



DEVELOPMENT OF LOVEJOY AND OSBURN'S OVERPARTITION FUNCTIONS

Nil Ratan Bhattacharjee^{*1}, Sabuj Das²

^{*1}Professor of Mathematics, University of Chittagong, BANGLADESH

²Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, Raozan University College, BANGLADESH

*Correspondence Author: sabujdas.ctg@gmail.com



Abstract:

In 2008, Lovejoy and Osburn defined the generating function for $\overline{P}(n)$. In 2009, Byungchan Kim defined the generating function for $P_2(n)$. This paper shows how to discuss the generating functions for $\overline{P}(n)$ and $P_2(n)$. Byungchan Kim also defined $P_k(n)$ with increasing relation and overpartition congruences mod 4, 8 and 64. In 2006, Berndt found the relation $d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n)$ has two values with certain restrictions and various formulae by the common term $\sigma(n)$. This paper shows how to prove the four Theorems about overpartitions modulo 8. These Theorems satisfy the arithmetic properties of the overpartition function modulo 8.

Keywords:

Convenience, congruent, modulo 8, prime factorizations, parity.

Cite This Article: Sabuj Das, and Nil Ratan Bhattacharjee, "Development of Lovejoy And Osburn's Overpartition Functions." *International Journal of Research – Granthaalayah*, Vol. 3, No. 1(2015): 41-49. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v3.i1.2015.3052>.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we give some related definitions of overpartition, $\omega(\lambda)$, $P_k(n)$, $d(n)$, $d_{i,4}(n)$, $\sigma(n)$ and $\chi(n)$. We discuss the generating functions for $\overline{P}(n)$ and $P_2(n)$. We analyze

various relations $\overline{P}(n) = \sum_k 2^k p_k(n)$, $\overline{P}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\overline{P}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$,

$\overline{P}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$,

$$d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = \begin{cases} (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1), & \text{if } s_i \text{'s are even integers,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$\overline{P}(n) \equiv 2d_{1,4}(n) - 2d_{3,4}(n) - 2\chi(n) - 2\sigma(n) + 4d(n) \pmod{8}$,

$$\sigma(n) = (2^{a+1} - 1) \prod_i \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_i} p_i^m \right) \prod_j \left(\sum_{m=0}^{s_j} q_j^m \right), \text{ and } \sigma(n) \equiv \begin{cases} (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4} & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 3(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$



respectively. We prove the four Theorems about overpartitions modulo 8 with certain conditions of n.

2. SOME RELATED DEFINITIONS

Overpartition: An overpartition of n is a partition of n in which the first occurrence of a part may be overlined. Let $\overline{P}(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of an integer n. For convenience, define

$\overline{P}(0)=1$. For example

n	$\overline{P}(n)$
1 : 1, $\overline{1}$	2
2 : 2, $\overline{2}$, 1+1, $\overline{1}$ +1	4
3 : 3, $\overline{3}$, 2+1, $\overline{2}$ +1, 2+ $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$ + $\overline{1}$, 1+1+1, $\overline{1}$ +1+1	8
4 : 4, $\overline{4}$, 3+1, $\overline{3}$ +1, 3+ $\overline{1}$, $\overline{3}$ + $\overline{1}$, 2+2, $\overline{2}$ +2, 2+1+1, $\overline{2}$ +1+1, 2+ $\overline{1}$ +1, $\overline{2}$ + $\overline{1}$ +1, 1+1+1+1, $\overline{1}$ +1+1+1	14
...	...

Similarly we get;

$\overline{P}(5)= 24, \overline{P}(6)= 40, \overline{P}(7)= 64,...$

$\omega(\lambda)$: An ordinary partition λ , there are $2^{\omega(\lambda)}$ distinct overpartitions, where $\omega(\lambda)$ is the number of distinct parts in λ . For example if $\lambda = 2+1+1$; $\omega(\lambda) = 2$, there are four overpartitions [$2+1+1, \overline{2}+1+1, 2+\overline{1}+1, \overline{2}+\overline{1}+1$] then. $2^{\omega(\lambda)} = 2^2 = 4$.

