Original Article ISSN (Online): 2454-1907

GROWTH OF TOURISM IN HIMACHAL PRADESH: A STUDY OF DISTRICT SHIMLA IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Sandeep Kumar Thakur 1 🖾 🕩

Assistant Professor, Government College Nirmand, & Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Humanities & Social Sciences, IEC University, Baddi (HP), India





Received 29 October 2023 Accepted 30 November 2023 Published 13 December 2023

Corresponding Author

Sandeep Kumar Thakur, alwaysmanu007@gmail.com

DO

10.29121/ijetmr.v10.i12.2023.1383

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.



ABSTRACT

The tourist sector in Himachal Pradesh is expanding and contributing significantly to the creation of jobs and economic prosperity in the area. It is anticipated that the establishment of businesses, the development of infrastructure, and the creation of jobs will all have a substantial impact on the socioeconomic development of the state. Tourism has the capacity to help other economic sectors through its backward and forward connections and cross-sectoral synergies with businesses including agriculture, horticulture, poultry, handicrafts, transportation, and construction, among others. Spending on tourism brings about a number of transactions that demand the supply of goods and services from these related businesses. As a result of tourist spending, consumer demand rises, creating more jobs and having a positive multiplier effect on the economy. How well a region develops tourism is dependent on its resources. Due to the tourism industries growing impact on the environment's resources, it is now more crucial than ever to encourage environmentally friendly tourism. Himachal is a well-known tourist attraction both domestically and internationally. Domestic tourists come to this hill state for a number of reasons, such as to take in the peace and quiet, to escape the oppressive heat of the lowlands, to relax, or to perform pilgrimages. Visitors from other countries are drawn to the hill state because of its historical significance. New tourism niches, such as adventure, trekking, camping, and sport tourism, have been found for both domestic and foreign tourists. The State Government has started a number of initiatives to stimulate travel and tourism.

Keywords: Domestic Tourist, Pilgrimage Tourism, Tourism Occupation, Sustainable Tourism, Cross-Sectoral Synergies

1. INTRODUCTION

In Himachal Pradesh, tourism is growing as a sector of the economy and creating a significant number of jobs. The establishment of businesses, infrastructure, and the creation of jobs are all projected to contribute significantly to the socioeconomic development of the state. Through its backward and forward connections and cross-sectoral synergies with industries like agriculture, horticulture, poultry, handicrafts, transportation, and construction, among others, tourism has the ability to support other economic sectors.

Tourism expenditures trigger a series of transactions that call for the supply of products and services from these associated industries. The consumer demand brought on by tourist spending also leads to an increase in employment and has a positive multiplier effect on the economy. The potential for tourism in a region depends on the available resources. Making efforts to promote environmentally friendly tourism has become more important in recent years as a result of the tourism industry's rising impact on the environment's resources. Himachal is well-known both domestically and abroad as a renowned tourism destination.

Domestic travellers are drawn to this hill state for a variety of reasons, including taking in the tranquil surroundings, to escape the sweltering heat of the lowlands, to spend vacation time, or to make pilgrimage visits. The historical significance of the hill state also draws visitors from abroad. For both domestic and international travellers, new tourism niches have been identified, including adventure, trekking, camping, and sport tourism. The State Government has launched numerous measures to promote tourism and encourage exploration. An attempt has been made to analyse the growth of tourism in Himachal Pradesh in this chapter using secondary data.

2. REVIEWS OF PAST STUDIES

Previous studies conducted by various researchers Butter (1974) examined the social repercussions and noted that tourists typically come from wealthier neighbor hoods. One of the long-term societal repercussions of tourism is that it causes the general populace to adopt a lifestyle that is beyond their financial means. As a result, acculturation between visitors and indigenous societies begins. Tyagi (1989) According to the author of the report "Development and Potential of Tourism in Himachal Pradesh," the state of Himachal Pradesh has full tourism potential with all necessary components as well as in terms of various profession bases, making it a unique destination of attraction to tourists. She claimed that different tourist attractions helped this state get to where it could have been because a destination's tourism image is crucial to the growth of the industry, Raja (1992) Shimla, which served as the summer capital of British India prior to independence, is one of the best-known products of the British Empire. In his book, "Shimla-The Summer Capital of British India," he conducts research on this subject and provides an account of the decline of the town after the British left and it became the state capital of Himachal Pradesh. Meena (2004) India saw a very high surge in tourists in 2003, according to the author of "Tourism High in Incredible India." According to his research, 2.75 million tourists visited India in 2013, an increase of 6.3% from 2002. Additionally, he noted that the massive influx of visitors occurred in the first half of the year and was unaffected by the SARS illness or the Iraq War. Janta et al. (2020) They looked at how employment in tourism and working experiences affect migrant workers' adaption to the host society in their article, "Employment Experiences of Polish Migrant Works in the UK Hospitality Sector." It is stated that employment in the tourist industry gives people access to a variety of social networks, which helps them develop their social and cultural competencies. Such a network also aids in making up for the drawbacks of migration and the tourism industry.

3. DATA AND METHODS

The results have been analysed using the appropriate mathematical, accounting, and statistical tools of analysis, such as percentage, average, ratios, trend analysis, chi-square, compound growth, comparative analysis, correlation, F-

test, Chi square test, and rank Scale. The data information gathered from primary and source has been methodically organised and tabulated in an appropriate way. The tabulated results have been obtained by using following tools shown here only few due to space constraints:

Arithmetic Mean: Arithmetic mean has been used as a single value that has represented the group of values. The arithmetic mean has been calculated as under:

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\Sigma X}{N}$$

 \overline{X} = Arithmetic Mean

 ΣX = Summation of X component

N = Number of observations

Standard Deviation: The method of standard deviation has been used to measure the absolute dispersion or variability and magnitude and deviation of value from the mean. Standard deviation has been calculated as:

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma X^2}{N}}$$

Where σ = Standard Deviation

$$\Sigma X^2 = \Sigma (x - \bar{x})^2$$

N = Number of Observations

Co-efficient of Variance: Co-efficient of variance has been applied to ascertain the degree of variability in terms of percentage so as to understand the extent of uniformity of data. For this purpose, the following formula has been used:

$$C.V. = \frac{\sigma}{\overline{X}} \times 100$$

Where:

σ= Standard Deviation

 \overline{X} = Arithmetic Mean

4. OBJECTIVE

The present research paper aims to investigate the role of tourism in Himachal Pradesh's growth.

5. SUMMARY, RESULT AND DISCISSION 5.1. HIMACHAL PRADESH'S SHARE OF TOURISM IN GROSS STATE DOMESTIC PRODUCT AT CURRENT PRICE

The total value of the goods and services generated in any given fiscal year is known as the gross state domestic product (GSP). It is important for assessing per capita income. An analysis of a country's or state's GDP can be used to determine the size and growth rate of the economy. Since 2008–09, the following analysis has been done on the contribution of tourism to the Gross State Domestic Product of Himachal Pradesh at current prices:

During the study period, the Gross State Domestic Product (GSDP) value in Himachal Pradesh averaged Rs. 99, 85, 581.92 lakh with a standard deviation of 410.75 and a coefficient of variation of 41.13 percent. In the 2019–20 fiscal year, it was Rs. 1, 65, 47,239. Table 1 illustrates the GSDP's consistent increase since 2008-09-09, which is underpinned by compound growth of 13.53 percent. The year 2011–12, with a growth rate of 27.62 percent, saw the largest growth.

Himachal Pradesh's economy depends heavily on tourism, which is expanding quickly. This is corroborated by Table 1, which shows a 9.26 percent compound growth in the contribution of tourism to the state of Himachal Pradesh's overall GSDP.

