


DEPICTING PATRIARCHY: THE STRUGGLES AND RESISTANCE OF WOMEN IN ARUNDHATI ROY'S "THE GOD OF SMALL THINGS"

Ashraful Alam Choudhury¹

¹Department of English Khagarijan College, Nagaon, Assam, India



DOI

[10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.5803](https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.5803)

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2023 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.



ABSTRACT

The novelists depicted a very pathetic picture particularly with reference to the women who lived surrounding us. They painted a gloomy and shadowy world for women. Women were portrayed as if they were devoid of artistic creation. They were only considered as the object of sex. This predicament of women in the 1970s compels the Indian women writers to pen down their voice against the male dominated patriarchal society. Women writers have succeeded in great extent in changing the attentions of the readers to the evil practice of patriarchy by describing the life of Indian women. My paper is a humble attempt to show how female protagonists Rahel in Arundhati Roy's 'The God of Small Things' struggle for their existence in the society in various roles against the male dominated patriarchal society.

Keywords: Patriarchal, Androcentric, Identity, Marginalization, Gender Discrimination

1. INTRODUCTION

Since ages women are being seen and portrayed in literature in negative way by the most of the male writers. The novelists project a black and sarcastic picture particularly with reference to women those live surrounding us. They paint a gloomy and shadowy world for women. Women are portrayed as if they are devoid of artistic creation. They are only considered as the object of sex. They do not have any choice. This predicament of women in the 1970s compels women writers to pen down their voice against the male dominated patriarchal society. Women writers like Shashi Deshpande, Bharti Mukherjee, Anita Desai, Manju Kapur and Arundhati Roy have succeeded in great extent in changing the attentions of the readers to the evil practice of patriarchy by describing the life of Indian women. They tell many tales of injustice and oppressions being faced by women within their house and beyond it in the androcentric and patriarchal society of India. They continue their struggle for establishment of their identity and their economic and social freedom.

People speak in derogatory manner about women all over the world. An old Italian saying is "as a horse, whether good or bad, requires spurs, so a woman whether good or bad, requires thrashing". The wise men in China also advise husbands in these words: "Listen to the counsel of your wife, but act against it". The old men of Russia say: "There is only one soul among ten women". The Spaniards say: "We shall save ourselves from wicked women and should never be captivated by any that have good looks" (Kidwai 20). Though the position of women has changed from culture to culture

and from ages to age but one thing is common that in almost all culture, women have been treated as the beast of burden and never been equal to men. Mary Wollstonecraft in her masterpiece *A Vindication of the Rights of Women* (1792) demanded equal opportunities for women in the fields of education, economics and politics. She strongly advocated for women's education protection by laws. Renowned historian and feminist Uma Chakravarti, for her active participation and association with women's movement have been called the 'founding mother' of the Indian Women's movement. She fought for the democratic rights of women and persistently worked to sensitize women regarding their rights. The efforts of such woman must have encouraged and influenced the later women writers of the twentieth century stand up and voice their grievances. Neera Desai is also one such pioneers of feminist scholarship in India. In her book, named *Woman in Modern India* (1957), she justifies that there was no 'golden age' for women and women have always been under male domination since antiquity.

This paper is formulated to deal with the struggle of the women in gaining their individuality. This paper has tried to place Roy's *The God of Small Things* within an Indian culture-specific feminist framework. The paper is written with the help of Postcolonial feminist theory using comparative and analytical methods. The study is a literary work using primary and secondary sources. The secondary sources are based on library resources like reference books, scholarly journal and internet also. The subject matter is analysed in the perspective of feminist theories. The study has been interpretative, descriptive and exploratory using various feminist theories put forward by feminist critics like Mary Wollstonecraft, Uma Chakravarti, Neera Desai.