$P_k(n)$ [Byungchan Kim(2009)] : The number of partitions of n such that the number of distinct parts is exactly k. For example $P_2(6)= 6$ since there are six partitions like 5+1, 4+2, 4+1+1, 3+1+1+1, 2+2+1+1, 2+1+1+1+1.

$d(n)$: The number of the divisors of n.

$d_{i,4}(n)$ [Alladi (1997)] :The number of the divisors of n which are congruent to i modulo 4.

$\sigma(n)$:The sum of the divisors of n.

$\chi(n)$: The term is defined by $\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{where n is a square of an integer,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

For example, $\chi(6) = 0, \chi(9) = 1,.....$

The generating function [Byungchan Kim(2009)] for $\overline{P}(n)$ is given by



$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+x^n)}{(1-x^n)} &= \frac{(1+x)(1+x^2)(1+x^3)\dots}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\dots} \\ &= (1+x+x^2+2x^3+2x^4+3x^5+\dots)(1+x+x^2+3x^3+5x^4+\dots) \\ &= 1+2x+3x^2+8x^3+14x^4+24x^5+40x^6+64x^7+\dots \\ &= \bar{P}(0) + \bar{P}(1)x + \bar{P}(2)x^2 + \bar{P}(3)x^3 + \bar{P}(4)x^4 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}(n)x^n. \end{aligned}$$

The generating function [Byungchan Kim(2009)] for $P_2(n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x^k}{1-x^k}\right)^2 - \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{x^k}{1-x^k}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{1-x} + \frac{x^2}{1-x^2} + \dots\right)^2 - \left[\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{x^2}{1-x^2}\right)^2 + \dots\right] \\ &= 2 \cdot \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{x^2}{1-x^2} + 2 \cdot \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{x^3}{1-x^3} + 2 \cdot \frac{x}{1-x} \cdot \frac{x^4}{1-x^4} + \dots \\ &= 2x^3(1+x+x^2+\dots)(1+x^2+\dots) + 2x^4(1+x+\dots)(1+x^3+\dots) + \dots \\ &= 2x^3 + 4x^4 + 10x^5 + \dots \\ &= 2P_2(3)x^3 + 2P_2(4)x^4 + 2P_2(5)x^5 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} 2P_2(n)x^n. \text{ For convenience } P_2(1) = 0 \text{ and } P_2(2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. VARIOUS RELATIONS ABOUT OVERPARTITIONS

A) If $n = 6$, $\bar{P}(6) = 40$, $P_1(6) = 4$ (like : 6, 3+3, 2+2+2, 1+1+1+1+1+1), $P_2(6) = 6$, and $P_3(6) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore 2P_1(6) + 2^2 P_2(6) + 2^3 P_3(6) &= 2 \cdot 4 + 4 \cdot 6 + 8 \cdot 1 \\ &= 8 + 24 + 8 = 40 = \bar{P}(6) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \bar{P}(6) = 2P_1(6) + 2^2 P_2(6) + 2^3 P_3(6).$$

So we can write $\bar{P}(n) = \sum_k 2^k P_k(n)$ [Andrews (1967)].

Reducing this modulo 8, we obtain $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2P_1(n) + 2^2 P_2(n) \pmod{8}$, it is seen that $P_1(n) = d(n)$, when $d(n)$ is the number of the divisors of n including 1 and n .

B) We get;



$$\bar{P}(2) = 4, \bar{P}(5) = 24, \dots \text{ i.e., } \bar{P}(2) = 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \bar{P}(3+2) = 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \dots$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(3n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

C) We get;

$$\bar{P}(3) = 8, \bar{P}(7) = 64, \dots \text{ i.e. } \bar{P}(3) = 8 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \bar{P}(4+3) = 64 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(4n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

D) We get;

$$\bar{P}(7) = 64, \bar{P}(15) = 1408, \dots \text{ i.e. } \bar{P}(7) = 64 \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \bar{P}(8+7) = 1408 \equiv 0 \pmod{64}, \dots$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(8n+7) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$. [Lovejoy et al (2008)]

E) Let $n = 2^a p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k} q_1^{s_1} \dots q_l^{s_l}$,

If $d_{i,4}(n)$ is the number of the divisors which are congruent to i modulo 4.