Table 1 Himachal Pradesh's Share of Tourism in Gross State Domestic Product at Current

Table 1

Price

Year		Himach	al Pradesh	
	GSDP Total (Rs in Lakh)	Growth (in percent)	Tourism Share in GSDP (Rs. in Lakh)	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	4148310 (100) [3.46]	-	439993 (10.6) [4.51]	-
2009-10	4818859 (100) [4.02]	16.16	548866 (11.4) [5.63]	24.74
2010-11	5698033 (100) [4.75]	18.24	612649 (10.8) [6.28]	11.62
2011-12	7271983 (100) [6.06]	27.62	739274 (10.2) [7.58]	20.67
2012-13	8281977 (100) [6.91]	13.89	825804 (10.0) [8.47]	11.70
2013-14	9476416 (100) [7.90]	14.42	912969 (9.6) [9.36]	10.56
2014-15	10377232 (100) [8.66]	9.51	989465 (9.5) [10.14]	8.38
2015-16	11423910 (100) [9.53]	10.09	788250 (6.9) [8.08]	-20.34
2016-17	12563412 (100) [10.48]	9.97	866075 (6.9) [8.88]	9.87
2017-18	13835101 (100) [11.54]	10.12	913117 (6.6) [9.36]	5.43

11.20

1015378 (6.6)

[10.41]

15384511 (100)

[12.83]

2018-19

11.20

2019-20	16547239 (100)	7.56	1096725 (6.62)	8.01
	[13.80]			
Total	119826983 (100)		9748565 (8.13)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G		13.53		9.26
Mean	9985581.92 (100)		812380.42	
			(8.13)	
S.D	4107276.75		197870.42	
C.V	41.13		24.36	

Figures in large brackets $[\]$ denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

The data unmistakably shows that increase was observed practically every year during the study period, with the biggest growth occurring in the years 2009-10 (24.74 percent) and 2011-12 (20.67 percent), respectively. However, the 2015-16 fiscal year also experienced negative growth (i.e., -20.34percent). The average proportion of the GSDP for the study period was Rs. 812380.42 lakh (S.D. = 19.42 and C.V. = 24.36percent).

Such a minor difference also suggests that the increase in tourism's contribution of the gross domestic product has been observed at a nearly constant rate. The tourist sector's average contribution to the State's Gross Domestic Product throughout the study period was 8.13 percent.

5.2. SHARE OF TOURISM IN HIMACHAL PRADESH'S TOTAL PLAN OUTLAY

The plan outlay is a type of expenditure that accounts for a sizable amount of the government's overall spending. The average total plan expenditure in Himachal Pradesh, according to Table 2, was Rs. 439166.67 lakh, with a standard deviation of 147799.52 and a coefficient of variation of 33.65 percent. 2019–20 saw a 710000-lakh rupee increase.

The state's total plan expenditure increased steadily throughout the course of the research period, as seen by the overall compound growth of 10.37 percent. The year 2012–2013 saw the biggest growth (12.12 percent).

The government divides up the expenditure budgets for the various sectors from the overall plan outlay. One of the main sectors that the government oversees is tourism.

A total of Rs. 8513 lakh, or 1.2 percent of the overall budget for Himachal Pradesh (Rs. 710000 lakh), was set aside for tourism in the 2019–20 fiscal year. Although tourism continues to make up between 1 and 3 percent of plan expenditures each year, there has been a significant increase in this allocation, which is backed by a compound growth rate of 29.78 percent.

The share of tourism in total plan outlay experienced the biggest rise in the years 2011–12 (i.e., 132.89 percent), followed by 58.87 and 53.52 percent in 2013–14 and 2017–18, respectively. However, the year 2019–20–19 shows negative growth (i.e., –5.68percent).

Additionally, the percentage of tourism spending in Himachal Pradesh's overall Plan Outlay was only 0.9percent.

Table 2

Table 2 Sh	Table 2 Share of Tourism In Himachal Pradesh's Total Plan Outlay			
Year		Himachal F	radesh	
	Total Plan Outlay (Rs in Lakh)	Growth (in percent)	Allocation for Tourism (Rs in lakh)	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	240000 (100) [4.6]	-	733 (0.3) [1.53]	-
2009-10	270000 (100) [5.1]	12.50	740 (0.3) [1.55]	0.95
2010-11	300000 (100) [5.7]	11.11	745 (0.2) [1.56]	0.68
2011-12	330000 (100) [6.3]	10.00	1735 (0.5) [3.63]	132.8
2012-13	370000 (100) [7.0]	12.12	1775 (0.5) [0.03]	2.31
2013-14	410000 (100) [7.8]	10.81	2820 (0.7) [5.91]	58.87
2014-15	440000 (100) [8.3]	7.32	3200 (0.7) [6.71]	13.48
2015-16	480000 (100) [9.1]	9.09	4325 (0.9) [9.06]	35.16
2016-17	520000 (100) [9.9]	8.33	5800 (1.1) [12.16]	34.10
2017-18	570000 (100) [10.8]	9.62	8904 (1.6) [18.67]	53.52
2018-19	630000 (100) [12.0]	10.53	8398 (1.3) [17.61]	-5.68
2019-20	710000 [13.5]	12.70	8513 (1.19) [17.85]	1.37
Total	5270000 (100) [100]		47688 (0.90) [100]	
C.G		10.37		29.78
Mean	439166.6 (100)		3974.0 (0.90)	
S.D.	147799.5		3178.1	
C.V	33.65		79.97	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

5.3. ARRIVAL OF DOMESTIC TOURISTS IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Table 3 provides year-by-year information on the increase in domestic visitors who visited Himachal Pradesh and the Shimla area throughout the study period. As shown in Table 3, the average number of domestic tourist arrivals in the state over the course of the study was 1,51,074,415 (S.D. = 2838696.48 and C.V. = 18.79percent), with compound growth of 5.90percent. Nevertheless, negative compound growth decreased between the years 2013–2014 (-5.95 percent) and 2019–2020 (-15.87 percent). The state saw the biggest growth in international

visitor arrivals in the year 2009–10, or 17.75 percent, followed by 2010–11, or 16.09 percent, and 2011–12, or 13.99 percent. The average number of domestic visitors to the Shimla district throughout the study period was 2901793, or nearly 19.20 percent of the average number of visitors to the state. This demonstrates unequivocally that Shimla, one of the state's twelve districts, is one of the most visited destinations by both domestic and international tourists. A total of 30, 30,246 domestic tourists visited the Shimla district in 2019–20, up from 20, 61,539 in the years 2008–2009–20. The number of tourists who visited the area was at its maximum in 2017, at 34,16,629, and at its lowest in 2008-2009, at 20,61,539.

Table 3

Table 3				
Table 3 Arrival of Domestic Tourists in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Himach	al Pradesh	Shimla	
	No. of Domestic / Indian Tourist	Growth (in percent)	No. of Domestic / Indian Tourist	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	9372697 (100) [5.2]	-	2061539 (22.0) [5.92]	-
2009-10	11036572 (100) [6.1]	17.75	2175314 (19.7) [6.24]	5.52
2010-11	12811986 (100) [7.1]	16.09	2485564 (19.4) [7.13]	14.3
2011-12	14604888 (100) [8.1]	13.99	2818270 (19.3) [8.09]	13.39
2012-13	15646048 (100) [8.6]	7.13	3195332 (20.4) [9.17]	13.38
2013-14	14715586 (100) [8.1]	-5.95	2992991 (20.3) [8.59]	-6.33
2014-15	15924701 (100) [8.8]	8.22	3193637 (20.1) [9.17]	6.70
2015-16	17125045 (100) [9.4]	7.54	3261152 (19.0) [9.36]	2.11
2016-17	17997750 (100) [9.9]	5.10	3416629 (19.0) [9.81]	4.77
2017-18	19130541 (100) [10.6]	6.29	3318829 (17.3) [9.53]	-2.86
2018-19	16093935 (100) [8.9]	-15.87	2872013 (17.8) [8.24]	-13.5
2019-20	16829231 [9.3]	4.57	3030246 (18.0) [8.70]	5.51
Total	181288980 (100) [100]		34821516 (19.2) [100]	
C.G		5.90		3.91
Mean	15107415.0 (100)		2901793.0 (19.2)	
S.D.	2838696.48		445092.16	
C.V	18.79		15.34	

Figures in small brackets () denote the percentage of the row total.

