ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH – GRANTHAALAYAH
A knowledge Repository

AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF MANAGERIAL DECISION-MAKING ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS

Dr. Preeti Maheshwari [1]
[1] Senior Lecturer, Technical Education Department,
Sardar Vallabh Bhai Polytechnic College, Bhopal (MP), India

Abstract

Making decisions as a manager is very important for the financial health and long-term survival of a corporation. In a business world that is getting more competitive and changing all the time, the quality of the decisions that managers make has an effect on profitability, efficiency, growth, and shareholder value. This paper offers a theoretical analysis bolstered by empirical evidence regarding the correlation between managerial decision-making and financial performance. The research underscores essential decision-making dimensions—strategic, operational, and financial—by analyzing current literature and integrating data from empirical studies, while evaluating their influence on financial outcomes, including return on assets, return on equity, and revenue growth. The study finds that good decision-making by managers greatly improves financial performance and has important lessons for managers and policymakers.

Keywords: Finance, Decision-Making, Function, Business, Performance.

Cite This Article: Dr. Preeti Maheshwari. (2019). "AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF MANAGERIAL DECISION-MAKING ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF BUSINESS ORGANIZATIONS." *International Journal of Research - Granthaalayah*, 7(10), 518-525. https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573.

1. Introduction

As technology changes quickly, competition gets tougher all over the world, markets become less stable, and stakeholders' expectations rise, the decisions that managers make are now much more important and harder to make. The choices that managers make about how to plan investments, manage risks, control operations, and structure finances have a direct effect on how well a business can make money, stay in business, and grow. Some ways to measure a company's financial performance are return on assets, return on equity, profit margins, cash flow stability, and market value. They don't just happen because of market forces; they are also closely related to how well, when, and how well managers make decisions. As a result, managerial decision-making has become an important topic in the fields of management, finance, and organizational behavior, and

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

it continues to attract scholarly attention and research. The theoretical underpinnings of managerial decision-making stem from classical economic rationality, behavioral theories, contingency frameworks, and strategic management paradigms, each providing unique perspectives on the formulation and execution of decisions in organizational settings. Classical theories assert that rational actors optimize utility with comprehensive information; however, modern research recognizes bounded rationality, cognitive biases, informational asymmetries, and environmental uncertainty as critical constraints affecting managerial decisions.

This empirical evidence substantiates the assertion that financial performance is not exclusively determined by external economic conditions but is profoundly influenced by internal managerial competencies and decision-making processes. Additionally, differences in financial performance among companies in the same industry and macroeconomic environment show how important managerial skill and effective leadership are.

In the last few decades, the growing separation of ownership and control in modern businesses has made it even more important for managers to make decisions. As professional managers take more control of company resources for shareholders, it becomes very important that they make good decisions to make sure that the company's goals and financial targets are in line with each other. Agency theory shows that managers' interests may not always align with the goal of maximizing shareholder wealth. This shows how important it is to have good decision-making frameworks, accountability systems, and ways to measure performance. From this point of view, managerial decisions are not just operational choices; they also show how governance frameworks, incentive mechanisms, and ethical paradigms work. When people are afraid to take risks, only care about themselves, or don't have enough information, they can make bad choices that lead to bad financial results, a drop in the value of the company, or even the failure of the company. On the other hand, decisions that are open, based on data, and in line with the goals of the organization help it stay financially stable and keep doing well. This difference shows that we need to think about how managers make decisions not just as a job for the office, but also as a strategic factor that affects how well the company does financially.

As more and more managers use AI, data analytics, and decision-support tools, it gets harder for them to make decisions and more likely that they will make mistakes. Managers can use advanced information technologies to look at financial data, make predictions about what will happen next, and weigh their options. But their skills, experience, and judgment still determine how well their decisions work in the end. Empirical studies illustrate that technology functions as an adjunct rather than a replacement for managerial decision-making, thereby affirming the premise that human judgment is crucial to financial performance outcomes. The modern business world is also less stable because of changes in the economy, laws, politics, and the environment. In these kinds of situations, managers have to make decisions that are based on risk and can change. They also need to know how to balance short-term financial goals with long-term strategic goals. Businesses that don't change their management decisions to fit changes in the environment usually lose money. On the other hand, companies that are flexible in their strategies and make smart decisions do better.