Now if $n = 9 = 3^2 = 3^{s_1}$ when $s_1 = 2$ is the even integer

$$\therefore d_{1,4}(9) = 2, d_{3,4}(9) = 1, \text{ then } d_{1,4}(9) - d_{3,4}(9) = 2 - 1 = 1.$$

Again if $n = 6 = 2 \cdot 3 = 2^a \cdot 3^{s_1}$ when $a=1$ and $s_1 = 1$

$$\therefore d_{1,4}(6) = 1, d_{3,4}(6) = 1,$$

$$\text{Then } d_{1,4}(6) - d_{3,4}(6) = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

We can conclude that if n has the prime factorization $2^a p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k} q_1^{s_1} \dots q_l^{s_l}$, where the p_i 's are primes congruent to 1 modulo 4 and q_j 's are primes congruent to 3 modulo 4, then

$$d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = \begin{cases} (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1), & \text{if } s_i \text{'s are even integers} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise [Fortin et al (2005)].} \end{cases}$$

F) We get; $d_{1,4}(9) = 2$ (like, the divisors are 1 and 9)

$$d_{3,4}(9) = 1, \text{ (like, the divisor is 3)}$$

Now we get; $2 d_{1,4}(9) - 2 d_{3,4}(9) - 2\chi(9) - 2\sigma(9) + 4d(n)$

$$= 2 \times 2 - 2 \times 1 - 2 \times 1 - 2 \times 13 + 4 \times 3$$

$$= -14 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \text{ but } \bar{P}(9) = 154 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}.$$

$$\therefore \bar{P}(9) \equiv 2 d_{1,4}(9) - 2 d_{3,4}(9) - 2\chi(9) - 2\sigma(9) + 4d(9) \pmod{9}.$$

We can conclude that, $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 d_{1,4}(n) - 2 d_{3,4}(n) - 2\chi(n) - 2\sigma(n) + 4d(n) \pmod{8}$. [Byungchan Kim(2009)]

G) If $n = 10 = 2 \cdot 5 = 2^a 5^{r_1}$ where $a = 1$ and $r_1 = 1$

$$\therefore \sigma(10) = (2^2 - 1)(5^0 + 5^1) \text{ but } \sigma(10) = \frac{2^2 - 1}{2 - 1} \cdot \frac{5^2 - 1}{5 - 1}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 3(1+5) &&= \frac{3}{1} \cdot \frac{24}{4} \\
 &= 18 &&= 18
 \end{aligned}$$

We can conclude that

$$\sigma(n) = (2^{a+1} - 1) \prod_i \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_i} p_i^m \right) \prod_j \left(\sum_{m=0}^{s_j} q_j^m \right). \text{ [Andrews (1967)]}$$

H) We get, $n = 9 = 3^2 = 2^a \cdot 3^{s_1}$ where $a = 0$ and $s_1 = 2$

$$\sigma(9) = \frac{3^2 - 1}{3 - 1} = \frac{26}{2} = 13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = (0+1) \equiv (r_1 + 1) \pmod{4},$$

again if $n = 10 = 2 \cdot 5 = 2^a \cdot 5^{s_1}$ where $a = 1$ and $r_1 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(10) &= \frac{2^2 - 1}{2 - 1} \cdot \frac{5^2 - 1}{5 - 1} = 3 \cdot \frac{24}{4} = 18 \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \equiv 6 \pmod{4} = 3 \cdot 2 = 3(1+1) \\
 &\equiv 3(r_1 + 1) \pmod{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can write that

$$\sigma(n) \begin{cases} \equiv (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4} & \text{if } a = 0 \\ \equiv 3(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4}, & \text{otherwise. [Fortin et al (2005)]} \end{cases}$$

4. THEOREM

Let n be an integer, then

- 1) $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, where n is not a square of an odd integer or an even integer and is not a double of a square.
- 2) $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, if n is a square of an odd integer.
- 3) $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, if n is a double of a square
- 4) $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, if n is a square of an integer.