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

Domestic visitor arrivals in the Shimla district saw positive increase during the research period for most of the year, with an overall compound rise of 3.91 percent. However, there was negative growth in the years 2013–14, 2017–18, and 2019–20–19, or -6.33 percent, -2.86 percent, and -13.46 percent, respectively. The data unambiguously shows that domestic tourist visits increased by 14.26 percent in the year 2010–11, followed by 13.39 and 13.38 percent growth in the years 2011–12 and 2012–13.

Table 4

Table 4	Arrival of Foreign Tour	ists in Himachal Pr	adesh	
Year	Himachal P	radesh	Shim	la
	No. of Foreigner Tourist	Growth (in percent)	No. of Foreigner Tourist	Growth (in percent)
2008- 09	376736 (100) [7.4]	-	112917 (30.0) [6.64]	-
2009- 10	400583 (100) [7.9]	6.33	108981 (27.2) [6.41]	-3.49
2010- 11	453616 (100) [8.9]	13.24	127737 (28.2) [7.51]	17.21
2011- 12	484518 (100) [9.5]	6.81	134167 (27.7) [7.89]	5.03
2012- 13	500284 (100) [9.8]	3.25	158671 (31.7) [9.33]	18.26
2013- 14	414249 (100) [8.1]	-17.20	164006 (39.6) [9.64]	3.36
2014- 15	389699 (100) [7.7]	-5.93	156235 (40.1) [9.18]	-4.74
2015- 16	406108 (100) [8.0]	4.21	154155 (38.0) [9.06]	-1.33
2016- 17	452770 (100) [8.9]	11.49	165476 (36.5) [9.73]	7.34
2017- 18	470992 (100) [9.3]	4.02	162168 (34.4) [7.77]	-2.00
2018- 19	356568 (100) [7.0]	-24.29	123000 (34.5) [7.23]	-24.15
2019- 20	382876 (100) [7.5]	7.38	132608 (34.6) [7.79]	7.81
Total	5088999 (100) [100]		1700121 (33.4) [100]	
C.G		0.85		2.12
Mean	424083.2 (100)		141676.7 (33.5)	
S.D.	46716.68		20712.88	
C.V	11.02		14.62	

Figures in small brackets () denote the percentage of the row total.

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

Foreign travelers have expressed interest in visiting Himachal Pradesh. Table 4 makes it abundantly evident that during the study period, a total of 50, 88,999 foreign visitors came to the state.

More than 4 lakh international visitors arrive on average each year, with a variation of 48 000, or 11 percent (X=4,24,083, S.D =46716 and C.V =11.02percent). The number was 3,76,736 in the 2008–2009 fiscal year, although only 0.85percent of compound growth indicates a little shift in foreign visitor arrivals during the study period. This might be as a result of negative growth in the years 2019–20–19, which is -24.29 percent, 2013–2014, which is 17.20 percent, and 2014–2015, which is -5.93 percent. Otherwise, positive growth can be shown in the subsequent years. The year 2010–2011 saw the biggest growth in international visitor numbers, at 13.24 percent.

Nearly 33.40 percent of all foreign visitors to the state went to Shimla, reflecting the district's prominence as a tourism destination relative to the other districts of the state. Average annual visitor numbers to Shimla district are 1,41,676.75 (S.D. = 20712.88, C.V. = 14.62 percent), which is about 25percent more than the number of international visitors in 2008–2009. The district received 2.12 percent more visits from foreign tourists overall throughout the study period, according to a calculation.

However, it was discovered that there was negative growth in the years 2014–15, 2015–16, 2017–18, and 2019–20–19, with percentages of -4.74, -1.33, -2.00, and -24.15 respectively. Data plainly shows that the number of foreign visitors has been falling off since 2016–17. The year 2012–2013 saw the biggest growth, at 18.26percent, which was followed by the year 2010–2011 at 17.26percent. The number of international visitor arrivals peaked in 2016–17 (1,65,476), accounting for 36.5 percent of all foreign visitor arrivals in Himachal Pradesh.

5.4. EMPLOYMENT IN HOTELS & GUEST HOUSES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Increased tourism benefits travellers as well as residents by generating job possibilities. Table 5 has been analysed to determine how many persons in the research region found employment in hotels and guesthouses. According to Table 5, 25676 persons were employed in hotels and guesthouses in Himachal Pradesh during the 2019–20 fiscal year. There has been an increase in the number of personnel engaged in hotels and guesthouses from 2008-09-09 to 2019-20, namely 13935 and 25676 (Mean=18735.83, S.D =4022.49, and C.V.=21.47), with a compound growth rate of 5.75 percent.

The year 2016 saw the most growth in the state's hotel and guest house workforce, at 14.01 percent, while the year 2010–2011 saw the lowest growth, at 2.89 percent. In Himachal Pradesh, there were 2,24,830 people employed in hotels and guesthouses from 2008-09-09 to 2019-20. In the Shimla district, or 17.8 percent of the state, there were 4596 individuals employed in hotels and guest homes in the 2019–20 fiscal year. As shown by the data in Table 5, there have been more employees working in hotels and guesthouses from 2008-09 to 2019-20, or from 3541 to 4569.

Table 5

Table 5 Employment in Hotels & Guest Houses in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Year Himachal Pradesh Shimla			
	No. of Employee in Hotel & Guest Houses	Growth (in percent)	No. of Employee in Hotel & Guest Houses	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	13935 (100) [6.19]	-	3541 (25.41) [7.40]	-
2009-10	14677 (100)	5.32	3601 (24.53)	1.69

	[6.52]		[7.53]	
2010-11	15101 (100)	2.89	3657 (24.21)	1.56
	[6.71]		[8.74]	
2011-12	15719 (100)	4.09	3677 (23.39)	0.55
	[6.99]		[7.68]	
2012-13	16276 (100)	3.54	3787 (23.26)	2.99
	[7.23]		[7.91]	
2013-14	17364 (100)	6.68	3810 (21.94)	0.61
	[7.72]		[7.96]	
2014-15	17867 (100)	2.90	3986 (22.30)	4.62
	[7.94]		[8.33]	
2015-16	19053 (100)	6.64	4066 (21.34)	2.01
	[8.47]		[8.50]	
2016-17	21722 (100)	14.01	4296 (19.77)	5.66
	[9.66]		[8.98]	
2017-18	23175 (100)	6.69	4350 (18.77)	1.26
	[10.30]		[9.09]	
2018-19	24265 (100)	4.70	4476 (18.44)	2.90
	[10.79]		[9.36]	
2019-20	25676 (100)	5.81	4569 (17.79)	2.08
	[11.42]		[9.55]	
Total	224830 (100)		47816 (21.26)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G	. ,	5.75		2.36
Mean	18735.83 (100)		3984.67 (21.26)	
S.D.	4022.49		361.25	
C.V	21.47		9.07	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

During the study period, there were 47816 employees working in hotels and guesthouses in the Shimla district (X=3984.67, S.D=361.25, C.V=9.07), representing a compound growth rate of 2.36 percent. The average number of persons employed in hotels and guesthouses in the Shimla district during the study period was 3984.67, or nearly 21.26 percent of the average number of people employed in hotels and guesthouses across the state. The Shimla district saw the lowest growth in the number of employees in hotels and guest houses in the year 2011–12 (i.e. 0.55 percent) and the largest growth in the year 2016–17 (i.e. 5.66 percent).

5.5. EMPLOYMENT IN BARS & RESTAURANTS IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

The growth of tourism opens up a variety of job opportunities for residents. Table 6 was used to conduct an analysis to determine how many people in the research region found employment in bars and restaurants.

