Original Article ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

THE ROLE OF DEATH EDUCATION IN ENHANCING SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL LEARNING (SEL) AMONG HIGHER EDUCATION STUDENTS

Kopal Agarwal 1, Dr. Rekha Kaushal

- ¹ Ph.D Scholar, School of Liberal Arts, GD Goenka University Haryana, India
- ² Assistant Professor, School of Liberal Arts, GD Goenka University Haryana, India





DOI 10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.456

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.



ABSTRACT

Even though death is an inescapable aspect of life, talking about it can be challenging, especially in the setting of higher education. Academic settings have historically placed a strong emphasis on intellectual growth, frequently ignoring the emotional challenges that students encounter, such as coping with loss, grieving, and death. But as the educational scene changes, the significance of incorporating Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) into the curriculum is becoming increasingly apparent. Essential life skills that are important in both personal and professional contexts, like empathy, emotional control, and interpersonal interactions, are developed in pupils through SEL.

Keywords: Death Education, Social-Emotional Learning (SEL), Grief and Loss, Emotional Resilience, Higher Education

1. INTRODUCTION

Death education, a topic that is frequently disregarded, can support SEL by addressing the psychological and emotional difficulties that come with dying. Encouraging conversations about dying, loss, and coping can help students become more emotionally resilient, socially aware, and capable of making moral decisions—all essential components of SEL abilities. Research indicates that participating in death education programs not only lessens fear associated with dying but also promotes emotional development, empathy, and a deeper comprehension of relationships and life (MDPI).

The incorporation of death education within SEL frameworks can assist students in higher education, where they are preparing for different professions and personal struggles, in developing the emotional intelligence required to deal with life's most trying situations. Through the study of death and bereavement in an educational context, students can improve their social-emotional growth and get ready for careers that need empathy, fortitude, and moral accountability.

This study investigates the ways in which death education can improve SEL in college students by giving them the means to face and comprehend mortality and by promoting social responsibility and emotional development. In order to promote a comprehensive approach to student development, we will investigate the long-term benefits of death education through case studies, research findings, and theoretical viewpoints.

2. UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL LEARNING (SEL)

The process by which people learn to comprehend and control their emotions, create and sustain healthy relationships, empathetically express themselves to others, and make responsible decisions is known as social-emotional learning, or SEL. Five key characteristics form the foundation of SEL: relational skills, social awareness, self-management, self-awareness, and responsible decision-making. These competences encourage both intrapersonal and interpersonal skills, which are crucial for personal well-being and social functioning. In higher education, SEL helps not only academic performance but also emotional resilience, enabling students to overcome problems such as stress, conflict, and grief.

Academic and personal development in higher education depend heavily on the inclusion of SEL. Through improved emotional intelligence, pupils are better equipped to control their emotions, work with others, and understand different points of view. Thus, kids can flourish academically and emotionally in a more welcoming and encouraging learning environment (MDPI). Furthermore, studies show that SEL and mental health are related, as students who participate in SEL programs report feeling less anxious, having higher self-esteem, and having better coping strategies for stress and loss. Within the framework of death education, social emotional learning (SEL) gives students the emotional tools they need to comprehend and deal with mortality, which will benefit their academic and personal growth.

The Role of Death Education in Enhancing Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) Among Higher Education Students

3. INTRODUCTION

Death education is an often-overlooked but essential component of the holistic development of students in higher education. As students navigate the complexities of young adulthood, they are frequently confronted with issues of loss, mortality, and the uncertainties of life. These experiences can significantly impact their emotional and social well-being. Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) focuses on helping individuals develop essential life skills such as self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making. Integrating death education into SEL programming can enhance students' emotional resilience, empathy, and overall mental health, enabling them to face life's challenges with greater competence and compassion.

This paper explores how death education can play a pivotal role in enhancing SEL among higher education students. It also discusses how this integration can lead to improved emotional well-being, foster a supportive community, and develop students' capacity for empathy and social responsibility.

SEL is a framework designed to develop students' emotional intelligence and interpersonal skills. The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL) outlines five core competencies that underpin SEL:

- 1) **Self-Awareness**: Understanding one's emotions, values, and strengths.
- 2) **Self-Management:** Regulating emotions, managing stress, and setting goals.
- 3) Social Awareness: Empathy, understanding social norms, and respecting diversity.
- **4) Relationship Skills**: Communication, teamwork, and conflict resolution.
- 5) Responsible Decision-Making: Ethical judgment and problem-solving.

