



PRODUCTION OF BIOGAS FROM CELLULOSE AND BENZOATE USING ANAEROBIC BACTERIAL CONSORTIA

Manisha D R¹, Karunakar Rao Kudle¹, Kalpana V.Singh³, Ramchander Merugu²,
M.P.Pratap Rudra^{*1}

^{*1} Department of Biochemistry, Osmania University, Nalgonda, Telangana, India

² Department of Biochemistry, Mahatma Gandhi University, Nalgonda, Telangana, India

³ P.G. Department of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Chemistry Govt. Madhav Science P.G.
College Ujjain, M.P., India



Abstract:

Among the energy sources, the depletion of non-renewable energy is of great concern in the present days. The non-renewable energy deposits are continuously depleted by human withdrawals. An alarming situation of continuous depletion in the natural resources by an increased consumption of energy, the urge to look out for alternate ways for energy production in on the high stand. Anaerobic bacteria are known to be efficient energy producers. In the present study, the anaerobic bacterial hydrogen production from different consortia isolated from different sources was studied. Anaerobic consortia were isolated from different sources by inoculating into specific media, Beibl and Pfennigs medium anaerobically in the light (2000 lux). Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (1994) was adopted for identification of phototrophic bacteria. Growth was determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 660nm. The effect of two major substrates i.e. Benzoate and Cellulose has been investigated. The amount of biogas produced varied with benzoate and cellulose as substrates. Among the 10(ten) consortia, for most of the consortia cellulose was confirmed to be utilized as a major substrate for the production of biogas.

Keywords: Photosynthetic Bacteria; Hydrogen; Cellulose; Benzoate.

Cite This Article: Manisha D R, Karunakar Rao Kudle, Kalpana V.Singh, Ramchander Merugu, and M.P.Pratap Rudra. (2017). "PRODUCTION OF BIOGAS FROM CELLULOSE AND BENZOATE USING ANAEROBIC BACTERIAL CONSORTIA." *International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research*, 4(12: SE), 65-70. DOI: 10.29121/ijetmr.v4.i12.2017.593.

1. Introduction

Contemporary human society is based on non-renewable fossil fuels (oil, coal, natural gas), which represents more than 80% of our energy consumption. The rapid growth of the human population, improvement of living standards and the parallel increase of industrial demands all call for more energy. The inevitable exhaustion of economically and energetically exploitable fossil fuels will not meet the energy needs of consumers. Because the increasing price of their recovery, fossil fuels gradually lose their competitive edge. This tendency is accompanied with

the alarming phenomenon of climate change, which is the result of burning fossil fuels and releasing excess amounts of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere threatening the living conditions in our planet. Therefore, worldwide attention is paid to the replacement of the current energy carriers with alternative, renewable ones with rapid technology development. These alternatives have a common character, i.e. the energy comes from renewable sources and the raw materials for energy generation are reformed at the rate of utilization. One of the most promising alternatives is biogas. The simplest and most common use of biogas is to burn it producing simultaneously heat and electricity. The fermentation residue can substitute artificial synthetic fertilizers; therefore it is an excellent soil nutrient replacement. The main component of the biogas is methane, depending on the fermentation substrate it makes up to 50-75% of the gas, in addition it contains carbon dioxide (28-48%) and other gases (~1-2%) for example hydrogen sulphide and nitrogen compounds. The fossil “natural gas” comprises usually more than 90% of methane. This value can be achieved in the case of biogas, if carbon dioxide is removed from the raw gas. After gas cleaning the heat value of biogas may be equivalent to natural gas, thus the gas can be fed to the gas network. It has long been known that biogas production also occurs spontaneously in marshes, landfill sites, where anaerobic environment can develop. The biogas formation process takes place under anaerobic conditions, but facultative anaerobes are also involved in the breakdown of organic materials. The anaerobic conversion of various materials is a complex chain of biochemical process which is carried out by a diverse microbial community the composition of this community depends on various environmental factors. The events leading to biomass decomposition follow each other in precise order, each step is carried out by a specific microbial group. The different types of microbes are interdependent and operate in harmony. The conversion of complex organic molecules into methane can only be successful if the bacteria develop specific community in which each species can make a living, and leaves behind a product for the following group of microbes as food. Biogas production is therefore a complex microbiological process; the microorganisms constitute a complex food chain where biogas is the end product. In the present study, the anaerobic bacteria hydrogen production from different consortia isolated from ten different sources was studied. The effect of two major substrates i.e. Benzoate and Cellulose has been investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