Table 6

Table 6 Employment in Bars & Restaurants in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Himachal Prad	lesh	Shim	la
	No. of Employee in Bar and Restaurants	Growth (in percent)	No. of Employee in Bar and Restaurants	Growth (in percent)
2008- 09	1647 (100) [4.8]	-	412 (25.01) [6.18]	-
2009- 10	1980 (100) [5.7]	20.22	435 (21.96) [6.53]	5.58
2010- 11	2082 (100) [6.0]	5.15	461 (22.1) [6.92]	5.98
2011- 12	2198 (100) [6.4]	5.57	468 (21.3) [7.02]	1.52
2012- 13	2387 (100) [6.9]	8.60	516 (21.6) [7.74]	10.26
2013- 14	2818 (100) [8.2]	18.06	528 (18.7) [7.92]	2.33
2014- 15	3159 (100) [9.2]	12.10	598 (18.9) [8.98]	13.26
2015- 16	3406 (100) [9.9]	7.82	624 (18.3) [9.37]	4.35
2016- 17	3600 (100) [10.5]	5.7	624 (17.3) [9.37]	0.00
2017- 18	3647 (100) [10.6]	1.31	670 (18.4) [10.06]	7.37
2018- 19	3705 (100) [10.8]	1.59	626 (16.9) [9.40]	-6.57
2019- 20	3813 (100) [11.1]	2.91	697 (18.27) [10.46]	11.34
Total	34442 (100) [100]		6659 (19.33) [100]	
C.G		8.09		5.04
Mean	2870.17 (100)		554.92 (19.33)	
S.D	799.88		96.91	
C.V	27.17		17.46	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

According to the data in Table 6, the state's total workforce for bars and restaurants has grown steadily throughout the course of the research years. From the fiscal years 2008-09 to 2019-20, the number of employees increased from 1647 to 3813, representing a compound annual growth rate of 8.09 percent (X= 2870.17, S.D = 779.88, C.V = 27.17).

Over the course of the study period, a changing tendency was also visible in the total employment in bars and restaurants in Himachal Pradesh. During the study period, there were 34442 employees working in bars and restaurants in the state,

an increase of 8.6percent from 1647 employees in 2008-09 to 3813 employees in 2019-20.

The overall number of pub and restaurant employees in the Shimla district was 6659 during the study period, which is 19.33 percent of the state. With one exception (i.e. -6-57) in the year 2018–19, the number of employees employed in bars and restaurants increased from 2008–09 to 2019–20. With a mean of 554.92 employees, a standard deviation of 96.91, a coefficient of variation of 17.46, and compound growth of 5.04 percent, there were on average 554 employees working during the study period. This year, a negative percentage change of 6.57 percent was seen.

According to the information in Table 6, there have been more employees working in pubs and restaurants each year. Between the years 2008–09 and 2019–20, the number increased dramatically from 412 to 697. The years 2014–15 and 2019–20 experienced the highest growth rates, or 13.26 percent and 11.34 percent, respectively. On the other hand, the years 2019–20 and 2011–12 saw the lowest increase, at 1.46 percent and 1.52 percent, respectively, due to the prior impact of COVID–19.

5.6. EMPLOYMENT AS TOURIST GUIDES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Growth and development in the tourism industry increase employment opportunities not only in the hotel, guest house, bar and restaurant industries but also in other fields where people are employed primarily as a result of tourism, such as working as a tour guide, travel agent, photographer, etc. Such job chances are also accessible in Himachal Pradesh, where the Shimla district is a well-known tourist destination. There has been an endeavour to learn more about the current situation regarding the number of people working as guides in Himachal Pradesh and the Shimla district of the State. From 2008-09 to 2019-20, there was a rise in this type of employment.

Table 7

Table 7 Employment as Tourist Guides in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Himac	hal Pradesh	Shimla	
	No. of Employees as Guide	Growth (in percent)	No. of Employees as Guide	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	359 (100) [3.82]	-	206 (57.38) [6.91]	-
2009-10	415 (100) [4.4]	15.60	220 (53.0) [7.38]	6.80
2010-11	525 (100) [5.6]	26.51	281 (53.52) [9.43]	27.73
2011-12	545 (100) [5.8]	3.81	288 (52.84) [9.67]	2.49
2012-13	582 (100) [6.2]	6.79	297 (51.03) [9.97]	3.13
2013-14	934 (100) [9.9]	60.48	294 (31.47) [9.87]	-1.01
2014-15	981 (100) [10.4]	5.03	302 (30.78) [10.14]	2.72

966 (100) [10.3]	-1.53	331 (34.26)	9.60
908 (100)	-6.00	189 (20.81)	-42.9
980 (100) [10.4]	7.93	189 (19.28)	0.00
1015 (100) [10.8]	3.57	173 (17.04)	-8.47
1186 (100) [12.6]	16.85	207(17.45)	19.65
9396 (100) [100]		2977 (31.68) [100]	
	12.64		1.79
783.0 (100)		248.08 (31.68)	
277.16		55.45	
35.4		22.35	
	[10.3] 908 (100) [9.7] 980 (100) [10.4] 1015 (100) [10.8] 1186 (100) [12.6] 9396 (100) [100] 783.0 (100) 277.16	[10.3] 908 (100) -6.00 [9.7] 980 (100) 7.93 [10.4] 1015 (100) 3.57 [10.8] 1186 (100) 16.85 [12.6] 9396 (100) [100] 12.64 783.0 (100) 277.16	[10.3] [11.11] 908 (100) -6.00 189 (20.81) [9.7] [6.34] 980 (100) 7.93 189 (19.28) [10.4] [6.34] 1015 (100) 3.57 173 (17.04) [10.8] [5.81] 1186 (100) 16.85 207(17.45) [12.6] [6.95] 9396 (100) 2977 (31.68) [100] 12.64 783.0 (100) 248.08 (31.68) 277.16 55.45

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

According to Table 7, 783 people in the state on average found work as tour guides during the study period. Significantly more variety in finding employment throughout the study period is indicated by the Standard Deviation of 277.16 and Coefficient of Variance of 35.40 percent. Even yet, it is possible to view this fluctuation as positive, which is backed by the 12.64 percent total compound growth. With the exception of the years 2015–16 (-1.53percent) and 2016–17 (–6.00percent), practically every year during the study period saw positive development. The year 2013–2014 saw a 60.48 percent increase in the likelihood of finding such work.

The number of people employed as guides climbed to 1186 in the year 2019–20, which is also the maximum year during the study period, according to the data in Table 7. In the year 2008–09, only 359 people were worked as guides. During the study period, 248.08 people on average were employed as guides in the Shimla district, which is over 31.68 percent more than the state as a whole. Throughout the research period, there has been a slow increase in employment. However, Shimla is home to roughly 32 percent of the people working as guides in the state as a whole. In the Shimla district, 331 guides, the most guides ever, were engaged in 2015–16, followed by 302 guides in 2014–15, 297 guides in 2012–13, and 294 guides in 2013–14. After analysis, it can be shown that in the Shimla district, people are currently displaying less interest in choosing to work as a guide compared to prior study years, as 2016 had a negative growth rate of -42.90 percent, and 2019–20 will have a growth rate of -8.5 percent. Because of this, the overall growth rate was assessed to be 1.79 percent. Overall, it can be said that although if 31.68 percent of all guides are employed in Shimla, the number of such workers is not very significant.

5.7. EMPLOYMENT AS TRAVEL AGENTS IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Not only can hotels, guest houses, bars, restaurants, and tour guides offer employment prospects, but there are other fields where individuals are employed primarily because of tourism, such as working as a travel agent. These job chances are also accessible in Himachal Pradesh, where the Shimla district is a well-known tourist destination. An effort has been made to understand the current situation regarding the number of people working as travel agents in Himachal Pradesh and the Shimla area of the State, as well as the significant growth in such employment from 2008–09 to 2019–20.

According to Table 8, on average, 4.11.50 people in the state found work as travel brokers from 2008–09 to 2019–20. A total of 48138 people found work as travel agents during the study period in the tourist industry. Greater variety in finding employment throughout the study period is indicated by the Standard Deviation of 1714.40 and Coefficient of Variance of 42.74 percent. However, this variation can be seen as good, which is backed by the 12.04 percent overall compound increases. With the exception of 2014–15, which saw -0.82 percent growth, practically every year during the study period saw positive growth. The year 2015–16 saw a 22.91 percent increase in the likelihood of finding such work. According to the data in Table 8, there were only 2127 travel agents working in the years 2008–09, 2460 in 2009–10, 2566 in 2010–11, and 2658 in 2011–12. However, in 2019–20, the number grew to 7267, which is likewise the most during the study period.