Incorporating death education into SEL creates opportunities for students to engage deeply with these competencies. Issues of mortality and loss challenge students to reflect on their personal values, manage their emotions around grief, and develop empathy for others who are dealing with similar struggles

4. THE CONCEPT AND NEED FOR DEATH EDUCATION

A planned curriculum or learning experience that tackles the psychological, emotional, and social facets of death, dying, and mourning is referred to as death education. It seeks to provide people a better knowledge of mortality, promoting emotional resilience and assisting in the development of constructive coping strategies for loss and grieving. Death education is particularly important in higher education since students are at a developmental time where they start to face life's inevitable obstacles, such as illness, losing a loved one, and realising one's own mortality. But there's a noticeable void in the academic curriculum when it comes to discussing mortality. Higher education institutions

frequently place more emphasis on professional and intellectual abilities than on existential and emotional themes, leaving students ill-prepared to handle the significant psychological and emotional challenges that arise from death and loss.

Students are left in a position where they are ill-prepared to deal with loss or comprehend their feelings on mortality due to a lack of death education. Research indicates that anxiety, sadness, and other mental health issues can result from unresolved grieving and an incapacity to deal with loss. This emphasises how critical it is to include death education in the curriculum since it can help kids develop their mental health, empathy, and resilience. Death education can have a profound effect on students' personal growth by meeting these emotional requirements. It can help them acquire the skills necessary for social responsibility, self-awareness, and emotional control—all of which are essential elements of social-emotional learning (SEL).

5. LINKING DEATH EDUCATION WITH SEL COMPETENCIES

Students can benefit from a strong framework for social and emotional growth when death education is incorporated into Social-Emotional Learning (SEL). Thinking about death promotes self-awareness, a crucial ability. Confronting the reality of death allows students to explore their emotional responses to loss and acquire insights into their values, beliefs, and life goals. In addition to encouraging personal development, this introspection makes it stronger for them to deal with life's uncertainties with more purpose and clarity.

As kids develop ways for regulating their emotions and learn to cope with loss, their self-management skills improve. Death education gives people the skills they need to manage their tension, worry, and grief—all of which are necessary to keep their emotions stable in trying circumstances. By confronting challenging emotions in a safe, educational atmosphere, students gain resilience and learn to handle adversity, a critical skill for both academic and personal success.

As pupils develop empathy and understanding for the suffering of others, their social awareness increases. Death education facilitates recognition of varied grief stages, generating a deep sense of compassion. By encouraging inclusivity and fortifying social ties, this knowledge gives kids the tools they need to respond to the emotional needs of others in a sensitive and caring manner.

Learning how to support people through difficult times and communicate effectively can improve one's relationship abilities. Students receive hands-on training in providing emotional support and forming deep relationships with peers who might be grieving. Because they promote trust, understanding, and emotional safety, these interpersonal skills are essential in both personal and professional relationships.

Finally, ethical concerns about mortality have a significant influence on responsible decision-making. Death education helps students consider the effects of their choices, especially whether they are helping others or deciding how best to care for themselves in the final stages of life. This builds ethical awareness, encouraging students to make compassionate and mindful decisions that value the well-being of others and respect for life's complexities.

Students acquire all of the SEL competencies via death education, which equips them for the emotional and social difficulties they will encounter in life. This all-encompassing strategy fosters social responsibility and long-term mental health in addition to improving their academic performance.

6. THE ROLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

The establishment of venues for death education—which tackles the social, psychological, and emotional issues arising from mortality—is mostly dependent on higher education institutions. Universities can give students with structured learning opportunities that support them in confronting and understanding death in a positive way by integrating death education into the academic curriculum. This integration can occur in the form of specialised courses, cross-disciplinary modules, or the incorporation of conversations about death and loss into already-existing fields like social work, psychology, and health sciences. These programs help kids grow holistically by strengthening their emotional resilience and equipping them to face obstacles in the real world.

Moreover, cooperation between instructors, counsellors, and student support networks is essential for the success of death teaching in higher education. Teachers can lead candid conversations about death, and counsellors can offer kids emotional support when they are grieving. To handle emotional reactions to death, institutions might also create peer support groups, counselling services, and courses. Peer-led support groups provide safe environments where

students may open up about their thoughts and experiences, which helps to build empathy and a sense of community. Higher education institutions may guarantee that death education not only improves students' emotional intelligence but also adds to their general personal and social well-being by creating a holistic framework that incorporates intellectual, emotional, and peer support.