The phototrophic bacteria were isolated from the effluent samples by enrichment techniques by inoculating into the medium and incubated anaerobically in the light (2000 lux). Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (1994) [6] was adopted for identification. Growth was determined by measuring optical density at 660 nm using UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Thirty ml of bacterial culture was centrifuged at 10,000xg for 10 min. The harvested cells were washed with 0.3% saline and the cells were suspended in the basal medium. Ten day old cultures of photosynthetic bacteria isolated from ten different sources were inoculated (1% v/v) into basal medium containing two different carbon sources i.e. Benzoate and Cellulose with ammonium chloride (0.5%) was used as nitrogen source and B12 as the growth factor. The incubation period was 196 hrs after inoculation of the consortium. The technique used for hydrogen measurement was water displacement technique.

3. Results and Discussion

Conventional methods of hydrogen production are found to be causing environmental pollution and are energy expensive (Nath & Das, 2003; Logan, 2004; Nath & Das, 2004). Due to rapid industrialization there is a greater demand for energy requirement. Fossil fuels should be replaced with renewable energy sources which are sustainable. (Kim et al., 2004, Nandi et al., 1998). Hydrogen represents a promising clean alternative energy to fossil fuels, as its combustion produces only energy and water. However, actual production of hydrogen comes from catalytic reforming based on the use of fossil fuel. Numerous microorganisms can produce hydrogen during dark fermentation, an anaerobic process of biomass degradation. Biogas is a promising candidate as the technology of its production may combine the treatment of various organic wastes with the generation of an energy carrier for the most versatile applications [1]. Biogas can be converted to heat and/or electricity, and its purified derivative, biomethane, is suitable for every function for which fossil natural gas is used today. The decomposition of organic materials by a microbial community is carried out under anaerobic conditions [2]. Photosynthetic bacteria are also proven to be one of the major sources for biogas production. Major reports also indicate that the use of Photosynthetic bacteria for biogas production. This study emphasises on the substrate specificity of Photosynthetic bacteria for Biogas production.

In continuation of our earlier work, on exploring the biotechnological applications of Photosynthetic bacteria [7-28]. Different photosynthetic bacterial consortia were isolated using enrichment techniques from different places in Hyderabad, Telangana and Rourkela, Orissa. The log phase cultures which are ten day grown cultures was used to assess their potential of producing biogas. The results are tabulated as below (Table 1,2,&3). Consortium 10 isolated from a soil sample of Rourkela produced the highest amount of hydrogen in benzoate as carbon source compared to other photosynthetic bacteria followed by consortium 8 and consortium 2.

Table 1: Consortium isolated from different sources

Consortium 1	Soil sample, OU Campus, Hyderabad
Consortium 2	Water sample, OU Campus, Hyderabad
Consortium 3	Sewage water, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
Consortium 4	Sewage water, Tarnaka, Hyderabad.
Consortium 5	Stagnant water, Begumpet, Hyderabad
Consortium 6	Stagnant water, Begumpet, Hyderabad
Consortium 7	Pond water, Safilguda, Hyderabad
Consortium 8	Pond water, Safilguda, Hyderabad
Consortium 9	Soil sample, Rourkela.
Consortium 10	Soil sample, Rourkela.