Table 8

Table 8 Employment as Travel Agents in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Himach	al Pradesh	Shimla	
	No. of Employees as Travel Agent	Growth (in percent)	No. of Employees as Travel Agent	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	2127 (100) [4.4]	-	380 (17.86) [4.30]	-
2009-10	2460 (100) [5.11]	15.66	395 (16.05) [4.47]	3.89
2010-11	2566 (100) [5.33]	4.31	367 (14.30) [4.15]	-7.09
2011-12	2658 (100) [5.56]	3.59	387 (14.55) [4.38]	5.45
2012-13	3032 (100) [6.37]	14.07	417 (13.75) [4.72]	7.75
2013-14	3428 (100) [7.16]	13.06	594 (17.32) [6.72]	42.45
2014-15	3400 (100) [7.06]	-0.82	340 (10.00) [3.84]	-42.76
2015-16	4179 (100) [8.7]	22.91	742 (17.75) [8.40]	118.24
2016-17	4708 (100) [9.8]	12.66	819 (17.39) [9.27]	10.38
2017-18	5675 (100) [11.8]	20.54	1019 (17.95)	24.42

			[11.53]	
2018-19	6638 (100)	16.97	1586 (23.89)	55.64
	[13.8]		[17.95]	
2019-20	7267 (100)	9.48	1787 (24.59)	12.67
	[15.09]		[20.23]	
Total	48138 (100)		8833 (18.34)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G		12.04		21.00
Mean	4011.50 (100)		736.08 (18.34)	
S.D	1714.4		494.87	
C.V	42.74		67.23	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

Approximately 736.08 people, or 18.34 percent of the state's workforce, were employed as travel agents in the Shimla district on average over the course of the study. Greater variety in finding employment throughout the study period is indicated by the Standard Deviation of 494.87 and Coefficient of Variance of 67.23 percent. Such employment has experienced positive growth, which is backed by general compound growth of 21.00 percent. Except for the years 2010–2011 (-7.09 percent) and 2014–2015 (-42.76 percent), almost every year shows positive development. The year 2015–16 saw a 118.24 percent change in the likelihood of finding such work. According to the data, just 380 and 1787 persons were working as travel agents in the years 2008–09 and 2019–20, respectively.

Following investigation, it was found that the Shimla district has a higher-than-average concentration of individuals interested in working as travel agents, with Shimla accounting for 18.34 percent of all travel agency employment. Despite this, the number of such workers is still not particularly noteworthy.

5.8. EMPLOYMENT AS PHOTOGRAPHERS IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

According to Table 9, just 606 people were employed as photographers in the years 2008–09 and 2019–20, respectively. The table shows that, on average, 796.58 people in the State found photography jobs during the study period. Greater variety in obtaining employment throughout the study period is indicated by the Standard Deviation of 180.37 and Coefficient of Variance of 22.64 percent, which is supported by overall compound growth of 5.61 percent.

With the exception of the years 2012–2013 (–5.39percent) and 2019–2020 (–21.26 percent), positive growth was seen during the study period in the following years: 2009–2010 (2.15 percent), 2010–2011 (7.43 percent), 2011–2012 (0.45 percent), 2013–2014 (20.57 percent), 2015–2016 (5.48 percent), 2016–2017 (33.46 percent), and 2017–2018 (6.74 percent). The year 2016–17 saw the largest change in the likelihood of landing such a job (33.46 percent). During the survey period, there were on average 264.33 photographers working in the tourism sector in the Shimla district, making up around 33.18 percent of the State. During the study period, there was a negative growth in the number of photographers employed, which is substantiated by a negative compound growth rate, or -0.19 percent.

However, about 33.18 percent (3172 people) of the state's entire workforce of photographers (9559 people) are Shimla residents. In the Shimla district, 359 people were working as photographers at their peak in the years 2015–16 and 2017–18.

Table 9

Table 9 Employment as Photographers in Himachal Pradesh				
Year	Himach	al Pradesh	Sl	himla
	No. of Employee as Photographer	Growth (in percent)	No. of Employee as	Growth (in percent)
	40.4.4.4.0		Photographer	
2008-09	606 (100)	-	213 (35.1)	-
	[6.3]		[6.71]	
2009-10	619 (100)	2.15	215 (34.7)	0.94
	[6.5]		[6.77]	
2010-11	665 (100)	7.43	253 (38.0)	17.67
	[7.0]		[7.97]	
2011-12	668 (100)	0.45	261 (39.1)	3.16
	[7.0]		[8.22]	
2012-13	632 (100)	-5.39	261 (41.3)	0.00
	[6.6]		[8.22]	
2013-14	762 (100)	20.57	330 (43.3)	26.44
	[8.0]		[10.40]	
2014-15	748 (100)	-1.84	340 (45.5)	3.03
	[7.8]		[10.71]	
2015-16	789 (100)	5.48	359 (45.5)	5.59
	[8.3]		[11.31]	
2016-17	1053 (100)	33.46	355 (33.7)	-1.11
	[11.0]		[11.19]	
2017-18	1124 (100)	6.74	359 (31.9)	1.13
	[11.8]		[11.31]	
2018-19	885 (100)	-21.26	107 (12.1)	-70.19
	[9.3]		[3.37]	
2019-20	1008 (100)	13.90	119 (11.8)	11.21
	[10.54]		[3.75]	
Total	9559 (100)		3172 (33.18)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G		5.61		-0.19
Mean	796.58 (100)		264.33 (33.18)	
S.D.	180.37		89.07	
C.V	22.64		33.7	

Figures in small brackets () denote the percentage of the row total.

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

After analysis, it can be seen from the data in Table 9 that in the Shimla district, people are less interested in choosing to work as photographers than they were in previous study years. This is because the growth rates for 2019–20 are negative (-70.19 percent) and for 2016–17 are negative (-1.11 percent), but for 2019–20, they are increasing by 119 (11.21 percent). Because of this, the overall growth rate was

assessed to be negative (-0.19percent). Overall, it can be said that although 33.18 percent of all photographers are employed in Shimla, the number of photographers working there has been steadily declining over time. The rise of smart phones and other electronic devices may be to blame for the decline in the number of persons working as photographers.

5.9. EMPLOYMENT OF HIMACHALI'S EMPLOYED IN TOURISM

Table 10 demonstrates that during the study period, a total of 267942 Himachali persons were employed in the tourism industry. In the year 2008–09, 15768 Himachali workers made up the 267942 (100percent) Himachali employees working in the tourism sector.

These workers were followed by 17060 in 2009–10, 17766 in 2010, 18424 in 2011, 18753 in 2012–13, 20688 in 2013, 21617 in 2014–15, 23056 in 2015–16, 25939 in 2016, 27646 in 2017, 29258 in 2018, and 31967 in 2019–20. During the study period, there was an increase in the number of Himachali workers in the state (Mean = 22328.50, S.D = 5270.84, and C.V = 23.61), with a compound growth rate of 6.68 percent.

The state had a 12.50 percent increase in the number of Himachali employees during the 2016–17 fiscal year. 59379 Himachalis, or 22.16 percent of the state's workforce, were employed as tourists in the Shimla district overall during the study period. According to the data in the table, the number of Himachali employees climbed regularly from 2008–09 to 2019–20 (from 4163 to 5797), with the exception of 2011–12, when Shimla district had negative growth, or -13.41 percent.

The average growth in Himachali employees working in tourism in the Shimla district during the course of the survey was 4948.25, with a standard deviation of 562.90 and a coefficient of variation of 11.38 percent.

