

Original Article

CLIMATE CHANGE AND BIODIVERSITY LOSS: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE TRIPLE PLANETARY CRISIS

Richa Bansal ^{1*}

¹ Assistant Professor, Government College for Girls, Patiala, India



ABSTRACT

Climate change and biodiversity loss constitute two interdependent dimensions of the contemporary environmental emergency, increasingly conceptualized within the framework of the “triple planetary crisis,” alongside pollution¹. This paper critically examines the reciprocal relationship between climate change and biodiversity loss, emphasizing their cumulative impacts on ecosystem stability, human well-being, and sustainable development. Drawing on recent peer-reviewed literature and global environmental assessment reports, the study synthesizes evidence on how climate-induced stressors—such as rising temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and extreme climatic events—accelerate biodiversity decline across terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems². Conversely, biodiversity degradation weakens ecosystem resilience and undermines natural climate regulation mechanisms, including carbon sequestration³. Using a qualitative integrative methodology, the paper argues that fragmented governance structures limit effective responses to interconnected planetary crises. The study concludes that integrated, ecosystem-based strategies are essential for addressing climate change and biodiversity loss simultaneously.

Keywords: Climate Change, Biodiversity Loss, Triple Planetary Crisis, Ecosystem Resilience, Environmental Governance, Anthropocene

¹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2023. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2023. This report provides the most authoritative scientific assessment of climate change, including observed trends, future risks, and mitigation pathways.

² Pörtner, Hans-Otto, et al. “Climate Change Impacts on Biodiversity and Ecosystems.” *Science*, vol. 374, no. 6571, 2021. This study examines how climate change affects biodiversity across terrestrial, freshwater, and marine ecosystems.

³ Le Quéré, Corinne, et al. “Global Carbon Budget 2023.” *Earth System Science Data*, vol. 15, 2023. The article analyzes global carbon emissions and the role of natural ecosystems in carbon sequestration.

***Corresponding Author:**

Email address: Richa Bansal (richa85bansal@gmail.com)

Received: 10 November 2025; **Accepted:** 30 December 2025; **Published** 03 January 2026

DOI: [10.29121/granthaalayah.v14.i1.2026.6637](https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v14.i1.2026.6637)

Page Number: 82-85

Journal Title: International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH

Journal Abbreviation: Int. J. Res. Granthaalayah

Online ISSN: 2350-0530, **Print ISSN:** 2394-3629

Publisher: Granthaalayah Publications and Printers, India

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' Contributions: Each author made an equal contribution to the conception and design of the study. All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Transparency: The authors affirm that this manuscript presents an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study. All essential aspects have been included, and any deviations from the original study plan have been clearly explained. The writing process strictly adhered to established ethical standards.

Copyright: © 2026 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

Climate change has emerged as one of the most significant drivers of environmental transformation in the Anthropocene, fundamentally altering Earth's climate systems⁴. Rising global temperatures, driven primarily by anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions, have intensified extreme weather events, disrupted hydrological cycles, and increased sea levels worldwide⁵. Parallel to this, biodiversity loss has accelerated at unprecedented rates, with current extinction levels rivaling those observed during historical mass extinction events⁶. Biodiversity underpins ecosystem services essential for human survival, including food production, climate regulation, and disease control. Recognizing the interconnected nature of these challenges, the United Nations Environment Programme conceptualizes climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution as the "triple planetary crisis" threatening planetary stability⁷.

RESEARCH GAP

Despite extensive scholarship on climate change and biodiversity loss, much of the literature treats these phenomena in isolation⁸. Climate research often prioritizes mitigation technologies and emission trajectories, while biodiversity studies emphasize conservation strategies without fully integrating climatic drivers⁹. This disciplinary separation obscures feedback mechanisms through which biodiversity loss exacerbates climate vulnerability and vice versa. Furthermore, few studies critically situate these interactions within the broader framework of the triple planetary crisis, particularly from a governance-oriented perspective¹⁰.

PROBLEM STATEMENT

The absence of integrated analytical and policy frameworks addressing climate change and biodiversity loss has resulted in fragmented environmental governance. Sector-specific interventions frequently fail to address cumulative ecological impacts, thereby weakening ecosystem resilience and sustainability outcomes¹¹.

OBJECTIVES AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

This study aims to critically analyze the interrelationship between climate change and biodiversity loss within the framework of the triple planetary crisis. It seeks to examine recent empirical trends, assess dominant theoretical perspectives, identify research gaps, and propose integrated sustainability pathways¹². The central research question is: How does climate change interact with biodiversity loss, and what are the implications for integrated environmental governance?

CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY

By synthesizing interdisciplinary scholarship, this study contributes to environmental theory by advancing a holistic analytical framework. It also informs policy discourse by emphasizing the necessity of integrated climate–biodiversity strategies aligned with global sustainability goals¹³. The paper proceeds with a critical literature review, followed by methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion.

⁴ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). AR6 Synthesis Report: Climate Change 2023. IPCC, 2023. Referenced for evidence on rising global temperatures and climatic extremes.

⁵ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. IPCC, 2023. Used to support discussions on climate-driven environmental transformations.

⁶ Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES). Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES Secretariat, 2019. This report documents global biodiversity decline and ecosystem degradation.

⁷ United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Making Peace with Nature. United Nations Environment Programme, 2022. Introduces the concept of the "triple planetary crisis."

