Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
July-December 2022 3(2), 1234–1247

SMART TECHNOLOGIES FOR RURAL TRANSFORMATION: A MULTISECTORAL STUDY OF AI, IOT, BLOCKCHAIN, AND AR/VR ADOPTION IN HEALTHCARE, FOOD SYSTEMS, AND ECO-TOURISM

Kanika Khanna [1]

[1] Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science SJK PG College kalanaur, Rohtak, India

ABSTRACT

Research evaluates the implementation and consequences of contemporary technologies in combination with telemedicine and wearable devices along with blockchain and IoT sensors and GIS and AR/VR while considering stakeholder needs centered on accessibility and scalability and sustainability. A survey of 240 rural stakeholders from Jharkhand, Rajasthan and Himachal Pradesh demonstrates the fundamental conclusions. The findings show that internet infrastructure directly influences telemedicine adoption since 82% of healthcare providers enabled telemedicine in areas with connected infrastructure but this rate reduced to 45% in regions with limited internet access. The high cost of wearable devices combined with their limited ability to integrate into existing healthcare systems (55% and 45% of respondents respectively) prevent wider adoption in chronic disease management. Due to data privacy concerns and a lack of specialized expertise AI-driven diagnostics achieved a 75–80% increase in diagnostic accuracy yet remained slow to gain wide acceptance. Blockchain technology adoption reached 20% in rural food systems which resulted in a 40% increase of item traceability along with a 30% decrease in food-related fraud. IoT sensors became popular with 50% of suppliers yet their adoption remained limited by both high costs which affected 70% of suppliers and insufficient technical knowledge which prevented 50% of suppliers from implementing them. Our analysis demonstrates GIS-based approaches provided :78% biodiversity benefits in Manali during this research period while areas without GIS technology achieved :48% biodiversity benefits. Sixty percent of operators reported that AR/VR adoption improved tourist engagement yet faced issues regarding expensive energy use (70%) and limited affordability (60%). Data reveals critical systemic issues which combine insufficient infrastructure with affordability problems and policy weaknesses and that require strategic financial support and capability development projects to address. This study demonstrates actionable strategies for rural technology adoption using stakeholder-relevant values of inclusivity and sustainability which supports development across health, food systems and eco-tourism through strategic gap bridging endeavors.

Keywords: Emerging Technologies, Rural Health, Fast Food, Eco-Tourism, Scalability, Survey-Based Research, Sustainability

Corresponding Author
Kanika Khanna,
Khanna.kanika918@gmail.com

DOI
10.29121/shodhkosh.v3.i2.2022.5312

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional sectors including healthcare and rural fast food services and eco-tourism have experienced widespread transformation due to quick technology incorporation. Advanced innovations tackle essential issues regarding accessibility together with efficiency and scalability and sustainability specifically in remote areas. Approximately these fields use modern computing approaches to solve infrastructure limitations which led them to build more liberal yet dependable systems.

Medicine service delivery in rural patientools like telemedicine combined with AI advancements have transformed medical practice across the health landscape. Through telemedicine patients located in remote places can receive specialist support from their home without travelling extensive routes thus minimizing both expense together with time dedication to treatment [22] [6]. Modern healthcare has adopted wearable health monitors to help providers acquireinstantaneous patient data which improves chronic condition management. Advanced diagnostic tools powered by AI help healthcare by reducing incorrect medical assessments and they enhance quick disease detection for conditions including diabetes and cancer [22]. Although technology progress has been apparent the rural landscape continues to endure structural barriers including low internet connectivity, poor digital competency amongst residents and ongoing uncertainties regarding protection of sensitive data [4] [24].

The integration of blockchain and IoT sensors technology brings substantial innovation to rural fast food supply chain management. The supply chain achieves transparency and trackability with blockchain because it uses permanent transaction logs that reveal every movement step [12] [17]. IoT sensors enable real-time monitoring of temperature and humidity factors which help lower food spoilage rates and create safer food handling practices [12]. Artificial Intelligence systems refine personal nutrition patterns by producing customized food products that respond to health requirements and specific cultural tastes of individual markets. AI analyzes customer information through a system that suggests healthier food choices while upholding cultural food customs. These new innovations present barriers which restrict their wide application. Economic barriers combined with restrictive access to skilled personnel and absent basic infrastructure that includes frozen storage and steady power distribution create substantial obstacles for rural adoption of these technologies [12][17].

Acting as primary instruments in eco-tourism digital tools include Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Augmented Reality/Virtual Reality (AR/VR) technologies which support sustainable tourism development. Assisted by GIS software biodiversity mapping and conservation planning originates from these systems thus helping eco-tourism operators protect sensitive areas during sustainable activity development [7] [8]. Spacial technologies like GIS play a vital role by maximizing land use strategies to stop over-tourism incidents in delicate wild areas. Digital tourist experiences now give visitors educational and immersive encounters with historical sites and natural areas through the implementation of AR/VR technologies. Global tourists gain access to endangered wildlife locations and historical sites through virtual reality headsets to experience these areas without damaging their natural state [7]. Eco-tourism technologies encounter three main barriers including their excessive power usage alongside the requirement to boost local operator capabilities as well as maintaining balance between technological implementation and cultural value preservation [7] [8].

Different industries face similar hurdles when adopting technology because their infrastructure needs improvement and new systems are expensive and difficult to find cost-effective solutions. The insufficient training together with a lack of digital literacy programs serves to deepen the digital gap that currently exists throughout rural communities [4] [24]. Small operators resist deploying emerging technologies because they cannot determine their expense-to-benefit ratios and policy structures do not offer sufficient scaling aid [4]. The full power of emerging technologies to reshape healthcare, rural fast food systems, and eco-tourism needs particular interventions to overcome existing systemic challenges. To guarantee fair access of these technologies to short on setting rural communities and underserved populations public and private organizations must collaborate while government funding mechanisms should lower implementation expenses and upgraded infrastructure should be built. These innovations directed towards sustainability and inclusivity enable the resolution of critical gaps to effect transformative change in these vital sectors.

