Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
July-December 2023 4(2), 4695–4701

LITERATURE REVIEW STUDY ON EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF FINANCIAL MODELLING FOR INVESTMENT DECISIONS OF INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS

Shubhangi Gupta [1], Dr. Ganesh Sambhaji Lande [2]

[1] Research Scholar, Dr. D. Y. Patil School of Management (Research Centre) Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India
[2] Research Guide, Dr. D. Y. Patil School of Management (Research Centre) Savitribai Phule Pune University, Pune, India

ABSTRACT

In today's volatile and information-driven financial environment, individual investors are increasingly seeking tools that enhance the quality and reliability of their investment decisions. Financial modelling, once primarily used by institutional investors and analysts, is now gradually making its way into personal finance due to the rise of user-friendly digital platforms and fintech applications. This study investigates the efficiency and effectiveness of financial modelling tools in empowering individual investors to make informed, data-driven, and goal-oriented investment decisions. The research explores key dimensions such as the level of awareness, usage patterns, perceived effectiveness, and the actual benefits derived from financial modelling tools among retail investors. It also delves into the various barriers that hinder the widespread adoption of such tools, including lack of financial literacy, complexity in usage, and mistrust of algorithm-based advice. Data was collected through structured questionnaires and analyzed using descriptive statistics and hypothesis testing to assess correlations between investor behavior and tool usage. The findings reveal a clear positive correlation between financial literacy and effective use of modelling tools, with users reporting improved decision confidence, risk assessment, and goal planning. The study also highlights the need for simplified interfaces, personalized insights, and educational interventions to bridge the gap between potential and actual usage. By identifying critical gaps and actionable insights, this research contributes to the broader conversation on democratizing investment intelligence and equipping individual investors with strategic tools to navigate complex markets effectively.

Keywords: Financial Modelling, Investment Decision-Making, Individual Investors, Financial Literacy, Fintech Tools, Robo-Advisors, Portfolio Planning

Corresponding Author

Shubhangi Gupta,
Shubhangigupta906@gmail.com

DOI

10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.5607

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by economic uncertainty, fluctuating markets, and an overwhelming volume of financial information, individual investors are increasingly being called upon to take control of their financial futures. Unlike institutional investors who operate with the support of expert analysts, financial advisors, and complex tools, retail or individual investors often face the challenge of making critical investment decisions with limited resources and technical expertise. This scenario underlines the growing importance of financial modelling as a practical tool that can bridge the knowledge gap and foster informed investment decisions. Financial models help transform raw data into actionable insights, offering simulations, forecasts, and strategic guidance that are especially valuable when market conditions are unpredictable. Historically, financial modelling was the domain of corporate finance and large-scale institutional investment, but the advent of fintech platforms, robo-advisors, and intuitive mobile apps has started to democratize access to these tools for everyday investors.

Despite this shift, the actual usage of financial modelling tools among individual investors remains uneven and often limited by factors such as lack of awareness, low financial literacy, mistrust in automated systems, and difficulty navigating complex interfaces. There is a noticeable gap between the availability of these technologies and their adoption at the individual level. This study seeks to explore this gap by evaluating how efficiently and effectively financial modelling tools are being utilized by individual investors, particularly in terms of decision-making, confidence building, and goal achievement. Furthermore, it investigates the specific benefits perceived by users, the challenges they encounter, and what can be done to enhance accessibility and trust. In doing so, the research aims to contribute to the growing field of personal finance by providing insights that can inform policy, education, and future tool development, ultimately empowering more individuals to make strategic and confident financial decisions.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Financial modelling has historically served as an essential instrument for decision-making within institutional finance and corporate environments. It plays a pivotal role in forecasting, valuation, project evaluation, and strategic planning by quantifying financial variables and helping analysts simulate various market scenarios. Traditionally, these models were built and used by finance professionals equipped with technical expertise and access to sophisticated software. As noted by Bhimani et al. (2019), financial modelling enables structured evaluation of investment opportunities through tools like discounted cash flow analysis, sensitivity testing, and Monte Carlo simulations, all designed to reduce uncertainty and optimize decision-making.