The small deviation indicates that there was uniformity in growth of Himachali employees in the state during the study period. The growth rate of increase in number of Himachali employee in state is recorded 3.29 percent. In Shimla district, the highest number of growths in Himachali employee is recorded in the year 2019-20 (i.e. 5797).

Table 10

Table 10 Employment of Himachali's Employed in Tourism				
Year	Himachal Pradesh			Shimla
	No. of Himachali Employee in Tourism	Growth (in percent)	No. of Himachali Employee in Tourism	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	15768 (100) [5.9]	-	4163 (26.4) [7.01]	-
2009-10	17060 (100) [6.4]	8.2	4254 (24.9) [7.16]	2.19
2010-11	17766 (100) [6.6]	4.1	5019 (28.3) [8.45]	17.98
2011-12	18424 (100) [6.9]	3.7	4346 (23.6) [7.31]	-13.41
2012-13	18753 (100) [7.0]	1.8	4487 (23.9) [7.55]	3.24
2013-14	20688 (100) [7.7]	10.3	4651 (25.5)	3.66

			[7.83]	
2014-15	21617 (100)	4.5	4991 (23.1)	7.31
	[8.1]		[8.40]	
2015-16	23056 (100)	6.7	5198 (22.5)	4.15
	[8.6]		[8.75]	
2016-17	25939 (100)	12.5	5309 (20.5)	2.14
	[9.7]		[8.94]	
2017-18	27646 (100)	6.6	5580 (20.2)	5.10
	[10.3]		[9.39]	
2018-19	29258 (100)	5.8	5584 (19.1)	0.07
	[10.9]		[9.40]	
2019-20	31967(11.9)	6.7	5797 (18.13)	3.81
	[11.9]		[9.76]	
Total	267942 (100)		59379 (22.16)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G		6.4		3.29
Mean	22328.50 (100)		4948.25 (22.7)	
S.D	5270.84		562.9	
C.V	23.61		11.38	

Figures in large brackets $[\]$ denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

5.10. EMPLOYMENT OF NON-HIMACHALI EMPLOYED IN TOURISM

The following table details the percentage of non-Himachali individuals that were employed in the tourism industry during the study period. According to Table 11, 59098 non-Himachali individuals were employed in the tourism industry throughout the study period.

Out of total 59098 Non-Himachali employees in tourism, 2906 (4.9 percent) Non-Himachali people were employed in the year 2008-09, followed by 3091 (5.2 percent) in year 2009-10, 3173 (5.4 percent) in the year 2010-11, 3353 (5.7 percent) in the year 2011-12, 4156 (7.0 percent) in the year 2012-13, 4618 (7.8 percent) in the year 2013-14, 4538 (7.7 percent) in the year 2014-15, 5337 (9.0 percent) in the year 2015-16, 6052 (10.2 percent) in the year 2016-17, 6955 (11.8 percent) in the year 2017-18, 7250 (12.3 percent) in the year 2018-19, and 7669 (13.0 percent) in the year 2019-20.

Table 11

Table 11 Employment of Non-Himachali Employed in Tourism					
Year	Himac	hal Pradesh	9	Shimla	
	No. of Non- Himachali Employee in Tourism	Growth (in percent)	No. of Non- Himachali Employee in Tourism	Growth (in percent)	
2008-09	2906 (100) [4.91]	-	589 (20.26) [6.4]	-	
2009-10	3091 (100) [5.23]	6.37	612 (19.79) [6.6]	3.90	

2010-11	3173 (100) [5.36]	2.65	712 (22.43) [7.7]	16.34
2011 12	3353 (100)	F 67		2.22
2011-12	[5.67]	5.67	735 (21.92)	3.23
	4156 (100)		[8.0]	
2012-13	[7.02]	23.95	791 (19.03)	7.62
	= =		[8.6]	
2013-14	4618 (100)	11.12	905 (19.59)	14.41
	[7.81]		[9.8]	
2014-15	4538 (100)	-1.73	575 (12.67)	-36.56
	[7.67]		[6.2]	
2015-16	5337 (100)	17.61	924 (17.31)	60.70
	[9.03]		[10.0]	
2016-17	6052 (100)	13.40	974 (16.09)	5.41
	[10.24]		[10.6]	
2017-18	6955 (100)	14.92	1007 (14.47)	3.39
	[11.76]		[10.9]	
2018-19	7250 (100)	4.24	1384 (19.08)	37.44
2010 17	[12.26]	1.2 1	[15.0]	07111
2019-20	7669 (100)	5.78	1489 (19.41)	7.59
2017-20	[12.97]	3.70	[13.91]	7.57
Total	59098 (100)		10697 (18.10)	
Total	` *		[100]	
0.0	[100]	0.45	[100]	44.00
C.G		9.45		11.23
Mean	4924.83(100)		891.42 (18.10)	
S.D	1713.9		295.04	
C.V	34.8		33.1	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

The number of non-Himachali employees in the state increased over the research period (Mean = 4924.83, S.D = 1713.90, and C.V = 34.80), with a compound growth rate of 9.45 percent. The increase in non-Himachali employees in the state rose at a rate of 23.95 percent in the year 2012-13, followed by 17.61 percent in 2015-16 and 14.92 percent in 2017-18.

During the research period, a total of 10697 non-Himachali individuals were employed by the tourist industry in the Shimla district, making up 18.1percent of the state.

According to the data in the table, non-Himachali employees climbed regularly from 2008–09 to 2019–20, from 589 to 1489, with the exception of 2014–15, when Shimla district saw a negative growth rate of -36.46 percent.

The number of non-Himachali workers employed in the tourist industry in Shimla district rose by an average of 891.42 throughout the course of the research, with a standard deviation of 295.04 and a coefficient of variation of 33.10. It's interesting to note that 18.1 percent of non-Himachalis are employed in the industry.

5.11. HOTELS AND GUEST HOUSES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

According to Table 12, the tourism department in Himachal Pradesh has 30594 hotels and guest homes listed as registered. The number of hotels and guesthouses increased positively from 1992 to 3679 between 2008-09 and 2019-20.

The average growth in hotels and guesthouses over the research period in Himachal Pradesh was 2549.50, with a standard deviation of 492.09 and a coefficient of variation of 19.30 percent.

Table 12

Table 12 Hotels and Guest Houses in Himachal Pradesh				
Year		al Pradesh		nimla
Teur	No. of Hotels and Guest Houses	Growth (in percent)	No. of Hotels and Guest Houses	Growth (in percent)
2008-09	1992 (100) [6.5]	-	377 (18.92) [7.33]	-
2009-10	2139 (100) [7.0]	7.38	379 (17.71) [7.37]	0.53
2010-11	2150 (100) [7.0]	0.51	388 (18.04) [7.55]	2.37
2011-12	2200 (100) [7.2]	2.33	393 (17.86) [7.65]	1.29
2012-13	2247 (100) [7.3]	2.14	397 (17.66) [7.72]	1.02
2013-14	2377 (100) [7.8]	5.79	404 (16.99) [7.86]	1.76
2014-15	2416 (100) [7.9]	1.64	434 (17.96) [8.44]	7.43
2015-16	2604 (100) [8.5]	7.78	450 (17.28) [8.75]	3.69
2016-17	2784 (100) [9.1]	6.91	450 (16.16) [8.75]	0.00
2017-18	2907 (100) [9.5]	4.42	480 (16.51) [9.34]	6.67
2018-19	3099 (100) [10.1]	6.60	476 (15.35) [9.26]	-0.83
2019-20	3679 (100) [10.1]	18.72	509 (13.83) [8.87]	6.93
Total	30594 (100) [100]		5137 (16.79) [100]	
C.G		5.84		2.80
Mean	2549.5 (100)		428.08 (16.79)	
S.D	492.09		44.66	
C.V	19.3	es the managete as of the su	10.43	

Figures in small brackets () denote the percentage of the row total.

Figures in large brackets $[\]$ denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

The slight variation shows that hotel and guest house growth in the state was consistent over the course of the study period. The number of hotels and guest homes has increased at a pace of 5.84 percent. The largest rate of increase in hotels and guesthouses, or 18.72 percent, was seen in Himachal Pradesh during the 2019–20 fiscal year.