1.2. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

This research examines the transformative role of computer-based technologies in health, rural fast food, and eco-tourism through a survey-based analysis. By collecting live data from key stakeholders, the study evaluates the effectiveness, scalability, and sustainability of these technologies, focusing on their impact in rural settings.

1.3. KEY QUESTIONS

- What are the critical success factors in adopting technologies in these areas?
- How do stakeholders perceive challenges like infrastructure gaps, scalability, and sustainability?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. TELEMEDICINE, WEARABLE TECHNOLOGIES, AND AI IN RURAL HEALTH

Telemedicine along with wearable technologies and artificial intelligence supports improved medical service access across rural underserved areas. Through telemedicine patients can connect in real-time with healthcare providers which eliminates the need for rural patients to commute great distances just to receive specialized medical attention. Regular disease management for diabetes and hypertension requires frequent monitoring and telemedicine shows great effectiveness in this application. Telemedicine services powered by rural programs show patient satisfaction grows while exposure to time and costs for travel drops 30% according to documented data [22] [6]. Health technology wearables including fitness trackers and smartwatches enable consistent tracking of essential signs including heart rate, blood pressure and blood sugar through rural healthcare. These smart medical instruments deliver important diagnostic findings which benefit both patients and medical staff in their efforts to detect potential health risks and control chronic conditions. AI transforms diagnostics through its ability to use machine learning algorithms which analyze patient data with exceptional precision. Medical imaging patterns together with blood report and genetic data patterns become detectable through AI tools leading to reduced diagnostic errors. Research demonstrates AI algorithms reach 90% success in recognizing early-stage cancers which produces better results than conventional diagnostic tools [22] [6]. New advancements in technology exist but several substantial obstacles persist. Both restricted rural internet access and expensive costs of wearable technology and artificial intelligence approaches hinder their widespread implementation among healthcare services. The effective deployment of these technologies is hampered by patient and healthcare worker data privacy concerns coupled with insufficient digital fluency between both groups [4] [24].

2.2. DIGITAL INNOVATIONS IN RURAL FOOD SUPPLY CHAINS AND PERSONALIZED NUTRITION

New technology platforms such as blockchain combined with IoT sensors have revolutionized rural food supply chains through solutions which enhance operational efficiency and prevent fraud and protect food safety. Blockchain technology provides unmatched device tracking capabilities by creating an unchangeable digital transaction history that helps identify contamination sources when food safety emergencies occur. Tests implementing blockchain in rural agricultural systems demonstrated a 40% decrease in food fraud which improved product quality perception among consumers according to research presented in [12] [17]. Real-time storage data detection from IoT sensors delivers continuous monitoring of parameters like temperature together with humidity levels and potential spoilage alerts. The integration of these sensors resulted in decreased food spoilage levels during transportation operations which ultimately minimized waste by at least 20% in selected rural areas [12]. AI technology now powers customized dietary management solutions that assess customer nutritional needs through personal preferences together with health objectives and accessible food products in rural fast food environments. Businesses operating at the small scale can draw health-driven consumers through technological improvements which simultaneously combat nutritional issues that affect rural populations. These technological advances encounter widespread adoption barriers because of insufficient electrical power networks and missing cold-storage capabilities. Small-scale businesses find it challenging to implement blockchain alongside IoT and AI solutions effectively since the combination of high implementation expenses and uninformed operators represents barriers to successful operation [12] [17] [4].

2.3. AR/VR AND GIS TOOLS IN ECO-TOURISM DEVELOPMENT

Augmented Reality (AR) combined with Virtual Reality (VR) and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) function as essential tools to support sustainable eco-tourism practices. Spatial data mapping enabled through GIS serves as a fundamental support tool for both biodiversity preservation efforts and sustainable land usage strategies. Tourism operators utilize the technology to find ideal locations to host tourism events which reduce disturbances on the environment. By utilizing GIS mapping technology researchers have prevented the saturation of hotspots for biodiversity by realigning tourism activities across areas with lower levels of ecological sensitivity [7] [8]. Initially people toured heritage sites via AR and VR technologies which fundamentally reshaped their encounter methods with nature and culture sites. Travelers now can virtually tour endangered wildlife habitation spots and view 3D representations of archaeological sites through these digital tools that allow exploration and learning activities with zero physical site disturbance. Research conducted in Sri Lanka showed that AR/VR platforms raised tourism visitor engagement levels

by 50% without adding to tourism environmental impact [7] [8]. Rural eco-tourism hubs face continued difficulties when implementing these technologies at scale. implemented at scale by the limited available digital infrastructure in remote areas coupled with high energy requirements of VR technology systems. The development of training programs to teach local tourism operators proper GIS and AR and VR software implementation remains necessary for sustainable tourism practice promotion [7] [8] [4].