⁸ Díaz, Sandra, et al. "Pervasive Human-Driven Decline of Life on Earth Points to the Need for Transformative Change." *Science*, vol. 366, no. 6471, 2019. This work highlights human-driven biodiversity loss.

⁹ Urban, Mark C. "Accelerating Extinction Risk from Climate Change." *Science*, vol. 348, no. 6234, 2015. Demonstrates how climate change increases extinction risk across species.

¹⁰ Folke, Carl, et al. "Our Future in the Anthropocene Biosphere." *Ambio*, vol. 50, 2021. Discusses socio-ecological resilience and human–nature interactions.

¹¹ United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). *Making Peace with Nature*. UNEP, 2022. Referenced for governance challenges in addressing environmental crises.

¹² Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). *Kunming–Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework*. CBD Secretariat, 2022. Used to support discussion on global biodiversity policy commitments.

¹³ Folke, Carl, et al. "Our Future in the Anthropocene Biosphere." *Ambio*, 2021. Cited for theoretical contributions to sustainability and resilience thinking.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent studies demonstrate that climate change significantly accelerates biodiversity loss through habitat degradation, phenological shifts, and altered species distributions¹⁴. Empirical evidence suggests that even moderate global warming substantially increases extinction risks for climate-sensitive species¹⁵. Simultaneously, ecosystem degradation reduces carbon sequestration capacity, intensifying atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations and reinforcing climate change¹⁶.

The Anthropocene framework situates human activity as the dominant force shaping Earth systems, while socio-ecological resilience theory emphasizes feedback loops between ecological integrity and human systems¹⁷. However, although global initiatives such as the Paris Agreement and the Kunming–Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework acknowledge interconnections, policy coherence remains weak¹⁸. This review highlights a critical gap between integrative theory and fragmented governance practices.

METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative integrative research design based on systematic analysis of secondary data¹⁹. Peer-reviewed journal articles indexed in Scopus and Web of Science, alongside authoritative reports from IPCC, IPBES, UNEP, and the Convention on Biological Diversity published between 2018 and 2024, constituted the primary data sources²⁰. A thematic content analysis approach was employed to identify recurring patterns related to climate drivers, biodiversity responses, ecosystem services, and governance frameworks²¹. Comparative synthesis ensured analytical rigor and triangulation of findings²².

RESULTS

The analysis reveals a consistent rise in global mean temperature anomalies alongside a pronounced decline in biodiversity indicators²³. Regions experiencing rapid climatic shifts—such as tropical forests, coral reef systems, and polar ecosystems—exhibited the highest biodiversity vulnerability²⁴. The results indicate strong spatial overlap between climate stress hotspots and areas of significant biodiversity loss²⁵.

DISCUSSION

The findings corroborate earlier research emphasizing the bidirectional relationship between climate change and biodiversity loss²⁶. Unlike single-issue studies, this analysis highlights the compounded effects of climate stress, pollution, and land-use change. The discussion underscores the urgency of integrated governance frameworks and ecosystem-based climate solutions to address interconnected planetary crises²⁷.

¹⁴ Urban, Mark C. “Accelerating Extinction Risk from Climate Change.” *Science*, 2015. Referenced for empirical evidence linking warming to biodiversity loss

¹⁵ Pörtner, Hans-Otto, et al. “Climate Change Impacts on Biodiversity and Ecosystems.” *Science*, 2021. Supports arguments on species vulnerability to climate change.

¹⁶ Le Quéré, Corinne, et al. “Global Carbon Budget 2023.” *Earth System Science Data*, 2023. Referenced for the climate–ecosystem feedback mechanism.

¹⁷ Folke, Carl, et al. *Ambio*, 2021. Used to explain feedback loops between ecological degradation and human systems.

¹⁸ Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). *Kunming–Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework*. 2022. Cited to highlight policy gaps in biodiversity governance.

¹⁹ Creswell, John W., and J. David Creswell. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 5th ed., SAGE Publications, 2018. Provides methodological justification for qualitative integrative research design.

²⁰ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC); Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES); United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). Referenced collectively for triangulation of global environmental data and assessments.

²¹ Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. “Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology.” *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2006. Referenced for thematic content analysis methodology.

²² Patton, Michael Quinn. *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*. 4th ed., SAGE Publications, 2015. Used to justify analytical rigor and comparative synthesis.

²³ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *AR6 Synthesis Report*. 2023. Cited for global temperature and climate trend data.

²⁴ Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES). *Global Assessment Report*. 2019. Referenced for biodiversity hotspot and vulnerability analysis.

²⁵ Pörtner, Hans-Otto, et al. *Science*, 2021. Used to support findings on spatial overlap between climate stress and biodiversity loss.

²⁶ Díaz, Sandra, et al. *Science*, 2019. Referenced in discussion for confirmation of compounded biodiversity decline.

²⁷ United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). *Making Peace with Nature*. 2022. Used to interpret policy implications of the findings.

CONCLUSION

This study critically examined climate change and biodiversity loss within the framework of the triple planetary crisis. The findings confirm that these challenges are mutually reinforcing and cannot be effectively addressed in isolation²⁸. By advancing an integrated analytical perspective, the study contributes to both environmental theory and sustainability practice. While reliance on secondary data represents a limitation, future research should prioritize empirical modeling and region-specific analyses to strengthen integrated environmental governance²⁹.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

²⁸ Folke, Carl, et al. *Ambio*, 2021. Referenced in conclusion for integrated sustainability perspectives.

²⁹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). *AR6 Synthesis Report*. 2023. Cited to support future research and policy recommendations.