Table 2: Effect of benzoate on the growth of different consortia

Photosynthetic consortia	O.D at 660 nm	Biogas produced (ml/30ml vessel)
Consortium 1	1.178	6.5±0.3
Consortium 2	1.6561	7.0±0.2
Consortium 3	1.2186	6.0±0.2
Consortium 4	1.4069	6.3±0.1

Consortium 5	1.0421	6.0±0.2
Consortium 6	1.5847	6.9±0.4
Consortium 7	1.5642	6.8±0.3
Consortium 8	1.6532	7.1±0.3
Consortium 9	1.2354	6.2±0.4
Consortium 10	1.8791	7.3±0.2

Table 3: Effect of cellulose on the growth of different consortia

Photosynthetic consortia	O.D at 660 nm	Biogas produced (ml/30ml vessel)
Consortium 1	1.9052	7.2±0.3
Consortium 2	1.7892	6.8±0.2
Consortium 3	1.3545	6.3±0.4
Consortium 4	1.9845	7.2±0.3
Consortium 5	1.2568	6.2±0.2
Consortium 6	1.9825	7.3±0.4
Consortium 7	1.0250	6.1±0.2
Consortium 8	1.9875	7.4±0.3
Consortium 9	1.4562	6.3±0.5
Consortium 10	2.0652	7.5±0.4

References

- [1] HarunKoku, InciEro,glu, UfukGunduz, MeralYucel, LemiTurker, 2002.International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 27 (2002) 1315 – 1329.
- [2] Yongzhen Tao, Yang Chen, Yongquian Wu, Yanling He and Zhihua Zhou. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, ISSN 0360 – 3199. 2007. vol 32, pp 200 -206.
- [3] Chun-Yen Chen, Mu-Hoe Yang, Kuei-Ling Yeh, Chien-Hung Liu, Jo-Shu Chang, International Journal of Hydrogen energy 33 (2008) 4755 – 4762.
- [4] Chun-Yen Chen, Chia-Hsien Liu, Yung-Chung Lo, Jo-Shu Chang. Bioresource Technology, 2011. Vol 102, issue 18 pp 8484–8492.
- [5] Gabrielyan,L., H. Torgomyan, A. Trchounian, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy 35 (2010) 12201 – 12207.
- [6] Bergey’s Manual of Systematic bacteriology (1994). “Enrichment and isolation of purple non sulphur photosynthetic bacteria”. Eds: J.T.Staley, M.P.Byrant, N.Pfennig and J.C.Holt.
- [7] DR Manisha, MP Pratap Rudra, Ramchander Merugu. Effect of cultural conditions on hydrogen production by phototrophic bacteria isolated from water logged soils in Rourkela, India. International Journal of Research in Biotechnology and Biochemistry. Volume 4; Issue 2; 40-44.
- [8] DR Manisha, M Ramchander, Y Prashanthi, MPR Pratap Rudra, Hydrogen production by photosynthetic bacterial consortium isolated from sewage water. International Journal of Research in Biochemistry and Biophysics 2015; 5(1): 10-14.
- [9] Manisha D.R,M.P.PratapRudra , Ramchander Merugu. Photoproduction of hydrogen by photosynthetic bacteria isolated from marine sediments. International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applied Sciences 2014; 4(2): 12-15.