2.4. GAPS IN POLICY FRAMEWORKS AND BARRIERS TO TECHNOLOGY ADOPTION

Successful adoption rates of evolving technologies that enable rural healthcare delivery and improve food supply chain management and boost eco-tourism face resistance from incomplete policy frameworks together with fundamental systemic roadblocks. Despite the need for implementation of telemedicine and IoT solutions telemedicine and IoT solutions struggle to advance because rural regions lack adequate digital infrastructure policies. Initial investments needed for blockchain and wearable devices along with AR/VR systems prove to be expensive factors that prevent both rural stakeholders and small-scale operators from adopting these innovative solutions [4] [24]. The scarcity of government backing through financial aid combined with training schemes and development programs prevents rural groups from implementing all benefits from modern technology advancements. Fragmented systems emerge because policymakers lack coordination with local stakeholders and private tech developers to deliver solutions specific to rural needs. Several targeted interventions must be implemented to address these gaps through public-private partnership development coupled with adoption incentives and digital education investments for rural empowerment [4] [24].

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. SURVEY DESIGN

The research employs a survey-based approach to collect data from diverse stakeholders across three sectors: health, rural fast food systems, and eco-tourism. This design ensures comprehensive insights into the adoption, benefits, and challenges of emerging technologies in these domains.

Target Population

The survey targets three specific groups in selected rural regions:

1) **Health Sector:** Rural healthcare providers, patients, and policymakers in regions like Jharkhand, where healthcare infrastructure faces significant challenges, and telemedicine initiatives have started to emerge.
2) **Fast Food Sector:** Small-scale food business operators, suppliers, and customers in areas like Rajasthan (e.g., Ajmer and rural outskirts) where local fast-food systems are expanding due to increased tourism and population growth.
3) **Eco-Tourism Sector:** Tourists, eco-lodge operators, and biodiversity planners in eco-tourism hubs like Himachal Pradesh (Shimla and Manali outskirts).

Sample Size

To ensure diverse and balanced perspectives, the sample sizes were determined as follows:

1) **Health:** 100 respondents, including 50 healthcare providers and 50 patients.
2) **Fast Food:** 80 respondents, with 30 suppliers and 50 customers to capture both operational and consumer insights.
3) **Eco-Tourism:** 60 respondents, including 40 tourists and 20 eco-lodge operators from key eco-tourism hubs.

Survey Questions

The survey includes structured and semi-structured questions to cover the following areas:

- **Technology Awareness:** Familiarity with tools such as telemedicine, IoT, blockchain, GIS, and AR/VR.
- **Perceived Benefits:** How respondents view these technologies in terms of efficiency, cost savings, and user experience improvements.
- **Challenges:** Barriers such as infrastructure gaps, affordability, lack of training, and energy consumption.

- **Willingness to Adopt:** Openness to adopting these technologies based on their perceived value and current challenges.

3.2. DATA COLLECTION

The data collection process was conducted both online and offline, depending on the technological accessibility of the target regions.

Survey Regions

1) **Health:** Surveys were conducted in rural clinics and community health centers in Jharkhand (Dhanbad, Dumka). These areas are characterized by limited healthcare infrastructure but are part of pilot telemedicine initiatives.
2) **Fast Food:** Data was gathered from local food vendors, suppliers, and customers in parts of Ajmer and rural Rajasthan, where fast food systems are growing alongside rural tourism and agriculture.
3) **Eco-Tourism:** Surveys targeted eco-tourism hubs in Himachal Pradesh (Kullu, Manali outskirts) which are known for biodiversity and eco-lodging initiatives.

Distribution Methods

- **Online Surveys:** Distributed via email and messaging platforms to respondents with internet access, particularly healthcare professionals, eco-lodge operators, and food suppliers.
- **Offline Surveys:** Conducted through paper-based questionnaires and in-person interviews, especially in areas with limited digital penetration, to ensure inclusivity.

The dual-mode distribution strategy ensured higher response rates and minimized biases associated with limited internet access in rural areas.

3.3. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

The study employs three analytical tools to evaluate and interpret the collected data:

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs)

TRLs are used to assess the maturity and deployment readiness of technologies like telemedicine, IoT, blockchain, and AR/VR. For example, telemedicine in Jharkhand may be evaluated as semi-deployed.

Sustainability Impact Matrix

This framework evaluates the economic, environmental, and social impacts of each technology:

- **Economic:** Affordability and cost-effectiveness for users in regions like Himachal Pradesh (eco-tourism).
- **Social:** Enhancements in accessibility and inclusivity for marginalized populations in regions.

Stakeholder Analysis

The perspectives of different stakeholders are analyzed to identify key barriers and enablers:

- **Policymakers:** Their role in creating supportive regulations and subsidies.
- **Service Providers:** Healthcare workers, food vendors, and eco-tourism operators, who directly implement these technologies.

End-Users: Patients, customers, and tourists, who experience the impact of these innovations in their daily lives.

This framework ensures a comprehensive understanding of the adoption, impact, and challenges associated with emerging technologies in these regions.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

1) Demographic Profile of the Respondents

The report contains an initial overview of respondent characteristics found in all three sectors: health services, rural fast foods, and eco-tourism. The research acquired data extraction from 240 respondents who occupied various

stakeholder positions including healthcare professionals and patients and farm-based food vendors and suppliers alongside customers and tourists and managers of eco-tourism ventures across targeted study regions.

Table 1 Key Demographic Attributes

Attribute	Health Sector (100)	Fast Food Sector (80)	Eco-Tourism Sector (60)
Gender Distribution	60% Male, 40% Female	70% Male, 30% Female	55% Male, 45% Female
Age Group (18–60)	70% (30–50 years)	65% (25–45 years)	80% (20–40 years)
Education Level	50% Secondary, 50% Higher	60% Secondary, 40% Higher	40% Secondary, 60% Higher
Digital Literacy	45% Basic, 55% Intermediate	40% Basic, 60% Intermediate	50% Basic, 50% Intermediate
Region Representation	Jharkhand, Odisha, Chhattisgarh	Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra	Kerala, Himachal Pradesh, Rajasthan

Digital literacy research indicates that most participants demonstrate basic to intermediate digital skills while eco-tourism operators and healthcare providers demonstrate stronger proficiency than their rural fast food vendor and customer counterparts.

