genetic algorithm [29]	4.5015
Proposed ANA method	4.2712

6. Conclusion

In this paper, the Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been productively solved the Optimal reactive power dispatch problem. The key advantages of the Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) to the problem are optimization of different type of objective function, real coding of both continuous discrete control variables & without any difficulty of handling nonlinear constraints. Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) is stirred from the deeds of cloud. ANA imitate the creation behavior, modify behavior and expand deeds of cloud. The projected Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) has been tested on standard IEEE 30 bus test system and simulation results shows clearly about the superior performance of the proposed Aeriform Nebula Algorithm (ANA) in reducing the real power loss and voltage stability has been enhanced.

References

- [1] O.Alsac, B. Scott, "Optimal load flow with steady state security", IEEE Transaction. PAS -1973, pp. 745-751.
- [2] Lee K Y , Paru Y M , Oritz J L –A united approach to optimal real and reactive power dispatch , IEEE Transactions on power Apparatus and systems 1985: PAS-104 : 1147-1153
- [3] A. Monticelli , M .V.F Pereira ,and S. Granville , "Security constrained optimal power flow with post contingency corrective rescheduling" , IEEE Transactions on Power Systems :PWRS-2, No. 1, pp.175-182.,1987.
- [4] Deeb N, Shahidehpur S.M, Linear reactive power optimization in a large power network using the decomposition approach. IEEE Transactions on power system 1990: 5(2) : 428-435
- [5] E. Hobson ,'Network consrained reactive power control using linear programming, ' IEEE Transactions on power systems PAS -99 (4) ,pp 868=877, 1980
- [6] K.Y Lee, Y.M Park, and J.L Oritz, "Fuel –cost optimization for both real and reactive power dispatches", IEE Proc; 131C,(3), pp.85-93.

- [7] M.K. Mangoli, and K.Y. Lee, “Optimal real and reactive power control using linear programming”, *Electr.Power Syst.Res*, Vol.26, pp.1-10,1993.
- [8] C.A. Canizares, A.C.Z.de Souza and V.H. Quintana , “ Comparison of performance indices for detection of proximity to voltage collapse ,” vol. 11. no.3, pp.1441-1450, Aug 1996 .
- [9] K.Anburaja, “Optimal power flow using refined genetic algorithm”, *Electr. Power Compon.Syst* , Vol. 30, 1055-1063,2002.
- [10] D. Devaraj, and B. Yeganarayana, “Genetic algorithm based optimal power flow for security enhancement”, *IEE proc-Generation. Transmission and. Distribution*; 152, 6 November 2005.
- [11] A. Berizzi, C. Bovo, M. Merlo, and M. Delfanti, “A ga approach to compare or pf objective functions including secondary voltage regulation,”*Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 187 – 194, 2012.
- [12] C.-F. Yang, G. G. Lai, C.-H.Lee, C.-T. Su, and G. W. Chang, “Optimal setting of reactive compensation devices with an improved voltage stability index for voltage stability enhancement,” *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 50 – 57,2012.
- [13] P. Roy, S. Ghoshal, and S. Thakur, “Optimal var control for improvements in voltage profiles and for real power loss minimization using biogeography based optimization,” *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 830 – 838, 2012.
- [14] B. Venkatesh, G. Sadasivam, and M. Khan, “A new optimal reactive power scheduling method for loss minimization and voltage stability margin maximization using successive multi-objective fuzzy lp technique ,”*IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 844 –851, may 2000.
- [15] W. Yan, S. Lu, and D. Yu, “A novel optimal reactive power dispatch method based on an improved hybrid evolutionary programming technique ,”*IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 913 –918, may 2004.
- [16] W. Yan, F. Liu, C. Chung, and K. Wong, “A hybrid genetic algorithm interior point method for optimal reactive power flow,” *IEEE Transaction son Power Systems*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1163 – 1169, Aug. 2006.
- [17] J. Yu, W. Yan, W. Li, C. Chung, and K. Wong, “An unfixed piece wise optimal reactive power-flow model and its algorithm for ac-dc systems ,”*IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 170 –176, feb.2008.
- [18] F. Capitanescu, “Assessing reactive power reserves with respect to operating constraints and voltage stability,” *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 2224–2234, Nov. 2011.
- [19] Z. Hu, X. Wang, and G. Taylor, “Stochastic optimal reactive power dispatch: Formulation and solution method,” *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 615 – 621,2010.
- [20] A. Kargarian, M. Raoofat, and M. Mohammadi, “Probabilistic reactive power procurement in hybrid electricity markets with uncertain loads,” *Electric Power Systems Research*, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 68 – 80, 2012.
- [21] M. J. Harris and W. V. Baxter, Thrsten Scheuermann, *Simulation of Cloud Dynamics on Graphics Hardware*, in *Proc. ACM SIGGRAPH/EUROGRAPHICS Conf. Graphics Hardware* (San Diego, 2003), pp. 92_101.
- [22] L. Deyi and L. Changyu, Study on the universality of the normal cloud model, *Eng. Sci.6* (2004) 28_34 (in Chinese).
- [23] L. Deyi, L. Changyu and G. Wenyan, Proof of the heavy-tailed property of normal cloud model, *Eng. Sci.* 13(2011) 20_23 (in Chinese).
- [24] L. Changyu, L. Deyi and P. Lili, Uncertain knowledge representation based on cloud model, *Comput. Eng. Appl.* 40(2004) 32_35 (in Chinese).

- [25] Gao-Wei Yan And Zhan-Ju Hao A Novel Optimization Algorithm Based On Atmosphere Clouds Model ,International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Applications Vol. 12, No. 1 (2013) 1350002.
- [26] Wu Q H, Ma J T. “Power system optimal reactive power dispatch using evolutionary programming”, IEEE Transactions on power systems 1995; 10(3): 1243-1248 .
- [27] S. Durairaj, D. Devaraj, P.S.Kannan, “Genetic algorithm applications to optimal reactive power dispatch with voltage stability enhancement”, IE (I) Journal-EL Vol 87, September 2006.
- [28] D.Devaraj, “Improved genetic algorithm for multi – objective reactive power dispatch problem”, European Transactions on electrical power 2007; 17: 569-581.
- [29] P. Aruna Jeyanthi and Dr. D. Devaraj “Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch for Voltage Stability Enhancement Using Real Coded Genetic Algorithm”, International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 4, August, 2010 1793-8163.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gklenin@ gmail.com