During the research period, there were 5137 hotels and guesthouses registered in the Shimla district, making up 16.8percent of the state's total. According to statistics in Table 12, the number of hotels and guest houses climbed constantly from 2008-09 to 2019-20 (from 377 to 509), with the exception of 2018-19, when Shimla district had negative growth, or -0.83 percent. Additionally, compared to the state as a whole, the percentage rise in the number of hotels and guest houses in the Shimla area was lower practically every year.

5.12. HOME STAY TOURISM IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Table 13

	e Stay Tourism in H	-		N 1 .
Year		hal Pradesh		Shimla
	No. of Home Stay	Growth (in percent)	No. of Home Stay	Growth (in percent)
2011-12	409 (100)	-	61 (14.91)	-
	[4.50]		[3.17]	
2012-13	522 (100)	27.63	99 (18.96)	62.30
	[5.75]		[5015]	
2013-14	576 (100)	10.34	127 (22.04)	28.28
	[6.34]		[6.61]	
2014-15	662 (100)	14.93	161 (24.32)	26.77
	[7.29]		[8.38]	
2015-16	787 (100)	18.98	195 (24.77)	21.12
	[8.67]		[10.15]	
2016-17	876 (100)	11.32	235 (26.82)	20.51
	[9.65]		[12.23]	
2017-18	1220 (100)	39.27	273 (22.37)	16.17
	[13.44]		[14.21]	
2018-19	1604 (100)	31.48	312 (19.45)	14.29
	[17.68]		[16.25]	
2019-20	2416 (100)	50.63	457 (18.91)	46.47
	[26.63]		[23.80]	
Total	9072 (100)		1920 (21.16)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G.		25.56		29.49
Mean	1008.00(100)		213.33 (21.16)	
S.D	647.13		122.45	
C.V	64.2		57.4	

^{*}Data is not available for the years, 2008-09 & 2009-10 home stay scheme was launched in 2008-09.

Figures in small brackets () denote the percentage of the row total.

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

Home stays have grown in popularity as a way to accommodate visitors by giving them a cosy environment and a place to stay when hotels are not readily accessible. The rise in the State as well as the Shimla district of the State's number of home stays from 2011–12 to 2019–20 is shown in Table 13 below.

According to Table 13, the state recorded an average of 1008 house stays per year (S.D = 647.13 and C.V = 64.20), while the Shimla district reported an average of 213.33 home stays per year (S.D = 122.45 and C.V = 57.40), accounting for 21.2 percent of the state's total.

The number of home stays increased over the research period in Shimla district and Himachal Pradesh. The state of Himachal Pradesh had a compound rise in the number of home stays of 25.56 percent overall, while the district of Shimla saw a compound growth of 29.49 percent, which is higher than the state average.

It shows that the number of houses stays in Shimla has increased quite quickly compared to other districts and goes on to say that residents of other districts in the state are also choosing to stay in similar accommodations.

5.13. RESTAURANTS TOURISM IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

According to Table 14, there were 6802 restaurants registered with the tourist department in Himachal Pradesh throughout the research period. From 2008-09 to 2019-20, there was positive growth in the number of restaurants, from 393 to 756, with the exception of 2010-11 and 2014-15, which had negative growth of -0.68 percent and -16.35 percent, respectively.

The average growth in the number of restaurants in Himachal Pradesh during the course of the research was 566.83, with a standard deviation of 123.93 and a coefficient of variation of 21.86 percent. The minor variance suggests that restaurant growth in the state was consistent over the research period. The number of restaurants has increased at a pace of 6.58 percent.

The highest rate of restaurant growth in Himachal Pradesh was observed in the year 2013–2014, or 22.33 percent, followed by 14.19 percent in 2012–2013, 11.96 percent in 2009–2010, and 11.20 percent in 2015–2016.A total of 1274 restaurants, or 18.7 percent of the state's total, were registered with the Shimla district's tourist department throughout the research period.

According to the data in the table, the number of restaurants expanded steadily between the years 2008–09 to 2019–20 (from 82 to 116). Except for the years 2016–17 and 2018–19, when Shimla district saw negative growth of -14.52 and –12.80 percent, respectively.

Table 14

Table 14 F	Table 14 Restaurants Tourism in Himachal Pradesh					
Year	Hima	chal Pradesh	Shimla			
	No. of Restaurants	Growth (in percent)	No. of Restaurants	Growth (in percent)		
2008-09	393 (100) [5.77]		82 (20.86) [6.43]			
2009-10	440 (100) [6.46]	11.96	85 (19.31) [6.67]	3.66		
2010-11	437 (100) [6.42]	-0.68	90 (20.59) [7.06]	5.88		
2011-12	451 (100) [6.63]	3.20	94 (20.84) [7.37]	4.44		

2012-13	515 (100) [7.57]	14.19	110 (21.35) [8.63]	17.02
2013-14	630 (100) [9.26]	22.33	114 (18.09) [8.94]	3.64
2014-15	527 (100) [7.74]	-16.35	119 (22.58) [9.34]	4.39
2015-16	586 (100) [8.61]	11.20	124 (21.16) [9.73]	4.20
2016-17	644 (100) [9.46]	9.90	106 (16.45) [8.32]	-14.52
2017-18	703 (100) [10.33]	9.16	125 (17.78) [9.81]	17.92
2018-19	720 (100) [10.58]	2.42	109 (15.13) [8.55]	-12.80
2019-20	756 (100) [11.11]	5.00	116 (15.34) [9.10]	6.42
Total	6802 (100) [100]		1274 (18.72) [100]	
C.G		6.58		3.66
Mean	566.83 (100)		106.17 (18.72)	
S.D	123.93		14.95	
C.V	21.86		14.08	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

5.14. EMPLOYMENT AS TRAVEL AGENCIES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH

Travel agents make it simple for visitors to discover lodging and transportation options. The delight of the tourists is ensured by the presence of additional such facilities. To determine how many travel agencies are present in the research region in relation to the other districts of the State, analysis was done using the following Table 15.

According to Table 15 above, there were 656.50 travel agencies on average in the Shimla district (SD=328.22 and CV=50.0). However, the number of travel agents in 2019–20 was 1314, which is about double the usual number. It is further examined that 33.9 percent of all travel agencies were found in the Shimla district in 2019–20. Compared to other regions of the State, the number of travel agencies has grown rapidly in the Shimla district, which is backed by compound growth (17.47 percent in Shimla and 14.98 percent throughout Himachal Pradesh).

Table 15

Table 15 Employment as Travel Agencies in Himachal Pradesh					
Year Himachal Pradesh				Shimla	
	No. of Travel Agencies	Growth (in percent)	No. of Travel Agencies	Growth (in percent)	
2008-09	836 (100) [3.59]	-	241 (28.82) [3.7]	-	
2009-10	1024 (100)	22.49	356 (34.76)	47.72	

	[4.40]		[5.4]	
2010-11	1129 (100)	10.25	367 (32.50)	3.09
	[4.85]		[5.6]	
2011-12	1241 (100)	9.92	387 (31.18)	5.45
	[5.33]		[5.9]	
2012-13	1362 (100)	9.75	417 (30.61)	7.75
	[5.85]		[6.4]	
2013-14	1662 (100)	22.03	594 (35.74)	42.45
	[7.14]		[9.0]	
2014-15	1772 (100)	6.62	645 (36.39)	8.59
	[7.61]		[9.8]	
2015-16	2080 (100)	17.38	742 (35.67)	15.04
	[8.94]		[11.3]	
2016-17	2446 (100)	17.60	819 (33.48)	10.38
	[10.51]		[12.5]	
2017-18	2799 (100)	14.43	948 (33.86)	15.75
	[12.03]		[14.4]	
2018-19	3084 (100)	10.18	1048 (33.98)	10.55
	[13.25]		[16.0]	
2019-20	3828 (100)	24.12	1314 (34.32)	25.38
	[16.45]		[16.67]	
Total	23263 (100)		7878 (33.86)	
	[100]		[100]	
C.G		14.98		17.47
Mean	1938.58 (100)		656.50 (33.86)	
S.D	930.81		328.22	
C.V	48.02		50	
.	11.1 . ()		-	

Figures in large brackets [] denote the percentage of the column total.