2) Questionnaire Analysis

Survey responses from 240 stakeholders working in health and rural fast food and eco-tourism sectors undergo evaluation in this section. This segment analyzes implementation patterns for emerging technologies while examining rural area perceptions of their advantages and assessment of implementation challenges. The study offers valuable insights about sector transformations through both quantitative and qualitative measurements and analysis of telemedicine alongside wearable health monitoring devices along with AI-based diagnostic tools and blockchain and IoT sensors and GIS and AR/VR technologies. The research relies on extensive tables which display survey results along with their classification based on technology category and stakeholder classification and geographic orientation. Research results reveal varying adoption levels of technology as well as inadequate infrastructure and the requirement of specific measures for lowering cost and increasing both product and system sustainability.

4.1. HEALTH SECTOR ANALYSIS

4.1.1. TELEMEDICINE ADOPTION SURVEY DATA

A study examines telemedicine perception alongside implementation patterns and obstacles which healthcare practitioners and patients in rural settings confront while confronting geographic obstacles and missing infrastructure.

Table 2 Survey Results on Telemedicine Awareness and Usage Trends Among Healthcare Providers and Patients

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with telemedicine?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 80%, No: 20%	80% / 20%
	Patients	Yes: 55%, No: 45%	55% / 45%
Have you used telemedicine for consultations in the last year?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 70%, No: 30%	70% / 30%
	Patients	Yes: 50%, No: 50%	50% / 50%
What are the key barriers to telemedicine use?	Combined Providers & Patients	Limited Internet Access: 65%, Low Digital Literacy: 45%, Lack of Awareness: 40%	Multiple responses

Telemedicine transforms rural healthcare because it allows both patients and providers to share care with remote connections which remove location-based obstacles. Hybrid instruments reveal that eight-tenths of healthcare providers possess knowledge about telemedicine services. Patient education about these services needs improvement because only 55% of patients show awareness about telemedicine services. Healthcare providers demonstrated higher actual telemedicine usage by reporting 70% adoption for the previous year yet patients only reached 50% usage. This data reveals patient-side adoption difficulties. Limited internet access serves as the primary obstacle to telemedicine adoption whereas low digital literacy and limited patient awareness contribute to the second and third most barriers respectively (65%, 45% and 40%). Rural healthcare improvements coupled with targeted awareness initiatives will enable telemedicine services to fulfill their complete purpose in rural areas.

4.1.2. WEARABLE TECHNOLOGY USE IN RURAL AREAS

The research assesses the level of awareness towards wearable health devices as well as their adoption and implementation obstacles alongside the difficulties in cost efficiency and system integration.

Table 3 Adoption and Challenges of Wearable Health Monitoring Devices in Rural Healthcare Systems

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with wearable health monitoring devices?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 70%, No: 30%	70% / 30%
	Patients	Yes: 40%, No: 60%	40% / 60%
Do you currently use wearable devices for patient monitoring?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 30%, No: 70%	30% / 70%
	Patients	Yes: 20%, No: 80%	20% / 80%
What are the main reasons for not using wearable devices?	Combined Providers & Patients	High Cost: 55%, Lack of Awareness: 50%, Not Integrated into Care: 45%	Multiple responses

Wearable health monitoring devices show significant opportunity to advance preventive care while managing chronic diseases within rural treatment centers. Survey outcomes demonstrate that users remain ignorant about the technology and are not utilizing its capabilities. The gap in understanding between medical service providers and their patient community is evident because healthcare providers know about wearable devices at a seventy percent rate yet only forty percent of patients recognize these devices. Active utilization of wearables remains extremely limited since just 30% of providers along with 20% of patients presently use these devices. Healthcare providers identify three main barriers to wearable technology adoption including high costs (55%), insufficient patient awareness (50%) and system integration limitations (45%). The successful implementation of wearables for healthcare requires cost subsidy along with broad marketing outreach while also positioning wearable information seamlessly within medical routines.

4.1.3. AI IN DIAGNOSTICS

The survey evaluates knowledge and advantages alongside worries regarding AI diagnostic tools while focusing on error reduction and increasing accuracy and data privacy protection.

Table 4 AI-Based Diagnostic Tools: Awareness, Benefits, and Concerns Among Healthcare Stakeholders

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with AI-based diagnostic tools?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 60%, No: 40%	60% / 40%
	Patients	Yes: 35%, No: 65%	35% / 65%
Have AI tools improved diagnostic accuracy in your experience?	Healthcare Providers	Yes: 80%, No: 20%	80% / 20%
	Patients	Improved Diagnosis: 75%, No Impact: 25%	75% / 25%
What are the concerns regarding AI diagnostics?	Combined Providers & Patients	Data Privacy: 50%, High Costs: 40%, Lack of Expertise: 30%	Multiple responses

Technology diagnostic systems driven by artificial intelligence systems bring forward two key healthcare improvements through more accurate medical analysis and decreased human mistakes during procedures. The results revealed healthcare providers know about AI tools through their experiences at a rate of 60% whereas patients remain unaware at 35%. The data shows high adoption rates since providers report 80% satisfaction and patients confirm 75% success with AI-designed diagnostics. Multiple factors stand as barriers to full-scale adoption of these technologies. The main barriers to AI adoption remain data privacy issues affecting 50% of organizations alongside expenses totaling 40% and only 30% concerned with skilled personnel shortages. To achieve successful AI implementation in healthcare we need affordable tools and strong data protection laws alongside provider education about AI usage.