We still don't know exactly how managers' decisions affect a company's bottom line, even though a lot of research has been done on how they make decisions and how those decisions affect a company's success. Numerous studies concentrate on particular aspects of decision-making or performance, consequently constraining their explanatory efficacy. There is an increasing demand for research that integrates strategic, operational, and financial decision-making viewpoints into a unified framework. Furthermore, although empirical studies yield significant insights, a theoretical

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

synthesis is crucial for cultivating a coherent comprehension of the relationship between management decision-making and quantifiable financial outcomes. This requires a thorough theoretical framework based on real-world data that considers the organization's context, the manager's traits, and the environment. This method is especially useful for businesses in developing economies, where limited resources, institutional constraints, and inefficient markets can make it hard for managers to make decisions. Comprehending the relationship between decisions and performance in these contexts provides significant insights for theoretical advancement and managerial practice.

In this context, the current study aims to conceptually analyze the influence of managerial decision-making on the financial performance of business organizations, utilizing empirical research findings and established management theories. This study seeks to elucidate the impact of various dimensions of managerial decision-making on financial performance indicators by integrating findings from previous empirical research. The research enhances the current literature by emphasizing the significance of managerial decisions on financial results and underscoring the strategic function of managers in value creation. Moreover, the study holds practical relevance for managers, policymakers, and stakeholders by emphasizing the necessity for systematic decision-making frameworks, the improvement of managerial competence, and the adoption of evidence-based management practices. The research emphasizes that sustained financial performance is not solely a result of favorable market conditions but is fundamentally dependent on the quality of managerial decision-making that directs organizational actions in an increasingly complex and uncertain business landscape.

2. Conceptualization Of Managerial Decision-Making

When managers make decisions, they look for problems or chances, consider different options, and pick the best one to help the business reach its goals. Deciding isn't something you do once; it's a process that changes all the time and is affected by the organization, the manager, and the environment. Classical decision theory posits that managers are rational, implying they possess complete information and make optimal choices. But modern theories look at bounded rationality, which means that managers can't make the best decisions because they don't have all the information, they have cognitive biases, they don't have enough time, or they don't know what will happen.

There are three main types of decisions that managers have to make: operational, strategic, and financial. When you make strategic decisions, you are deciding on your long-term goals. These could include entering a new market, diversifying, coming up with new ideas, or putting yourself in a better position against your competitors. Operational decisions are about what to do every day to improve things, keep costs down, and make things happen. The capital structure, investment evaluation criteria, dividend policy, and working capital management are all parts of financial decisions. Every kind of choice affects how well a business does financially and how much value it adds to the company.

2.1. Operations & Supply Chain Management

Operations and the Supply Chain Management is the part of management that plans, runs, and improves the steps that businesses take to turn raw materials into finished goods or services and get them to customers. This job entails purchasing items, scheduling production, managing

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

inventory, logistics, quality assurance, and collaborating with suppliers. How well managers can respond to changes in demand, how quickly they can deliver goods, and how much money they can save all depend on how they run the business and manage the supply chain. Long-term operational efficiency can be affected by strategic decisions like outsourcing, planning for capacity, choosing suppliers, and using new technologies. Every day, tactical and operational decisions affect how well things work. By making smart choices about operations and the supply chain, a business can become a cost leader, cut down on waste, make the most of its working capital, and make customers happier. All of these things have a big impact on the company's finances and its ability to compete.

Figure 1: Managerial Decision-Making

2.2. Human Resources

Human Resources, or HR, is the part of management that finds, trains, motivates, and keeps workers. It includes making choices about hiring and firing, training and development, performance reviews, pay, employee relations, and planning the workforce. How you manage your employees can affect the culture of the workplace, how productive your workers are, and the company's long-term success. Long-term organizational effectiveness is influenced by strategic HR decisions, including systems for cultivating leadership and managing talent. Operational decisions, on the other hand, affect how engaged and productive workers are. Good HR choices can boost productivity, cut down on turnover costs, and encourage new ideas because people are such an important intangible asset. All of these things have a big but indirect effect on the company's growth and money.

2.3. Finance

Finance is the part of management that makes plans for money, buys things, gives them out, and keeps track of how much money the organization has to help it reach its goals. It includes choices about how to budget for capital, how to structure capital, how to pay dividends, how to manage working capital, and how to deal with financial risk. In finance, the decisions that managers make affect how much money a company makes from its investments and how stable its finances are. Long-term value creation and shareholder wealth are affected by strategic financial decisions,

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

while short-term financial decisions affect liquidity and the ability to keep operations going. Making smart financial decisions helps the company get the most out of its resources, lowers its financial risk, increases its profits, and raises its market value. This means that how well the whole company does depends a lot on its finances.