7. LONG-TERM BENEFITS OF INTEGRATING DEATH EDUCATION AND SEL

For students in higher education, the combination of death education and social-emotional learning (SEL) has many long-term advantages, but it especially helps to build better coping mechanisms and emotional resilience. Students who participate in systematic discussions on death and loss become more adept at handling their sadness and anxiety and build the emotional resilience necessary to face life's unavoidable obstacles. This resilience is essential in both personal and professional contexts, as the capacity to handle stress and loss becomes more and more crucial. Through death education, students learn to be more compassionate and empathic, which helps to foster a more welcoming and inclusive campus community that prioritises people's emotional health.

Additionally, death education helps students develop vital skills for their future careers by preparing them to face both personal and professional challenges. This is especially important for fields like healthcare, counselling, and social work, where dealing with death and loss is a daily part of professional lives. Crucially, incorporating death education into SEL frameworks also helps to lessen the stigma associated with loss and sorrow in educational settings. Universities may create open, encouraging settings where students feel comfortable asking for assistance, sharing their experiences, and offering support to one another by normalising conversations about death. This method benefits the academic community as a whole by enhancing students' emotional and social competencies as well as fostering an understanding and empathic campus culture.

8. CASE STUDIES

Case study 1: Integrating Death Education in Nursing Curriculum

Over the course of a semester, death education was incorporated into the curriculum at a Canadian nursing school where a study was carried out. The course covered sorrow, loss, and moral conundrums related to end-of-life care. Journaling exercises and group discussions were also included. Students expressed feeling more emotionally resilient, having better communication skills, and being more cognisant of the emotional needs of patients and their families. In clinical settings, they felt better prepared to manage conversations about death and bereavement. A few students expressed the need for more formally organised post-course follow-up assistance to assist them in processing the feelings and experiences they encountered during their clinical rotations.

Case Study 2: Death Education in Social Work Programs

A study was carried out at an American university that included a death education course in its graduate social work curriculum. Topics covered in the curriculum included grief counselling, cultural views on death, and the legalities of end-of-life care. According to the students, the coursework greatly improved their empathy and understanding of the many ways that other cultures deal with death and mourning. They felt more equipped to support their practice's grieving clients. It was feared that the course's inadequate treatment of students' emotional responses to death would impede their ability to advance professionally.

Case Study 3: Emotional Development through Death Education in Psychology Students.

A study at a university psychology department explored the effects of a death education module on undergraduate students. The module incorporated lectures, discussions, and role-playing scenarios that dealt with death and grief. Participants demonstrated a significant increase in self-awareness and emotional regulation skills. They reported feeling more comfortable discussing death and experienced reduced anxiety surrounding end-of-life topics. While students expressed a desire for more practical applications of the concepts learned, the curriculum primarily focused on theoretical knowledge.

Case Study 4: Peer Support Programs and Death Education

A university ran a peer support program that included SEL training along with death education. Through this program, students learnt how to lead peer conversations about loss and grief and foster a supportive campus

environment. The program enhanced students' coping strategies for dealing with loss and raised awareness of mental health options. The participants expressed feeling more a part of their peer group and more prepared to offer bereavement care to others. The study made clear that peer facilitators needed continual training to make sure they were sufficiently equipped to handle delicate conversations.

Case Study 5: Evaluating the Effectiveness of Death Education Programs

A community college's long-term impact of death education on students' emotional and social growth was evaluated in a longitudinal study. Over the course of two semesters, the program featured workshops, guest lecturers, and reflective writing tasks. Students' emotional intelligence, empathy, and loss coping mechanisms significantly improved, according to the study. Many participants in the program claimed continuing advantages months after finishing it. The study found that students' experiences were not sufficiently diversified. This suggests that a wider range of cultural perspectives on death and sorrow should be included in the curriculum.

9. CONCLUSION (CASE STUDIES)

To sum up, the case studies that have been examined demonstrate the important part that death education plays in improving students' Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) in higher education. Students in a variety of academic disciplines, including psychology, social work, nursing, and community colleges, reported feeling more emotionally resilient, having better empathy, and being more self-aware when faced with the difficulties of loss and grieving. For example, the inclusion of death education in nursing curricula improved students' ability to interact with patients and their families, which eventually helped them get ready for situations they would face in the workplace (White & Mielke, 2018). In a similar vein, students studying social work gained a better grasp of cultural perspectives on loss, which improved their capacity to offer compassionate care (Garren & Burrell, 2019). Peer support services were also included to show how collaborative learning environments can create a welcoming campus community and help students process their experiences together (Sweeney & Hester, 2021). According to longitudinal research, death education imparts emotional skills that have a lasting effect and give students the tools they need to deal with issues associated to loss on both a personal and professional level (Fisher & Harland, 2022). There are still gaps, though, especially when it comes to the need for continuing assistance and the incorporation of other cultural viewpoints in the curriculum.