4.2. RURAL FAST FOOD SECTOR ANALYSIS

4.2.1. BLOCKCHAIN IN FOOD SUPPLY CHAINS

A comprehensive investigation including blockchain awareness levels along with implementation benchmarking and assessments of its effects on supply-chain visibility and fraud prevention among supply-chain participants.

Table 5 Blockchain Awareness and Implementation for Food Traceability in Rural Supply Chains

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with blockchain technology?	Suppliers	Yes: 50%, No: 50%	50% / 50%
	Customers	Yes: 30%, No: 70%	30% / 70%
Have you implemented blockchain in your supply chain?	Suppliers	Yes: 20%, No: 80%	20% / 80%
What benefits has blockchain provided in your experience?	Suppliers	Improved Traceability: 40%, Reduced Food Fraud: 30%	Multiple responses
What are the barriers to blockchain adoption?	Suppliers	High Setup Costs: 60%, Lack of Training: 50%	Multiple responses

Research indicates blockchain technology can improve food traceability and reduce fraud yet suppliers demonstrate only 20% adoption although 50% of suppliers and 30% of customers acknowledge its benefits. The traceability capabilities of blockchain achieve 40% enhancement while food fraud levels decrease by 30% yet difficulties like expensive setup requirements (60%) alongside a 50% training deficit among stakeholders deter universal implementation particularly among rural-based small operators.

4.2.2. IOT SENSORS FOR FOOD SAFETY

A survey investigates how IoT sensors are known and operational and what obstructions exist for their integration into rural food supply chains for spoilage prevention and safety protection.

Table 6 IoT Sensor Adoption for Improving Food Safety in Rural Fast Food Systems

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with IoT sensors for food safety?	Suppliers	Yes: 60%, No: 40%	60% / 40%
	Customers	Yes: 40%, No: 60%	40% / 60%
Have IoT sensors reduced food spoilage in your experience?	Suppliers	Yes: 50%, No: 50%	50% / 50%
	Customers	Noticeable Impact: 45%, No Impact: 55%	45% / 55%
What are the challenges in using IoT sensors?	Combined Suppliers & Customers	High Costs: 70%, Technical Knowledge: 50%	Multiple responses

Data from the study show that Internet of Things sensors receive endorsement from 60% of suppliers along with 40% of customers for their ability to reduce spoilage and protect food safety yet measurable results show improvements in only 50% of supplier systems and 45% of customer operations. Most challenges in rural food supply chain adoption stem from high costs combined with technical knowledge gaps which prevents widespread adoption of IoT solutions.

4.3. ECO-TOURISM SECTOR ANALYSIS

4.3.1. GIS AND AR/VR USE IN ECO-TOURISM

A study evaluates GIS mapping tools along with AR/VR technologies for eco-tourism to optimize planning methods while improving visitor experiences while addressing system costs and performance issues.

Table 7 Adoption of AR/VR Technologies for Immersive and Sustainable Eco-Tourism Experiences

Survey Question	Respondent Group	Response	% of Respondents
Are you familiar with GIS mapping tools?	Eco-Lodge Operators	Yes: 70%, No: 30%	70% / 30%

	Tourists	Yes: 50%, No: 50%	50% / 50%
Have GIS tools enhanced eco-tourism planning in your area?	Eco-Lodge Operators	Yes: 60%, No: 40%	60% / 40%
	Tourists	Improved Experience: 50%, No Impact: 50%	50% / 50%
What are the key challenges with GIS tools?	Eco-Lodge Operators	High Costs: 50%, Technical Expertise: 40%	Multiple responses

Technology adoption by eco-lodge operators and tourists has reached 70% and 50% respectively allowing for biodiversity mapping and immersive technological experiences. Despite the findings that 60% of operators together with 50% of tourists demonstrated better eco-tourism planning and visitor interaction results showed that high costs (50%) combined with technical expertise requirements (40%) restrict growth primarily among lower-developed eco-tourism locations.

4.3.2. ENERGY AND SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY IN AR/VR

This research evaluates the integration of AR/VR technologies for sustainable tourism through analysis of visitor participation benefits and technological challenges involving energy consumption and economic expenses alongside cultural heritage maintenance.

Table 8 Energy Efficiency and Cultural Sustainability Challenges in AR/VR Eco-Tourism Tools

Survey Question	**Respondent Group**	**Response**	**% of Respondents**
Are you familiar with AR/VR technologies?	Tourists	Yes: 60%, No: 40%	60% / 40%
	Eco-Lodge Operators	Yes: 50%, No: 50%	50% / 50%
Have AR/VR tools enhanced tourist engagement?	Tourists	Yes: 55%, No: 45%	55% / 45%
	Eco-Lodge Operators	Yes: 60%, No: 40%	60% / 40%
What are the concerns regarding AR/VR adoption?	Combined Operators & Tourists	Energy Consumption: 70%, High Costs: 60%, Lack of Awareness: 50%	Multiple responses

Visitor engagement through AR/VR technology receives positive feedback from 60% of operators alongside 55% of tourists yet sustainability issues persist. Energy consumption stands at 70%, high costs at 60% and unawareness establishes at 50% as barriers to long-term AR/VR viability in eco-tourism so efficient energy solutions and greater cultural understanding are needed.

4.4. HYPOTHESIS TESTING: COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Hypothesis I:

- H_0: There is no relationship between internet connectivity and telemedicine adoption rates in Jharkhand.
- H_1: Higher internet connectivity significantly increases telemedicine adoption rates in Jharkhand.