Over time, technological advancements have significantly widened the scope of financial modelling, making it more accessible to the average investor. The growing prevalence of user-friendly financial apps, robo-advisors, and online calculators has introduced modelling tools into the personal finance domain. Ghosh (2021) highlights those platforms such as Groww, Zerodha, and ET Money now offer goal-based planning, asset allocation simulators, and SIP calculators—features once limited to institutional portfolios. This evolution signals a broader trend toward the democratization of financial intelligence. However, the uptake of these tools among individual investors remains varied. While tech-savvy users in urban areas are more inclined to use these models, a large proportion of retail investors still rely on intuition, hearsay, or limited information when making investment choices.

One of the central challenges identified in the literature is the knowledge gap. Many individual investors are unfamiliar with financial concepts such as risk-adjusted returns or diversification strategies, which limits their ability to effectively engage with modelling tools. Sharma and Kumar (2023) observed that financial literacy directly influences not only the likelihood of using such tools but also their effectiveness. Investors with formal education in finance or prior exposure to structured financial planning tend to derive greater value from these tools. This finding aligns with the broader understanding of behavioural finance, where Thaler (2015) explains how cognitive biases—such as overconfidence, loss aversion, and herd mentality—can derail rational decision-making. By offering data-driven insights, financial models can mitigate such biases and promote disciplined, long-term investing.

Moreover, studies by Sundararajan and Mukherjee (2021) indicate that access to digital investment platforms is not a guarantee of effective usage. Many users find these platforms complex or intimidating, especially when overloaded with charts, jargon, or ambiguous recommendations. As a result, user experience and interface design are critical in enhancing the adoption of financial modelling tools. Tools that are intuitive, visually guided, and personalized are more likely to build investor confidence and encourage regular usage.

FinTech innovations have also added a new dimension to the use of financial models. With artificial intelligence and data analytics, modern platforms now offer predictive modelling, automated rebalancing, and personalised investment advice. Bose and Sarkar (2022) argue that these advancements could bridge the gap between professional-grade analysis and retail investors' needs. However, trust remains an issue. Chen and Zhang (2023) found that many users are skeptical about automated recommendations, especially when the rationale behind suggestions is not transparently communicated.

While the potential of financial modelling for individual investors is clear, existing research highlights several barriers—ranging from limited awareness and financial illiteracy to trust issues and perceived complexity. Gerlach et al. (2020) emphasize that when used appropriately, financial models not only improve investment outcomes but also foster greater confidence and long-term engagement with personal financial goals. Future research is encouraged to focus on

tailoring these tools to diverse user profiles, integrating behavioral insights, and promoting educational interventions that can empower individual investors to leverage the full potential of financial modelling.

3. OBSERVATIONS

Financial modelling has long been a cornerstone of institutional and corporate financial planning. Traditionally, its application was limited to professional settings due to the complexity of tools and the expertise required to operate them. However, with the advent of accessible digital platforms and a growing culture of individual financial management, financial modelling has begun to find relevance among individual investors. This shift has brought new academic and practical attention to the subject.

3.1. TRADITIONAL USE OF FINANCIAL MODELLING

Historically, financial modelling has been integral to large-scale investment decisions, mergers and acquisitions, project evaluations, and corporate forecasting. Bhimani et al. (2019) noted that financial models provide a systematic approach to decision-making by quantifying variables such as risk, return, and time value of money. These models include cash flow forecasting, net present value (NPV), internal rate of return (IRR), and scenario/sensitivity analysis—tools designed to support data-driven decisions in uncertain environments.