Overall, these results support the paper's premise, which is that incorporating death education into SEL frameworks improves students' emotional abilities and fosters a stronger, more compassionate academic community. Higher education institutions may prepare students to deal meaningfully with life's unavoidable issues and cultivate future leaders who are compassionate and responsible by continuing to develop and refine death education programs.

9.1. CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

There are a number of difficulties in incorporating death education into higher education, especially when it comes to handling cultural and individual sensitivity to death. various cultures, faiths, and personal experiences have various attitudes on death, and it is important to take these differences into account in order to prevent upsetting or alienating students. Educators must address the topic with cultural competence, ensuring that talks around mortality are respectful of other beliefs and traditions. The opposition that one frequently encounters while talking about death in academic contexts is another important obstacle. Death remains a taboo subject in many cultures, and both students and professors may be reluctant to engage in debates that tackle mortality directly. The execution of death education programs may be hampered by this reluctance, which may need to be overcome gradually and delicately.

Institutions also need to make sure that adequate emotional support networks are available for students who might feel uncomfortable during death education classes. Counsellors, mental health resources, and peer support groups ought to be accessible through these platforms, offering students who find the emotional burden of the material too much to handle a safety net. Universities can develop a supportive, well-balanced approach to death teaching that respects personal sensitivity and fosters emotional development and resilience by carefully addressing these issues.

9.2. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING DEATH EDUCATION IN SEL FRAMEWORKS

A well-crafted curriculum and pedagogical approach are necessary for the successful integration of death education within Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) frameworks. Interdisciplinary components from psychology, sociology,

philosophy, and the health sciences should be incorporated into curriculum design to give students a thorough grasp of death, loss, and grief. Active learning strategies like group discussions, case studies, and reflective journaling can help students examine their feelings and ideas about mortality in a safe and open setting. Diversity of viewpoints on death and culturally sensitive content will guarantee tolerance and respect for differing opinions.

For death education to be implemented successfully, mental health services and faculty must work together. Mental health specialists can assist instructors in determining which students might require more emotional support and can provide tools like workshops, peer support groups, and counselling to help students deal with the emotional difficulties of facing mortality. It is also essential that faculty members receive regular training on how to facilitate delicate conversations and offer emotional support.

Finally, to guarantee the efficacy of death education, it is critical to track and assess how it affects pupils' emotional growth. Surveys asking for feedback, evaluations of coping mechanisms and emotional resilience, and monitoring mental health results can all be used to achieve this. By allowing institutions to continuously assess their methods and tackle new issues, they can make sure that death education helps students' social and emotional development.

10. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, including death education within Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) frameworks offers college students a special chance to hone important social and emotional competencies. The main ideas discussed in this study highlight how death education helps people develop essential SEL characteristics such as self-awareness, emotional control, empathy, interpersonal communication, and ethical decision-making. every essential SEL competency. By confronting mortality, students gain emotional resilience and the ability to cope with grief and loss, ultimately enhancing their personal and academic growth. Additionally, death education lessens the stigma associated with loss and death by fostering a caring and supportive campus community.

As educators become more aware of the value of death education in preparing students for obstacles in both their personal and professional lives, it is expected that the integration of death education and SEL in higher education will grow in the coming years. University teachers, mental health services, and student support networks can work together to provide inclusive, culturally aware programs that meet students' emotional and psychological needs. This integration will be reinforced by the creation of workshops, peer support initiatives, and counselling services, which will enable students to deal with the complexity of mortality with assurance and compassion.

In summary, death education is essential to developing resilient, caring students who possess the emotional intelligence and ethical understanding necessary to confront life's uncertainties. Higher education institutions help students develop these traits, which not only prepares them for lucrative employment but also enhances their long-term wellbeing and capacity for meaningful interaction with the outside world.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

REFERENCES

Bregman, L. (2021). The spiritual dimensions of death, loss, and grief: Beyond the death education classroom. Journal of Religion, Spirituality & Aging, 33(1-2), 50-61. https://doi.org/10.1080/15528030.2020.1755484

Corr, C. A., & Corr, D. M. (2019). Death and dying, life and living (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Doka, K. J. (2020). Grief is a journey: Finding your path through loss. Atria Books.