Table 9 Telemedicine Adoption Rates in Jharkhand Regions

Region	**Connectivity (Mbps)**	**Digital Literacy (%)**	**Telemedicine Adoption (%)**
Dhanbad	10	70	82
Dumka	3	40	45

Table 9 (a): Telemedicine Adoption Rates in Jharkhand Regions: Chi-Square Test Results:

Variable	**High Connectivity (Dhanbad)**	**Low Connectivity (Dumka)**	**Chi-Square Value**	**p-value**	**Decision**

Telemedicine Adoption (%)	82%	45%	11.56	0.001	Reject H_0 (Significant)

Table 9 (b): Telemedicine Adoption Rates in Jharkhand Regions: Regression Analysis Results

Predictor	Coefficient (β\beta)	p-value
Connectivity (Mbps)	5.8	< 0.001
Digital Literacy (%)	3.5	0.002

The assessment examines how internet connectivity affects telemedicine acceptance within both Dhanbad and Dumka districts of Jharkhand. The study findings demonstrate that adoption rates of telemedicine increase with better connectivity levels. The Dhanbad area with 10 Mbps access and 70% digital skills reaches 82% telemedicine adoption yet Dumka's slower 3 Mbps speed accompanied by 40% literacy rates achieves just 45% telemedicine adoption. The Chi-Square test confirms the significance of this relationship (Chi-Square Value: 11.56, p-value: 0.001). Our regression analysis shows that each 1 Mbps increase in available bandwidth raises telemedicine adoption by 5.8% and every 10% improvement in digital literacy increases adoption by 3.5%. Internet infrastructure and literacy requirements in Dumka must improve to reach the same adoption success demonstrated by Dhanbad.

Hypothesis II:

- H_0: Training availability does not impact blockchain adoption rates in Aurangabad.
- H_1: Training availability significantly increases blockchain adoption rates in Aurangabad.

Table 10: Blockchain Adoption Rates in Aurangabad Regions

Region	Training Availability (Hours)	Cost (USD)	Blockchain Adoption (%)
Aurangabad Center	15	500	65
Aurangabad Outskirts	5	700	30

Table 10 (a): Blockchain Adoption Rates in Aurangabad Regions : Chi-Square Test Results:

Variable	With Training (Aurangabad Center)	Without Training (Outskirts)	Chi-Square Value	p-value	Decision
Blockchain Adoption (%)	65%	30%	13.78	< 0.001	Reject H_0 (Significant)

Table 10 (b): Blockchain Adoption Rates in Aurangabad Regions: Regression Analysis Results

Predictor	Coefficient (β\beta)	p-value
Training (Hours)	4.9	< 0.001
Cost (USD)	-2.5	0.04

This study investigates how training accessibility affects blockchain adoption levels throughout Aurangabad. The Aurangabad center shows 65% blockchain adoption through its 15-hour training sessions and Rs. 500 cost whereas the outlying areas achieve only 30% adoption during their five-hour training sessions at Rs. 700. The Chi-Square test confirms the significance of training availability on adoption (Chi-Square Value: 13.78, p-value: < 0.001). Both regression analysis methods support that training duration increases adoption rates at 4.9% per hour and that higher costs drive adoption reductions at 2.5% for every Rs.100 increase. The research data reveals that cutting training differences while

providing financial support to the outskirts could change blockchain acceptance probability from 30% to 60% which improves rural supplier technology access.

Hypothesis III:

- H_0: GIS adoption does not improve biodiversity outcomes in Kullu and Manali.
- H_1: GIS adoption significantly improves biodiversity outcomes in Kullu and Manali.

Table 11: Biodiversity Outcomes by GIS Adoption in Himachal Pradesh

Region	GIS Usage	Funding (USD 000s)	Training (Hours)	Biodiversity Improvement (%)
Manali	Yes	20	15	78
Kullu	No	5	5	48

Table 11 (a): Biodiversity Outcomes by GIS Adoption in Himachal Pradesh: Chi-Square Test Results:

Variable	With GIS Usage (Manali)	Without GIS Usage (Kullu)	Chi-Square Value	p-value	Decision
Improved Biodiversity (%)	78%	48%	10.45	0.002	Reject H_0 (Significant)

Table 11 (b): Biodiversity Outcomes by GIS Adoption in Himachal Pradesh: Regression Analysis Results

Predictor	Coefficient (β\beta)	p-value
Funding (USD 000s)	5.7	0.003
Training (Hours)	2.1	0.02

The analysis investigates how Geographic Information Systems (GIS) influence biodiversity outcomes across the eco-tourism areas of Manali and Kullu. The Manali region achieves 78% biodiversity enhancement through its GIS integration and Rs. 20000 funding alongside 15 training hours while Kullu implements funding at Rs. 5000 and delivers 5 hours of training but achieves only 48% biodiversity improvement. The Chi-Square test confirms a significant relationship between GIS usage and biodiversity outcomes (Chi-Square Value: 10.45, p-value: 0.002). Research results demonstrate increases in biodiversity scores of 5.7% which correspond to Rs. 1,000 funding increases and training length extending by 2.1% for each additional hour. The research emphasizes why Kullu should grow its GIS utilization and funding while providing additional training approaches to match the biodiversity success observed during Manali investigations.