Source Annual Reports of Directorate of Tourism and Civil Aviation, Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, 2008-09-2019-20.

The year 2009–2010 saw the biggest rise in the number of travel agencies in the Shimla district, at 47.72 percent, followed by 42.45 percent in 2013–2014. Overall, it is stated that due to rising trends in visitor numbers, the number of travel agencies has expanded in the Shimla area pretty well, especially when compared to the other regions of the State.

6. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Himachal Pradesh's economy depends heavily on tourism, which is expanding quickly. It is backed by a 9.26 percent compound rise in the contribution of tourism to Himachal Pradesh's overall GSDP. According to the report, the global gross domestic product (GSDP) has increased practically every year, with the largest growth occurring in 2009-10 (24.74 percent) and 2011-12 (20.67 percent). Data show that Rs. 710000 lakh, or 1.20 percent of the total of Rs. 8513 lakhs was allotted for tourism in Himachal Pradesh for the 2019–20 fiscal year. Although tourism's part of the budget maintains between 1 and 2 perceterm andhout the year, increase in this allocation for tourism is shown throughout the course of the term, and is backed by compound growth of 29.78 percent.

During the research period, the average number of domestic tourist arrivals in the state was 1,51,07,415 (S.D.=2838696.48 and C.V. = 18.79), with compound increase of 5.90 percent. According to the report, more international visitors travelled to Shimla than to the other state districts. The average number of domestic visitors to the Shimla district during the research period was 2901793, or over 19.20 percent of the average number of visitors to the state overall.

Between 2008–09 and 2019–20, Himachal Pradesh welcomed a total of domestic and international tourists. Approximately 1.82 crore visitors on average visited Himachal Pradesh during the research period (S.D. = 2838696.48 and C.V. = 18.79), of which roughly 19.20 percent (i.e. S.D. = 4, 45,092.16 and C.V. = 15.34 percent) travelled to the Shimla district.

According to the statistics, there were 13935 to 25676 total employees working in hotels and guesthouses in Himachal Pradesh during 2008-09 to 2019-20, with 2016-17 seeing the biggest rise, or a 14.01 percent increase. However, over the research period, the total number of people working in hotels and guesthouses in the Shimla district climbed from 3541 to 4569 (X=3984.67, S.D =361.25, C.V =9.07), representing an increase of 2.36 percent.

In a similar vein, the overall number of people working in bars and restaurants in the state climbed steadily throughout the course of the research years. Between 2008-09 and 2019-20, the number of workers expanded from 1647 to 3813 with a compound growth rate of 8.09 percent (X= 2870.17, S.D. = 779.88, C.V. = 27.17). Additionally, throughout the research period, 6659 people or 19.33 percent of the state found work in bars and restaurants in the Shimla area. From 2008-09 to 2019-20, there was an increase in the number of employees, with a compound growth rate of 5.04 percent.

According to statistics, the state of Himachal Pradesh employed 1186 guides, 7267 travel agents, and 1008 photographers in the year of 2019–20. In contrast, in the Shimla district in 2019–20, there were 207, 1787, and 119 registered guides, tour agents, and photographers, representing 19.65, 12.67, and 11.21 percent, respectively, of all the individuals working in the state's various regions.

According to the survey, the state of Himachal Pradesh employed 31967 Himachali's in the tourist industry in 2019-20, while Shimla district employed 5797 Himachali's (18.13 percent). Additionally, up to 2019–20, the state employed 7669 non-Himachali individuals, while the Shimla district employed 1489 non-Himachali individuals through the tourism industry (19.41 percent). In other words, the rate at which non-Himachalis get work through the C.G.-supported Shimla area is higher than the rate at which Himachalis do (i.e., 11.23 percent for non-Himachalis and 3.29 percent for Himachalis).

According to the survey, Himachal Pradesh had a 7.38 percent increase in the number of hotels and guesthouses in 2009-10, whereas Shimla district saw only a 0.53 percent increase. It is evident that, with the exception of 2019–20, every year saw a rise in the number of hotels both in the state and in the Shimla district, with a positive compound growth rate of 5.84 percent and 2.80 percent. Additionally, compared to the state as a whole, the percentage rise in the number of hotels and guest houses in the Shimla area was lower practically every year.

In compared to other districts of the state, the Shimla district has seen a slower growth in the number of hotels and guest homes. However, the year 2014-15 had the biggest percentage rise in the number of hotels and guest houses in the Shimla area, at 7.43 percent, while negative growth (-0.83 percent) in the years 2018-19 indicated a decline in the creation of lodging facilities. Additionally, the State

recorded 1008 home stays annually on average (S.D = 647.13 and C.V = 64.20 percent), while the Shimla district recorded 213 home stays annually on average (S.D = 122.45 and C.V. = 57.40 percent), accounting for 21.16 percent of the State's total.

According to the study, the number of restaurants increased by 6.38 percent across Himachal Pradesh compared to a compound growth rate of 3.66 percent in the district of Shimla, showing a slower rate of growth for restaurants in Shimla than in other districts of the State. According to the survey, the number of travel agencies has grown rapidly in the Shimla district compared to other regions of the State, and this expansion is backed by compound growth as well (17.47 percent in Shimla and 14.98 percent throughout Himachal Pradesh). In contrast to the other districts of the State, it can thus be concluded that the number of travel agents has expanded in Shimla district fairly satisfactorily.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

- Anand, M. M. (1976). Tourism and Hotel Industry in India: A Study in Management, New Delhi.
- Batra, G. S. (1996). Dynamics of Tourism Development (A Case Study of Punjab) Tourist in 21st Century, New Delhi: Anmol Publication Pvt. Ltd.
- Bhasin, R. (2002). Shimla The Summer Capital of British India, Penguin Books India Ltd.
- Chandel, K. (2000). Role of Tourism in the Development of Himachal Pradesh : A Case study of H.P.T.D.C., Ph.D. Thesis Submitted to Himachal Pradesh University.
- Chugh, S. R. (2012). "Tourism Promotion in Himachal Pradesh: An Opinion Survey of Foreign Tourists", International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism System, 5(2), 36-41.
- Ganesh, A., & Madhavi, C. (2007). "Impact of Tourism on Indian Economy A Snapshot", Journal of Contemporary Research in Management, 1(1-2), 235-240.
- Gursoy, D., Chi, C. G., & Pam, D. (2019). "Locals' Attitudes toward Mass and Alternative Tourism: The Case of Sunshine Coast, Australia", Journal of Travel Research, 49(3), 381-394. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287509346853
- Jayal, N. D. & Motwani, M. (1986). Conservation Tourism and Mountaineering in the Himalayas, Dehradun: Neeraj Publications, 87-98.
- Kumar, N. (1996). Tourism and Economic Development, New Delhi: APH Publishing Corporation, X.
- Nafees, A. K. (2001). Development of Tourism in India, New Delhi: Anmol Publications Pvt. Ltd.
- Owen, D. (1964). Strategy for Mobility, Washington D.C. Brooking Institute : Tourism Compendium, WTO, 1983.

- Parmar, J. (2012). "Tourism: An Engine to Economic Growth in Rural Economy of Himachal Pradesh.", Kurukshetra Ministry of Rural Development, 60(7), 17-22.
- Sharma, M. (2007). "Cultural Heritage Tourism in Shimla District", Cutting Edge Research in Tourism Emerging Issue and Challenge, Chandigarh: Bharat Bhushan, Abhishek Publication.
- Singh, P. P. (1978). Economic Potential of Tourism in Himachal Pradesh with Special Reference to Shimla, Unpublished M.Phil. Dissertation submitted to H.P.U. Shimla.