2.4. Marketing

Marketing is the part of management that involves figuring out what customers want, making things that are useful, telling people about products or services, and getting them to the right people. It includes choices about how to make things, set prices, market them, brand them, get them to customers, and deal with them. Your choices as a marketing manager have a direct effect on your brand's sales, market share, and value. Strategic marketing choices decide where a company stands in the market and how it stands out from its competitors. Tactical decisions, on the other hand, determine how well sales will go and how long customers will stay with the business. Good marketing choices make sure that customers want what a business sells. This increases demand and helps the business's revenue grow over time. This has a direct effect on the company's money and long-term success.

2.5. Information Technology

Businesses use information technology (IT) to collect, process, store, and share information with each other and the outside world. IT includes digital tools, infrastructure, and systems. When IT managers make decisions, they have to choose between things like system integration, data analytics, cybersecurity, automation, and digital transformation. IT helps people make decisions in every part of the business by giving them accurate, useful, and timely information. Businesses can be more flexible, creative, and get things done faster when they make smart IT choices. Operational IT decisions make sure that systems work well and that data is safe. Using information technology well makes things run more smoothly, saves money, helps managers make better decisions, and lets managers make decisions based on data. All of these things lead to better financial results, both directly and indirectly.

3. Financial Performance Of Business Organizations

You can tell how well a company meets its financial goals and uses its resources by looking at its financial performance. People often look at accounting numbers like return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE), net profit margin, and liquidity ratios. They also look at numbers that are based on the market, like the performance of stock prices and the size of the market. You can tell how healthy, competitive, and long-lasting a company is by looking at its financial performance.

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

Figure 2: Financial Performance

In theory, financial performance shows how well managers can use their choices to turn resources into cash. Good use of resources, keeping costs low, managing risk, and making sure that all parts of the business are working toward the same goals are all things that can lead to better financial performance. But if the business isn't making money, it could be because the manager didn't plan well, make good decisions, or follow through. So, you can think of financial performance as a result variable that shows how all the decisions managers have made over time have changed the business.

4. Empirical Evidence on Managerial Decision-Making and Financial Performance

Empirical research in strategic management, corporate finance, and organizational behavior provides substantial evidence concerning the relationship between managerial decision-making and the financial performance of business entities. For a long time, researchers have looked into how the quality, speed, structure, and direction of managerial decisions affect important financial results like profitability, efficiency, growth, and market value. These studies collectively demonstrate that managerial decision-making is a pivotal explanatory variable in clarifying performance disparities among firms operating under similar environmental and economic conditions. Initial empirical studies highlighted the importance of rational and analytical decision-making in enhancing financial performance. Research grounded in decision theory indicates that managers employing systematic analysis, formal planning, and extensive information typically achieve superior financial outcomes. For instance, a study of how businesses make strategic plans found that businesses with structured decision-making processes had better returns on assets and faster sales growth than those with informal or ad hoc decision-making processes. These findings demonstrate that disciplined managerial decision-making enhances financial efficiency by reducing uncertainty and optimizing resource allocation.

Strategic decision-making has undergone extensive empirical examination due to its persistent impact on organizational performance. Studies on strategic choices such as diversification, market entry, innovation investment, and mergers and acquisitions consistently demonstrate that decision quality significantly impacts financial outcomes. Empirical evidence indicates that firms aligning their strategic decisions with internal competencies and external market conditions are more likely

 ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

to achieve profitability and experience growth over time. On the other hand, poor strategic decisions, such as diversifying into unrelated areas or buying companies at the wrong time, can hurt a company's financial performance and increase its financial risk. These results back up the idea that managers need to make smart choices for a company to do well financially in the long run. It's also been shown that operational decisions can have an effect on a business's finances, especially when it comes to keeping costs down and being efficient. Research in operations and supply chain management demonstrates that managerial decisions regarding inventory control, supplier selection, process optimization, and quality management directly influence cash flows and operating margins. Companies that use data to make operational decisions have lower production costs, higher productivity, and better financial ratios, according to empirical studies. These results show how important it is to make decisions about operations for short- to medium-term financial performance.