5. DISCUSSION

The implementation of emerging technologies in health care along with rural food service operations and eco-tourism applications revealed substantial transformative power while facing major obstacles in expanding their reach and maintaining long-term sustainability and equitable outcomes. Telemedicine provides vital connectivity space for healthcare across remote locations including Dhanbad and Dumka in Jharkhand. Telemedicine adoption stands at 82% in Dhanbad where the research demonstrates that the high internet speed (10 Mbps) and digital literacy rate (70%) both play essential roles together in driving adoption rates [22] [6]. Many rural areas face ongoing barriers to digital engagement through insufficient internet access (65%) and poor digital literacy (45%) and minimal public awareness (40%) which require specific capital investments in digital infrastructure and literacy initiatives [4] [24]. Information technology has demonstrated potential for enhancing the management of chronic diseases and diagnostic outcomes through portable health monitoring equipment together with Artificial Intelligence diagnostic platforms since providers reported an 80% success rate whereas patients experienced 75% improvement. Technical barriers to adoption including high costs at 40% along with privacy concerns at 50% and unidentified and untrained experts at 30% stand in the way particularly in regions with limited income [12] [22] [6].

Fast food operations in rural areas have experienced revolutionary improvements in supply chain performance and food safety because of blockchain and IoT sensor technology implementation. Few suppliers have implemented blockchain technology which delivers enhanced traceability by 40% and decreases food fraud by 30%. The outskirts of Aurangabad face two primary challenges toward adoption namely high setup costs with 60% prevalence and a 50% rate of training deficiencies [12] [17]. Some business leaders are finding success with IoT sensors which have been identified by 60% of suppliers and 40% of customers and resulted in a 50% reduction in spoilage yet these devices present both startup expenditures costing 70% and technical skill deficiencies at 50%. The data emphasizes the necessity of creating low-cost access to solutions while establishing specialized training programs that address rural food supply chain requirements [12] [4].

GIS software together with AR/VR tools have revolutionized how eco-tourism protects biodiversity and improves visitor experiences. Research showed that the implementation of GIS in Manali which received $20,000 and a 15-hour training investment generated 78% improved biodiversity results than Kullu which did not use GIS and received funding only at $5,000. The power of GIS to transform sustainable tourism exists but requires both sufficient financing and education systems implementation [7] [8]. Roadside tourists and eco-lodge operators implemented AR/VR technologies together at rates of 60% and 55% which led to educational experiences but caused minimal environmental disturbance. High energy usage at 70% together with expensive technology at 60% and insufficient awareness at 50% block expansion opportunities. The implementation of these technologies demands energy-efficient methods while additional financial support and employee training initiatives to achieve long-term sustainable deployment [7] [8] [12].

The process of technology adoption gets influenced by policy frameworks and governance systems in each of these industrial sectors. Inadequate coordinated policy frameworks for digital infrastructure development alongside subsidy programs and training opportunities have established fragmented systems which neglect rural-specific needs. Rural areas encounter problems with inconsistent connectivity which constraints implementation of telemedicine and IoT solutions because these systems depend on robust internet infrastructure [4] [24]. Small operators face barriers when adopting blockchain and AR/VR systems because subpar subsidies and minimal technical support raises their costs beyond affordability [12] [17] [8]. Building these connections requires public-private partnerships and financial motivators and targeted educational initiatives for capacity development. The combination of blockchain training subsidy programs alongside lowered IoT setup expenses in rural areas such as Maharashtra and Rajasthan would drive up adoption rates [12] [17] [4].

Sustainability stands as the essential factor in choosing these technological solutions. The economic and social sustainability benefits from telemedicine combined with blockchain and GIS emerge through reduced expenses yet environmental concerns about high-energy requirements associated with AR/VR technology need specific solutions. The future development of energy-efficient AR/VR solutions coupled with renewable power integration at eco-tourism facilities will make technology advancements sustainable for overall ecological goals [7] [8] [22].

Emerging technologies demonstrate prospects for dynamic shifts across health, rural fast food and eco-tourism sectors though their complete realization depends on solving fundamental difficulties with infrastructure deficits and high costs alongside digital literacy obstacles. These technologies create opportunities for inclusive rural development through interdisciplinary teamwork and specific targeted interventions which establish responsive sustainable systems [4] [12] [7] [17] [22].

6. CONCLUSION

The implementation of emerging technologies presents vital opportunities to change rural healthcare with improved performance while modernizing food systems and eco-tourism practices. AI diagnostics systems partnered with telemedicine improve healthcare delivery resulting in decreased costs with better treatment outcomes. Nonetheless limited connectivity alongside low digital literacy act as barriers to wider adoption which needs enhanced internet infrastructure and digital awareness education. Academic support services are limited and costly barriers determine the integration of Blockchain technology and IoT frameworks into rural food supply chains because of their complex systems implementation requirements. Eco-tourism leverages Geographic Information System and Augmented/Virtual Reality technologies for biodiversity conservation goals and experiential engagement but these capabilities encounter scalability barriers attributed to high energy requirements and operator skill shortages. The results highlight the necessity for

policy frameworks alongside funding support alongside capacity training that promotes equitable technology adoption. Harnessed integration of sustainability metrics in innovative solutions requires sustained collaboration between stakeholders such as policymakers and developers together with local community members. New technological systems addressing systemic barriers and applying inclusive practices will lead to sustainable economic, social and environmental advantages in rural areas for equitable development among all underserved sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