Proof: From above we get;

$$\chi(n) \begin{cases} \neq 1, & \text{when } n \text{ is a square of an integer,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2(d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n)) - 2\chi(n) - 2\sigma(n) + 4d(n) \pmod{8}, \dots (1)$$

where, $d_{i,4}(n)$ is the number of the divisors which are congruent to i modulo 4.

Now we will consider the three cases according to the parity of r_i and s_j

Case 1: There is an s_j that is odd and r_i is any integer, then

$$d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = 0 \quad \chi(n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

From (1), we get



$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n)) - 2\chi(n) - 2\sigma(n) + 4d(n) \pmod{8},$$

$$\equiv 0 - 2 \times 0 - 2\sigma(n) + 0 \pmod{8}$$

$$\text{or } \bar{P}(n) \equiv -2\sigma(n) \pmod{8} \dots(2)$$

[since if $n = 6 = 2 \cdot 3 = 2^a \cdot 3^{s_1}$ where $a = 1$ and s_1 in an odd integer, then

$$d_{1,4}(6) - d_{3,4}(6) = 0 \text{ and } \chi(6) = 0 \text{ where } 6 \text{ is not a square,}$$

$$\text{and } d(6) = d(2 \cdot 3) = (1+1)(1+1) = 4$$

$$\therefore 4d(6) = 4 \cdot 4 = 16 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}].$$

From relation G) we get;

$$\sigma(n) = (2^{a+1} - 1) \prod_i \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_i} p_i^m \right) \prod_j \left(\sum_{m=0}^{s_j} q_j^m \right) \text{ [Berndt(2006)]}$$

$$\text{[since } s_j \text{'s are odd integers, so } \sum_{m=0}^{s_j} q_j^m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}].$$

$$\therefore \sigma(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 2\sigma(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}].$$

From (2) we can conclude that $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ for such n .

Case 2: All s_j 's are even and there is an r_i that is odd.

Then, $d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1)$, $\chi(n) = 0$ where n is not a square and

$$4d(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

From (1) we get;

$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) - 2\sigma(n) \pmod{8} \dots (3)$$

$$\text{[since if } n = 5 \cdot 3^2 = 45 \text{ } d(45) = d(5 \cdot 3^2) = (1+1) \cdot (2+1) = 2 \cdot 3 = 6$$

$$\therefore 4d(45) = 4 \cdot 6 = 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}].$$

and $\sigma(n) \equiv (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4}$, where s_j 's are even r_i 's are odd and $a = 0$.

From (3), we can conclude that

$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, where n is not a square of an odd integer or an even integer and is not a double of a square. Hence the Theorem 1 .

[Numerical example 1: If n is not a square of an odd integer or an even integer and is not a double of a square. We get; $\bar{P}(3) = 8$, $\bar{P}(5) = 24$, ...

$$\therefore \bar{P}(3) = 8 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \bar{P}(5) = 24 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \dots$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, for such n .]

Case 3: All the r_i 's and s_j 's are even.

Suppose that a is 0. Then n is a square.

By (1) we deduce that



$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) - 2 - 2 \sigma(n) + 4 \pmod{8} \dots (4)$$

[since $d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1)$ where s_j 's are even and $\chi(n) = 1$ where n is a square of an integer, $d(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and also, $\sigma(n) \equiv (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4}$ where r_i 's and s_j 's are even and also $a = 0$]

From (4) we get; $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) + 2 - 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{8}$.

$\therefore \bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, when n is a square of an odd integer. Hence the Theorem 2 .

[Numerical example 2: If n is not a square of an odd integer,

We get; $\bar{P}(1) = 2$, $\bar{P}(9) = 154$, ...

$$\therefore \bar{P}(1) = 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \bar{P}(9) = 154 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}, \dots$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, for such n .]

Suppose that a is odd. Then n is a double of square.

From (1) we get;

$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) - 2 \sigma(n) \pmod{8} \text{ [Berndt(2006)]}.$$

[since $d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1)$ where r_i 's and s_j 's are even integers.