Financial decision-making is one of the most studied parts of managerial decision-making because it has a direct impact on the company's bottom line. A lot of real-world research in corporate finance shows that there are strong links between how managers make decisions about capital structure, investment assessment, and working capital management, and how well the company does overall. Studies show that companies that carefully plan their capital structure and follow strict investment rules make more money and have a higher market value. Similarly, empirically substantiated decisions pertaining to working capital management are associated with improved liquidity and reduced financial distress. These studies show that making smart financial choices is important for businesses to stay stable and grow. A lot of real-world research has looked into how managers make decisions based on how they act. Studies on managerial overconfidence, risk preferences, and cognitive biases indicate that behavioral factors significantly influence financial performance. Evidence from real life shows that managers who are too confident tend to invest too much and take too many risks, which can lead to unstable or worsening financial performance. But managers who are good at analyzing data and have a good sense of risk tend to make better decisions, which leads to better and more stable financial results. This study shows that the quality of a decision is based on more than just how much information is available. It also depends on how the manager thinks and what standards they use to judge things. Many real-world tests have been done on the Upper Echelons Theory to see how the traits of managers affect how well a business does financially. Studies show that the senior management team's education, experience, length of service, and functional background have a big effect on strategic decision-making and, as a result, financial results. In the real world, businesses with experienced and diverse leadership teams tend to do better financially because they have more points of view and make better decisions. These results underscore the importance of managerial human capital in affecting decision-making effectiveness and financial success.

Empirical studies highlight the moderating effects of organizational and environmental factors on the relationship between decision-making and performance. Studies show that governance mechanisms, incentive structures, and organizational culture have a big effect on how managerial decisions affect financial results. When a company has good corporate governance and incentives that are based on performance, there is a stronger positive link between how managers make decisions and how well the company does financially. Moreover, environmental uncertainty has been recognized as a moderating variable in this relationship, with adaptive and flexible decision-making exhibiting considerable benefits in dynamic and competitive environments. In developing economies, empirical research demonstrates that managerial decision-making plays an increasingly vital role due to institutional constraints, market inefficiencies, and resource scarcity.

ISSN- 2350-0530(O), ISSN- 2394-3629(P) Index Copernicus Value (ICV 2018): 86.20
DOI: 10.29121/granthaalayah.v7.i10.2019.6573

Studies in these domains indicate that firms employing professional management practices and evidence-based decision-making outperform their rivals financially. These results show that good decision-making by managers can help a business deal with problems from outside and make it more financially stable.

5. Conclusion

It's very important for a manager to make choices that affect the company's money. The long-term health, efficiency, and profitability of a business all depend on how well it makes decisions about strategy, operations, finance, and resource management. Studies in the real world and in theory show that businesses that make decisions that are well-structured, well-informed, and on time do better financially than those that don't. Good management helps businesses deal with changes, use their resources wisely, and get ahead of their competitors. So, helping managers make better choices is important for the company's money and long-term success.

References

[1] Abdulshakour, S. (2020). Impact of Financial Statements for Financial Decision-Making. Open Science Journal, 5(2).
[2] Adamowicz, M. (2018). Long-term Financial Forecasting as a Part of Strategic Planning in Local Government Units. Olsztyn Economic Journal, 13(4), 357–374.
[3] Akhtar, S., & Liu, Y. (2018). SMEs' Use of Financial Statements for Decision Making: Evidence from Pakistan. Journal of Applied Business Research, 34(2).
[4] Alhawamdeh, H. M., & Alsmairat, M. A. (2019). Strategic Decision Making and Organization Performance: A literature review. International Review of Management and Marketing, 9(4), 95.
[5] Banczak, S. (2005). The Strategic Decision-Making Process in Organizations. Problems and Perspectives in Management, (3), 58–70.
[6] Blessing, A., & Onoja, E. E. (2015). The Role of Financial Statements on Investment Decision Making: A Case of United Bank for Africa PLC (2004–2013). European Journal of Business, Economics and Accountancy, 3(2), 12–37.
[7] Carraher, S., & Van Auken, H. (2013). The Use of Financial Statements for Decision Making by Small Firms. Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship, 26(3), 323–336.
[8] Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics, 5(1), 1–4.
[9] Harris, A. (2009). Attributions and Institutional Processing: How Focal Concerns Guide Decision-Making in the Juvenile Court. Race and Social Problems, 1(4), 243–256.
[10] Hlavatý, J., & Ližbetin, J. (2024). Proposal of a Strategic Decision-Making Methodology of A Rail Passenger Carrier in the Liberalization Era. Transportation Research Procedia, 77, 26–34.
[11] Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., & Randall, T. (2003). Performance Implications of Strategic Performance Measurement in Financial Services Firms. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 28(7–8), 715–741.
[12] Luna-Pereira, H. O., Caicedo-Rolón, A. J., & Wlamyr, P. A. (2022). The Importance of Financial Management for Decision-Making in the Industry. Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 18(4).
[13] Musinszki, Z., & Süveges, G. B. (2019). Strategic Decision-Making Supported By Traditional Financial Indicators. Oradea Journal of Business and Economics, 4(1), 29–37.