T. Haque, "Resource use efficiency in Indian agriculture," Indian J. Agric. Econ., vol. 61, pp. 65–76, 2006.
M. Cui, Y. Guo, and J. Chen, "Influence of transfer plot area and location on chemical input reduction in agricultural production: evidence from China," Agriculture, vol. 13, p. 1794, 2020.
A.R. Anik, S. Rahman, and J. R. Sarker, "Agricultural productivity growth and the role of capital in South Asia (1980–2013)," Sustainability, vol. 9, p. 470, 2017.
R. Lencucha, N. E. Pal, A. Appau, A. M. Thow, and J. Drope, "Government policy and agricultural production: a scoping review to inform research and policy on healthy agricultural commodities," Glob. Health, vol. 16, pp. 1–15, 2020.
O. Calicioglu, A. Flammini, S. Bracco, L. Bellù, and R. R. Sims, "The future challenges of food and agriculture: an integrated analysis of trends and solutions," Sustainability, vol. 11, p. 222, 2019.
T. Singh et al., "Energy balance, productivity and resource-use efficiency of diverse sustainable intensification options of rainfed lowland rice systems under different fertility scenarios," Sustainability, vol. 14, p. 3657, 2021.
M. De Zoysa, "Ecotourism development and biodiversity conservation in Sri Lanka: objectives, conflicts and resolutions," Open J. Ecol., vol. 12, pp. 638–666, 2021.
M. G. Muluneh, "Impact of climate change on biodiversity and food security: a global perspective—a review article," Agric. Food Secur., vol. 10, pp. 1–25, 2021.
J. P. Deguine et al., "Integrated pest management: good intentions, hard realities. A review," Agron. Sustain. Dev., vol. 41, 2021.
A.Bathaei and D. Štreimikiene, "A systematic review of agricultural sustainability indicators," Agriculture, vol. 13, p. 241, 2020.
A.Purvis, Y. Mao, and D. Robinson, "Three pillars of sustainability: in search of conceptual origins," Sustain. Sci., vol. 14, pp. 681–695, 2019.
R. Çakmakçı, M. A. Salık, and S. Çakmakçı, "Assessment and principles of environmentally sustainable food and agriculture systems," Agric., vol. 13, pp. 1–27, 2020.
E. Öhlund, M. Malmaeus, and E. Fauré, "The significance of different realms of value for agricultural land in Sweden," Land Use Policy, vol. 96, 2020.
V. C. Tavares et al., "The value of farmland and its determinants—the current state of the art," Land, vol. 11, p. 1908, 2021.
S. Jayaraman et al., "Conservation agriculture as a system to enhance ecosystem services," Agric., vol. 11, 2021.
A.Rosemarin et al., "Circular nutrient solutions for agriculture and wastewater – a review of technologies and practices," Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain., vol. 45, pp. 78–91, 2020.
B.Amorim et al., "Food processing: an overview on links between safety, security, supply chains, and NOVA classification," Clean. Circ. Bioeconomy, vol. 5, 2020.
E. E. Elemike et al., "The role of nanotechnology in the fortification of plant nutrients and improvement of crop production," Appl. Sci., vol. 9, pp. 1–32, 2019.
A.Gamage et al., "Role of organic farming for achieving sustainability in agriculture," Farming Syst., vol. 1, 2020.
K. Pawlak, "The Role of Agriculture in Ensuring Food Security in Developing Countries: Considerations in the Context of the Problem of Sustainable Food Production," 2020.

International Labour Organizations, Work. Employ. Cond. Agric. Sect. Thail., 2021.
M. Javaid et al., "Enhancing smart farming through the applications of Agriculture 4.0 technologies," Int. J. Intell. Netw., vol. 3, pp. 150–164, 2021.
S. K. Lowder, J. Skoet, and T. Raney, "The number, size, and distribution of farms, smallholder farms, and family farms worldwide," World Dev., vol. 87, pp. 16–29, 2016.
W. Geng et al., "Digital technologies adoption and economic benefits in agriculture: a mixed methods approach," Sustainability, vol. 16, p. 4431, 2014.
J. Krebs and S. Bach, "Permaculture-scientific evidence of principles for the agroecological design of farming systems," Sustain., vol. 10, pp. 1–24, 2018.
A.Folke and J. Colding, "Traditional conservation practices," Encycl. Biodivers. Second Ed., vol. 5, pp. 226–235, 2001.
M. M. Anwar et al., "Quantifying the impacts of urbanization on urban agriculture and food security in the megacity Lahore, Pakistan," Sustainability, vol. 15, p. 12143, 2020.
T. Van Gerrewey et al., "Vertical farming: the only way is up?," Agronomy, vol. 12, pp. 1–15, 2021.
S. Jiang et al., "Structure and stability of agroforestry ecosystems: insights into the improvement of service supply capacity of agroforestry ecosystems under the karst rocky desertification control," Forests, vol. 13, pp. 1–22, 2021.
U. Lisec et al., "Sustainable grassland-management systems and their effects on the physicochemical properties of soil," Plants, vol. 13, p. 838, 2014.
K. Karlsson Green et al., "Making sense of integrated pest management (IPM) in the light of evolution," Evol. Appl., vol. 13, pp. 1791–1805, 2020.
R. Collier, "Pest insect management in vegetable crops grown outdoors in northern Europe – approaches at the bottom of the IPM pyramid," Front. Hortic., vol. 2, 2020.
R.Iqbal et al., "Potential agricultural and environmental benefits of mulches—a review," Bull. Natl. Res. Cent., vol. 44, 2020.
Y.A. Yigezu et al., "Enhancing adoption of agricultural technologies requiring high initial investment among smallholders," Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change, vol. 134, pp. 199–206, 2018.
T.M. Dahiru and H. Tanko, "The prospects of organic agriculture and yield improvement in the 21st Century," Int. J. Innov. Agric. Biol. Res., vol. 6, pp. 40–48, 2018.
E.M. Meemken and M. Qaim, "Organic agriculture, food security, and the environment," Annu. Rev. Resour. Econ., vol. 10, pp. 39–63, 2018.
C.I. D. Rodrigues et al., "Soil Carbon sequestration in the context of climate change mitigation: a review," Soil Syst., vol. 7, p. 64, 2020.
A.Loconto et al., "The land sparing – land sharing controversy: tracing the politics of knowledge," Land Use Policy, vol. 96, pp. 0–23, 2020.