$\chi(n) = 0$, where n is not a square of an integer.

If $n = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2$

$$\therefore d(n) = (1+1) \cdot (2+1) \cdot (2+1) = 18$$

$$\therefore 4d(n) = 4 \cdot 18 = 72 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$$

$$\therefore \bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) - 2 \cdot 3 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{8}$$

[since $\sigma(n) \equiv 3 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4}$, where a is not zero]

$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv -4 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{8}$$

$$\equiv 4 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{8}$$

$$\equiv 4 \pmod{8}.$$

[since r_i 's and s_j 's are even integers so, $(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$] [Fortin et al

(2005)]

$\therefore \bar{P}(n) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, when n is a double of a square. Hence the Theorem 3 .

[Numerical example 3: If n is a double of a square. We get; $\bar{P}(2) = 4$, $\bar{P}(8) = 100$, ...

$$\therefore \bar{P}(2) = 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, \bar{P}(8) = 100 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}, \dots$$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, for such n .]

Suppose that a is even. Then n is a square of an even integer.

From (1) we get; $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) - 2 - 2 \sigma(n) + 4 \pmod{8}$



[since $d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1)$ where r_i 's and s_j 's are even integers, $\chi(n) = 1$, where n is a square of an integer and $d(n) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$].

or
$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) + 2 - 2 \cdot 3 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{8}$$

[since $\sigma(n) \equiv 3 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4}$, where $a \neq 0$]

or
$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv -4 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) + 2 \pmod{8}$$

$$\equiv 4 (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) + 2 \pmod{8}$$

$$\equiv 4 \cdot 1 + 2 \pmod{8}$$

[since r_i 's and s_j 's are even integers so $(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$].

$\therefore \bar{P}(n) \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, when n is a square of an even integer. Hence the Theorem 4 .

[Numerical example 4: If n is a square of an even integer. We get; $\bar{P}(4) = 14, \dots$

$\therefore \bar{P}(4) = 14 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}, \dots$

We can conclude that $\bar{P}(n) \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, for such n .]

5. CONCLUSION

In this study we have analyzed various relations $\bar{P}(n) = \sum_k 2^k p_k(n)$, $\bar{P}(3n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,

$\bar{P}(4n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, $\bar{P}(8n + 7) \equiv 0 \pmod{64}$,

$$d_{1,4}(n) - d_{3,4}(n) = \begin{cases} (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1), & \text{if } s_i \text{'s are even integers,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
,

$$\bar{P}(n) \equiv 2d_{1,4}(n) - 2d_{3,4}(n) - 2\chi(n) - 2\sigma(n) + 4d(n) \pmod{8}$$
,

$$\sigma(n) = (2^{a+1} - 1) \prod_i \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r_i} p_i^m \right) \prod_j \left(\sum_{m=0}^{s_j} q_j^m \right)$$
, and $\sigma(n) \equiv \begin{cases} (r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4} & \text{if } a = 0 \\ 3(r_1 + 1) \dots (r_k + 1) \pmod{4} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$

Respectively with the help of numerical examples. We have verified the four Theorems about overpartitions modulo 8 with numerical examples.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is a great pleasure to express my sincerest gratitude to our respected Professor Md. Fazlee Hossain, Department of Mathematics, University of Chittagong, Bangladesh.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Andrews G.E., Enumerative proofs of certain q -identities, *Glasg. Math.J.B*(1967)33-40
 [2] Alladi K., A fundamental invariant in the theory of partitions, in: *Topics in Number Theory*



-
- (University Park, PA, 1997), Kluwer Acad, Pubi, Dordrecht, 1999, pp.101-113
- [3] Byungchan Kim, A short note on the overpartition function, *Discrete Mathematics* 309,(2009), 2528-2532.
- [4] Berndt B.C., *Number Theory in the Spirit of Ramanujan*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [5] Fortin J.F., Jacob P., Mathieu P., *Jagged partitions*, *Ramanujan J.* 10 (2005) 215-235.
- [6] Lovejoy J. and Osburn R. ,*Rank differences for overpartitions*, *Q.J.Math.* 59(2), 257-273,2008.