The God of Small Things narrates the marginalization, gender discrimination and the struggle of women at the best possible ways. The story of the novel reflects the Indian patriarchal society that deprives women from what a male in society enjoys. The title of the novel justifies the portrayal of the oppressed, down trodden and marginalized female folk of the society. The narrative of the novel presents different perspectives by portraying different women characters. The main protagonist of the novel is Ammu. Basically the novel is autobiographical in tone. Rahel represents Arundhati Roy and Ammu represents Mary Roy. A numbers of characters have been constructed and trapped in the net of patriarchy. *The God of Small Things* mirrors the exact plight of the Indian women of the society. It displays before us a never-ending struggle of the female folk against the exploitation and marginalization that they undergo in male dominated society. Ammu has been created by Roy as a pathetic character who represents rural woman. Ammu is born in such a society where the custodian of the family thinks about only baby-boy not about baby-girl. Interference of Ammu's father brings to an end of the dream of higher education for Ammu. To get rid of suffocation in her own family, Ammu marries an employee of a tea garden in Assam. Ammu does not get respite in her married life. Her husband happens to be a heavy drunkard and tortures her physically and mentally. Her husband does not hesitate to send her to his professional boss Mr. Hollick to satisfy his carnal desire in exchange for job benefit. This type of betrayal and gross injustice is also presented in literature by other female authors; particularly Kamala Das has reflected this ill-treatment by her husband in her poems. Being disgusted and humiliated by her husband, Ammu returns to her Ayemenem house along wither two children. Amm's father refuses to believe that an Englishman could ever deflower the chastity of a woman. Amm's allegation to her father looks nothing special but an allegation of a woman where the former is not able to realize the sentiment and emotion of the latter.

How women are deprived from the paternal property is also aptly projected in the novel. Ammu has been deprived from her paternal property by her brother Chacko not bothering about the rights of his own sister. Even Ammu gets shocked and stunned by the comments of her brother: "What's your is mine and what mine is also mine" (57). This practice is rampant in the traditional Indian society. Daughters are always deprived from their due parental rights. Again gender discrimination is reflected when Ammu is denied from higher education but her brother Chacko is sent to Oxford for higher study. This environment does not allow Ammu to take fresh breathing. Ammu is a symbol of woman who would like to protect her children for future, but reality is that she herself has been neglected and deprived by her own parents who represent the patriarchal structure, who make fun of the matriarchal system who wish to be learned and educated.

We cannot call Ammu a fallen woman because it is the society that controls the life of Ammu who has been deprived from her paternal property, sympathy and love of married life. Under the circumstances it would be a difficult job to maintain chastity and ultimately she brings her own degradation being physically involved with Velutha who is a paravan, Amm like any other woman, has the reason to dream a small world of her own where she can procreate and lead a happy life. She discovers in Velutha some potentialities to be her life partner. But soon she becomes the victim of police, so called the guardian of law and her cherished dream of small world is trampled and destroyed. Ammu dies a

premature death only at the age of thirty-one. Though it is not a die able age but she is compelled to die. Ammu's death symbolizes not only the death of one woman but it is the death of thousands of women who are in existence in our society and who we dying every moment and every day under the male dominated patriarchal society

Let us take the case of Rahel who symbolizes Arundhati Roy and possesses practical experiences and creates hatred against the existing social order which is developed by male oriented society. Rahel herself is subjugated by her drunkard father. She leaves home at the tender age because of separation of her parents. Rahel along with her brother come to Ayamenem house to take shelter. They discover very soon that they are unwelcomed guests there. Rahel suffers many brutalities and misfortunes at the early age. As the bad luck would have it, Rahel faces innumerable mishaps which come to her life without her knowledge. At first, she witnesses her father's brutalities meted out to her mother. Separation of her parents becomes painful for her because it deprives her from the love of her parents. This separation affects her psychologically throughout her life. Next, the ill-treatment of the members of Ayamenem house to Ammu disturbs Rahel to a great extent. Instead of love, compassion and sympathy, they become neglected, disowned and despised at their maternal uncle's house. Last not the least, the illicit love affair between Ammu and Velutha becomes a huge blow for Rahel. It becomes unbearable when she has to identify Velutha as the main culprit after he gets killed by the police. Rahel always tries her best to live independently which was not possible for her mother, Ammu.