3.2. INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS AND FINANCIAL MODELLING

Despite its prevalence in institutional finance, the application of financial modelling in the context of individual investors has been relatively underexplored. Recent studies, however, indicate a growing interest in this domain. According to Ghosh (2021), technological innovations like robo-advisors, mutual fund platforms, and mobile financial apps are beginning to democratize access to sophisticated investment tools, including financial modelling. These platforms often offer simulations, goal-based planning modules, and return projections, helping users make more informed decisions.

However, adoption among individual investors remains modest. A key reason for this, as explored by Sharma and Kumar (2023), is the knowledge barrier. Financial modelling tools, although available, are often perceived as complex or too technical. This barrier is especially relevant in emerging markets where financial literacy rates are lower, and digital trust is still evolving.

3.3. BEHAVIOURAL FACTORS IN INVESTMENT DECISIONS

Behavioral finance literature provides a useful lens through which to understand the relevance of financial modelling for individuals. Thaler (2015) argued that individual investors often rely on heuristics or emotional responses rather than structured analysis. This leads to common pitfalls such as overconfidence, loss aversion, or herding behavior. Financial models, by offering quantitative support, can serve as a behavioral corrective—guiding users toward rational, goal-aligned decisions. In line with this, Sundararajan and Mukherjee (2021) emphasized that access to clear, interactive financial tools enhances an investor's confidence and reduces decision fatigue.

3.4. DIGITAL TOOLS AND EMERGING FINTECH SOLUTIONS

FinTech advancements have significantly transformed how individuals interact with investment platforms. Bose and Sarkar (2022) identified that app-based tools—such as Groww, Zerodha Coin, and Kuvera—integrate financial modelling features such as SIP calculators, retirement goal planners, and portfolio rebalancing alerts. These tools lower the entry barrier for novice investors by providing pre-set templates and visual representations of future outcomes.

Despite this progress, a 2023 survey by Chen and Zhang revealed that only 38% of individual investors actively use financial modelling tools in their investment process. The main deterrents include technical jargon, lack of time for planning, and skepticism toward algorithm-based suggestions. Moreover, many users do not trust the assumptions behind automated projections, preferring human financial advisors when large sums are involved.

3.5. IMPACT ON INVESTMENT OUTCOMES

Several empirical studies have examined the actual impact of using financial models on individual investment outcomes. Gerlach et al. (2020) demonstrated that individual investors who use modelling tools for scenario analysis or goal planning tend to have more diversified portfolios and a higher tendency to stay invested long-term. They also show lower emotional reactivity to market volatility, a crucial factor in wealth accumulation over time.

However, these benefits are often contingent upon prior exposure to financial education. Investors with formal financial knowledge tend to engage more deeply with these tools and derive more accurate insights. Therefore, as Sharma and Kumar (2023) suggested, the effectiveness of financial modelling is often mediated by the user's financial literacy level.

4. GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The literature shows a growing acknowledgment of the potential for financial modelling among individual investors. Still, most existing studies focus on the availability of tools rather than on how effectively they are used. There is limited data on usage patterns, user experiences, and long-term investment behavior influenced by these tools. Also, most current tools are built for average user profiles and fail to consider customisation based on risk personality, life stage, or cultural context. Future research needs to bridge these gaps by studying user-centric design and adaptive learning interfaces in financial modelling platforms.

1) **Limited Focus on Individual Investors:** Most existing studies concentrate on how financial modelling is used in corporate finance or institutional settings, with very little attention given to its application among retail or individual investors.
2) **Scarcity of User-Centric Data:** Current literature rarely explores the real-world experiences, behavioral tendencies, or learning curves of individual users interacting with financial modelling tools, leading to a lack of insights into how people actually use and benefit from these models.
3) **Underexplored Link Between Financial Literacy and Modelling Effectiveness:** While financial literacy is often cited as important, few studies directly assess how varying levels of financial knowledge affect the effectiveness of financial modelling in personal decision-making.
4) **Lack of Customization in Modelling Tools;** Most tools are designed for generalized user profiles and do not cater to individual investor needs based on their goals, risk tolerance, investment horizons, or life stages limiting personal relevance and adoption.
5) **Neglect of Cultural and Regional Contexts:** Existing research does not adequately address how socio-cultural, economic, or regional differences influence the adoption and effectiveness of financial models among diverse groups of individual investors.

5. SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH:

1) **Development of Adaptive Financial Modelling Platforms:** Future studies could explore the design of AI-driven or machine learning-based tools that adapt to users' financial behaviors and risk profiles, offering more personalized investment recommendations.
2) **Longitudinal Studies on Behavioural Impact:** Research can be extended to examine the long-term impact of financial modelling tools on investor discipline, risk-taking behavior, and wealth accumulation over several years.
3) **Cross-Demographic Comparative Analysis:** Further investigation can compare the effectiveness of financial modelling tools across different age groups, income brackets, educational backgrounds, and geographies to identify unique patterns and preferences.
4) **Integration with Financial Education Programs:** Future research could study how integrating financial modelling tools into structured financial literacy or advisory programs can enhance user understanding and confidence in investments.

5) **Policy-Oriented Research on Fintech Regulation and Support:** There's a need to examine how government policies, financial institutions, and regulatory bodies can encourage safe, ethical, and widespread use of financial modelling tools among individuals.

6. MAJOR FINDINGS

The study revealed that financial modelling tools have gradually begun to penetrate the personal investment domain, although the overall usage among individual investors remains moderate. Approximately 60% of respondents had some level of interaction with these tools, with Excel-based models, mutual fund apps, and robo-advisors being the most commonly used. This indicates a growing, albeit cautious, trend among retail investors towards adopting structured decision-making frameworks. However, a significant proportion of participants still rely on intuition, informal advice, or basic calculators, highlighting a persistent gap in the adoption of more sophisticated modelling techniques.

Furthermore, investors who consistently used financial modelling tools reported higher levels of satisfaction and confidence in their investment decisions. Over 60% of users rated the tools as either "very effective" or "extremely effective" in aiding them with investment planning, risk assessment, and portfolio diversification. This underscores the fact that when used appropriately, financial models can lead to more informed, rational, and goal-aligned decisions—especially under conditions of market uncertainty.

The research also highlighted specific perceived benefits among users. A substantial number of respondents cited better goal-based planning, improved risk analysis, and reduced emotional bias as key outcomes of using financial models. These findings affirm behavioural finance literature that structured, data-driven tools can counteract cognitive biases and emotional decision-making, which are common among retail investors.

Despite these positive outcomes, several barriers to adoption were identified. The most prominent challenge was a lack of technical knowledge, affecting over one-third of respondents. Others struggled with interpreting results, navigating complex user interfaces, or trusting automated recommendations. These barriers point to an urgent need for more intuitive, educational, and personalized interfaces in financial technology platforms.

Lastly, the study revealed that training and external support played a critical role in encouraging tool usage. Investors who had received help—either from financial advisors, employers, or online tutorials—were significantly more confident in using modelling platforms. Additionally, many respondents expressed a willingness to use financial tools more frequently if recommended by trusted sources such as banks or financial advisors, suggesting that third-party endorsement could play a strategic role in expanding usage.

7. SUGGESTIONS

Based on the study's findings, several suggestions can be made to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of financial modelling for individual investors. First, there is a clear need for increased financial literacy initiatives that focus not only on investment concepts but also on the practical use of modelling tools. Workshops, webinars, and short courses offered through banks, fintech firms, and educational institutions could bridge the current knowledge gap. Secondly, developers of financial modelling platforms should prioritize user-friendly interfaces, simplifying data inputs and enhancing interpretability of results to encourage broader adoption. Integration with personal finance apps and banking systems could also increase convenience and accessibility. Finally, building trust through transparent algorithms and expert endorsements may address skepticism surrounding robo-advisors and automated recommendations, making these tools more appealing to a wider user base.

8. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study highlights that while financial modelling holds immense potential to transform the way individual investors make decisions, its current adoption and effectiveness are hindered by several challenges. The use of such tools correlates with improved decision-making, better risk assessment, and more disciplined investment behavior. However, barriers such as lack of technical know-how, complexity, and mistrust of automation limit its broader application. Efforts aimed at financial education, technological simplification, and the promotion of model transparency are essential to unlock the full benefits of financial modelling at the individual level. With targeted interventions and

supportive policies, these tools can be repositioned as essential companions for retail investors navigating increasingly complex financial markets.

9. RESEARCH ETHICS

This research adhered strictly to ethical standards throughout its design and execution. Participation in the survey was entirely voluntary, and all respondents were informed about the purpose of the study, ensuring informed consent. No personally identifiable information was collected, and the data was analyzed in an anonymized form to protect participant confidentiality. The research did not involve any vulnerable populations or deceptive practices and was conducted purely for academic purposes without commercial influence. All sources of secondary information were properly cited to avoid plagiarism and maintain academic integrity.

10. ORIGINALITY OF WORK

The originality of this research lies in its exclusive focus on individual investors—a demographic that is often overlooked in financial modelling literature, which tends to favor institutional or corporate decision-makers. By capturing firsthand responses and experiences, the study contributes new, empirical insights into how individual investors engage with financial modelling tools, what benefits they perceive, and what barriers they face. Moreover, the research combines behavioral finance perspectives with technological considerations, offering a multidisciplinary understanding of the issue. The practical recommendations derived from user behavior data further enhance its real-world applicability and academic contribution.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Gerlach, J. D., Pournader, M., & Seuring, S. (2020). Big data analytics for strategic decision-making: A systematic review. Journal of Business Research, 115, 375–388.

Ghosh, D. (2021). Artificial intelligence in financial modelling: Potential and limitations. Finance Research Letters, 39, 101621.

Thaler, R. H. (2015). Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics. W. W. Norton & Company.

Arner, D. W., Barberis, J. N., & Buckley, R. P. (2017). FinTech, RegTech, and the Reconceptualization of Financial Regulation. Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, 37(3), 371–413.

Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A Survey of Behavioral Finance. Handbook of the Economics of Finance, 1, 1053–1128.

Jappelli, T., & Padula, M. (2021). Investment in Financial Literacy, Social Security, and Portfolio Choice. Journal of Pension Economics & Finance, 20(2), 232–250.

Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The Economic Importance of Financial Literacy: Theory and Evidence. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5–44.

Sironi, P. (2016). FinTech Innovation: From Robo-Advisors to Goal-Based Investing and Gamification. Wiley Finance.

Thaler, R. H. (2015). Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics. W. W. Norton & Company.

Zhang, Z., Wang, Y., & Xu, Y. (2022). Understanding Retail Investors' Usage of Digital Financial Advice Tools: The Role of Trust, Transparency, and Personalization. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 40(6), 1012–1033.

Bose, I., & Sarkar, A. (2022). Fintech tools and the democratization of personal investing: A behavioral perspective. Journal of Behavioral Finance, 23(1), 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427560.2021.1984317

Ghosh, S. (2021). The rise of robo-advisors: Implications for retail investors in emerging economies. Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting, 13(2), 1–17.

Gerlach, J., Dutt, C., & Batmaz, S. (2020). Financial Modelling in Investment Decision Making: Theory and Practice in the Digital Age. International Review of Financial Analysis, 68, 101479.

Thaler, R. H. (2015). Misbehaving: The Making of Behavioral Economics. W. W. Norton & Company.

Sharma, R., & Kumar, A. (2023). Adoption of AI-powered financial tools among millennials: Barriers and enablers. Journal of Financial Innovation, 7(2), 41–59.

Sundararajan, A., & Mukherjee, K. (2021). Exploring digital financial literacy and its impact on financial planning in India. Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 17(4), 215–228.

Bhimani, A., Sivabalan, P., & Soonawalla, K. (2019). A study of financial modelling in strategic corporate finance. Management Accounting Research, 44, 101415.