- [10] Manisha D.R, Ramchander Merugu , Y. Prashanthi , M.P.PratapRudra. Phototrophic bacteria mediated synthesis, characterisation and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnology: An International Journal* 2014; 4(2): 20-24.
- [11] Attuluri.R.Vijay Babu, Nagaraju Devunuri, Manisha.D.R, Y.Prashanthi, Ramchander Merugu ,Attuluri.J.R.RaviTeja. Magnesium Hydrides for Hydrogen Storage: A Mini Review. /*Int.J. ChemTech Res.*2014, 6(7), pp 3451-3455.
- [12] Ramchander, M., Pratap, M. P., Nageshwari, B., Girisham, S., and Reddy, S. M. (2012). Factors influencing the production of hydrogen by the hydrogen by the purple non-sulphur phototrophic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas acidophila* KU001. *Microb. Biotechnol.*, 5: 674-678.
- [13] Ramchander Merugu ,Vasanth Mittapelli , M.P. Pratap Rudra , S. Girisham , S.M. Reddy(2012) . Photoproduction of Hydrogen by Alginate Immobilized *Rhodobacter capsulatus* KU002 under Sulphate and Phosphate Limitations. *International Journal of Environment and Bioenergy*, 4(3): 141-146
- [14] Ramchander Merugu, VasanthMittapelli, Pratap RudraManthurPadigya, Girisham Sivadevuni, Reddy Solipuram Madhusudhan. Photoproduction of Hydrogen by Alginate Immobilised Cultures of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* KU002 Isolated from Tannery Effluents. *Journal of Biofuels*. Vol. 4 Issue 2, July-December 2013 pp. 56-60
- [15] Ramchander Merugu, M. P. PratapRudra, B.Nageshwari, A. Sridhar Rao, and D.Ramesh. Photoproduction of Hydrogen under Different Cultural Conditions by Alginate Immobilized *Rhodopsedomonaspalustris* KU003. *ISRN Renewable Energy*. Volume 2012, Article ID 757503, 5 pages doi:10.5402/2012/757503
- [16] Ramchander Merugu, M.P.PratapRudra, S.Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2013). Bioproduction of hydrogen by alginate immobilized *Rhodopsedomonacidophila* KU001. *International Journal of Chemical Engineering and Applied Sciences* 3(1): 7-9
- [17] Ramchander, M., M.S.K.Prasad, Vasavi,D S. Girisham and S.M. Reddy (2007). Bioremediation of waste water by two Anoxygenic Phototrophic bacteria *Nat.Acad. Sci. Lett.*30. 223-227
- [18] Ramchander Merugu, M.S.K. Prasad, S. Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2008). Effect of trace elements on the growth of two Anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria *Ecol. Envi. Con.* 14; 367-369
- [19] Ramchander Merugu, M. P. Pratap Rudra, A. Sridhar Rao, D. Ramesh, B. Nageshwari, K. Rajyalaxmi, S. Girisham, and S. M. Reddy (2011). Influence of Different Cultural Conditions on Photoproduction of Hydrogen by *Rhodospseudomonas palustris* KU003. *ISRN Renewable Energy* , 328984-90
- [20] Ramchander Merugu, M.P.PratapRudra, AtthapuThirupathaiah and VeerababuNageti (2011). Hypocholesterolemic effect of the anoxygenic phototrophic bacterium *Rhodospseudomonas palustris* MGU001 in hen laying eggs. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 2 (2): 463 to 466.
- [21] Ramchander Merugu, M.P.PratapRudra, AtthapuThirupathaiah, S.Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2011). Optimisation of Indole Acetic Acid Production by two Anoxygenic Phototrophic bacteria Isolated from Tannery effluents. *Journal of pure and applied Microbiology*. 5(2), p. 34-37
- [22] Ramchander Merugu, M.P.PratapRudra, AtthapuThirupathaiah, S.Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2011). Chromate Reduction by a Purple non Sulphur Phototrophic Bacterium *Rhodobacter capsulatus* KU002 Isolated from Tannery Effluents. *Journal of pure and applied Microbiology*, 5(2), p.66-69
- [23] Ramchander Merugu, M.S.K. Prasad, S. Girisham and S.M. Reddy (2010). Bioproduction of hydrogen by *Rb.capsulatus* KU002 isolated from leather industry effluents. *International Journal of Hydrogen energy*, 35 (18) : 9591-9597
- [24] Ramchander Merugu, M.S.K. Prasad, S. Girisham and S.M. Reddy (2008). Phosphate Solubilisation by Four Anoxygenic Phototrophic Bacteria Isolation from leather Industry. *Nat. Env. Pol. Tech.* 7; 597-599

- [25] Ramchander Merugu, M.S.K. Prasad, S. Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2008). Production of Indole acetic acid and free amino acids by two Anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria. Bioinfolet 5; 82-84
- [26] Ramchander Merugu, S. Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2010). Production of PHB (Polyhydroxybutyrate) by Rhodopseudomonaspalustris KU003 under nitrogen limitation. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology 2:686-688
- [27] Ramchander Merugu, S. Girisham and S.M.Reddy (2010). Production of PHB (Polyhydroxybutyrate) by Rhodopseudomonaspalustris KU003 and Rhodobactercapsulatus KU002 under phosphate limitation. International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology. 3: 746-748.
- [28] Ramchander Merugu, Y.Prashanthi, T. Sarojini, NageshwariBadgu. Bioremediation of waste waters by the anoxygenic photosynthetic bacterium Rhodobactersphaeroides SMR 009. International Journal of Research in Environmental Science and Technology 2014; 4(1): 16-19