Baby Kochamma, who is a symbol of power, is also deprived and subjugated in the novel. She falls in love with a Christian monk, father Mulligan. She leaves no stone unturned to win his heart. She even converts to Roman Catholic for the sake of father Mulligan. The novelist observes: "That was all she wanted. All she dared to hope for, just to be near him close enough to smell his beard. To love him just by looking him" (24). But all his endeavors prove futile and she realizes that her position is at lower level among the converts and she is far behind than the other girls to reach to Mulligan. Perhaps being failure in her love she showers her anger against Ammu and her children. Amitabh Roy opines: "Baby Kochamma subscribes to the commonly held view that a married daughter has no position in her parents' house and a divorced one, no position anywhere at all. It becomes worse if the divorce is from a love marriage and worst, if she is divorced from inter community marriage. Amma, according to her committed a serious offence by marrying a Bengali Hindu and the divorce turned it even more serious. In fact, she is jealous of Ammu for daring to exercise her right to choose the man she marries and to discard him when found unworthy (Roy 62). Roy is absolutely right when he says: "Baby Kochamma resented Ammu, because she saw her quarrelling with a fate that she, Baby Kochamma herself, felt she had graciously accepted. The fate of the wretched Man-less woman. The sad father Mulligan-less Baby Kochamma" (Roy 62-63).

Mammachi, Ammu's mother, is married with Pappachi who is much older than her. His marriage happens to be a trouble shooter for Mammachi who suffers from mental disorder. Mammachi is quite often beaten by her husband at the slightest possible cause. The cruelty and brutality comes to an end at the intervention of Chacko who comes from Oxford during vacation and harshly reprimands his father for beating Mammachi: "I never want this to happen again" (48). Mammachi loses the sympathy of readers for her attitude to sex for younger people than her. She maintains different outlook to her divorce son and daughter. She approves her son's flirting with women whereas it becomes forbidden for her daughter.

We find that Arundhati Roy has projected a true picture of women who, under patriarchy, social construct and male chauvinism are suffering to a great extent. The author has shown how three female protagonists struggle for their existence in the society in various roles. They do not represent the type of women rather they represent the Indian women in broader sense. Every woman suffers in it in her own way. As one critic observes, "It can be called the story of sufferings of Baby Kochamma, Mammachi, Ammu and Rahel. They all suffer in different ways. In a country like India where patriarchal system is very strong, women suffer mentally, physically and sexually" (Rajpal 66).

Thus we see that in this novel Arundhati Roy puts under focus the deliberately constructed agencies of cruelty that work against women's interests. In this all are involved, the so-called progressive politicians, the family members, the police, etc. In the traditional Indian society such forces flourish and find encouragement in maintaining their hold over the weaker people. As the famous British feminist theoretician Jennifer Mather Saw says, "families both shape and are shaped by factors that are clearly of political significance. In particular, we shall see, their structures play a key role in impoverishing and disadvantaging women; and their structures are shaped, at least in part, by constraints imposed by laws, workplace, and the ways that children are educated" (Jennifer 89).

Social structures are so formed as to sanctify women's victimization. Arundhati Roy's depiction of the miserable lives of women in this novel critiques in unmistakable terms the perpetuation of these exploitative forces. What we find

from the above discussion is that the novel with three main female characters reflects a perfect narrative of struggling women. Baby Kochamma, a victim of social prejudice is conditioned by society and identifies herself with the ideas and forces of suppression. Mammachi is dehumanized and her psyche is twisted as a result of suffering in a society dominated by men. Ammu, the main protagonist, is the rebel who represents the defiance of the present state of the society from educated, passionate and thinking women who are mulling for freedom and equality.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Kidwai, Shaikh M.H. *Woman*. New Delhi: Seema, 1976.

Mather-Saw, Jennifer. *Feminism Issues and Arguments*, Oxford University press, Oxford, 2003.

Roy. Amitabh. *The God of Small Things: A Novel of Social Commitment*, Atlantic Publishers and Distributors, New Delhi, 2005.

Roy, Arundhati. *The God of Small Things*, Penguin Book, New Delhi, 2002