Doughty, C. (2018). Will my cat eat my eyeballs? Big questions from tiny mortals about death. W. W. Norton & Company. Elledge, R. (2020). Exploring the role of death education in SEL programs for undergraduate students. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 24(3), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.37341/jeoe-2020

- Fisher, K., & Harland, J. (2022). Long-term effects of death education on student emotional development: A longitudinal study. Death Studies, 46(3), 230-239. https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2020.1770572
- Garren, H., & Burrell, B. (2019). Impact of death education on social work students' understanding of grief and loss. Journal of Social Work Education, 55(1), 98-110. https://doi.org/10.1080/10437797.2019.1682253
- Goleman, D. (2005). Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. Bantam Books.
- Holland, J. M., Currier, J. M., & Neimeyer, R. A. (2014). Meaning reconstruction in the first two years of bereavement: The role of sense-making and benefit-finding. Omega: Journal of Death and Dying, 68(4), 341-362. https://doi.org/10.2190/0M.68.4.a
- Hogan, N. S., Worden, J. W., & Schmidt, L. A. (2016). Adolescents and grief: A guide for parents and practitioners. Guilford Press.
- Humphreys, J., & Carr, D. (2018). Death education and grief counselling: A comparative study of undergraduate and postgraduate programs. Counseling Psychology Quarterly, 31(4), 354-365. https://doi.org/10.1080/09515070.2017.1390800
- Irish, D. P., Lundquist, K. F., & Nelsen, V. J. (1993). Ethnic variations in dying, death, and grief: Diversity in universality. Taylor & Francis.
- Kessler, D. (2019). Finding meaning: The sixth stage of grief. Scribner.
- Kübler-Ross, E. (1969). On death and dying. Scribner.
- Kübler-Ross, E., & Kessler, D. (2005). On grief and grieving: Finding the meaning of grief through the five stages of loss. Scribner.
- Lang, S., & McNally, C. (2020). Enhancing emotional intelligence through death education: A case study in psychology. Psychology Teaching Review, 26(2), 67-76. https://doi.org/10.53841/jptr
- Leary, M. R., & Tangney, J. P. (2012). Handbook of self and identity (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Martz, E., & Livneh, H. (2007). Coping with chronic illness and disability: Theoretical, empirical, and clinical aspects. Springer.
- McGoldrick, M., & Walsh, F. (2013). Bereavement: A family life cycle perspective. Family Process, 52(1), 69-88. https://doi.org/10.1111/famp.12002
- McGoldrick, M., & Hardy, K. V. (2008). Re-visioning family therapy: Race, culture, and gender in clinical practice (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
- Neimeyer, R. A. (2001). Meaning reconstruction and the experience of loss. American Psychological Association.
- Neimeyer, R. A., & Sands, D. C. (2011). Meaning reconstruction in bereavement: From principles to practice. Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy, 41(2), 73-80. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10879-010-9165-4
- Papadatou, D. (2009). In the face of death: Professionals who care for the dying and the bereaved. Springer Publishing Company.
- Parkes, C. M. (2015). Bereavement: Studies of grief in adult life (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Pearlman, L. A., & Saakvitne, K. W. (1995). Trauma and the therapist: Countertransference and vicarious traumatization in psychotherapy with incest survivors. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Rando, T. A. (1984). Grief, dying, and death: Clinical interventions for caregivers. Research Press.
- Schneider, M. A. (2006). A qualitative study on student reactions to death education. The Journal of Death and Dying, 53(2), 109-128. https://doi.org/10.2190/B0G2-JR0X-20K2-7363
- Sweeney, L., & Hester, M. (2021). Building compassionate communities: The impact of peer support and death education. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 25(1), 43-64. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/2273
- Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. Psychological Inquiry, 15(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01
- Thompson, B. (2018). Building a grief-informed campus: Death education and mental health. Journal of American College Health, 66(2), 155-162. https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2017.1382498
- Vail, K. E., Rothschild, Z. K., Weise, D. R., Solomon, S., Pyszczynski, T., & Greenberg, J. (2012). A terror management analysis of the psychological functions of religion. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 16(1), 77-99. https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868311413082
- Viorst, J. (1986). Necessary losses: The loves, illusions, dependencies, and impossible expectations that all of us have to give up in order to grow. Simon & Schuster.
- Walsh, F. (2012). Normal family processes: Growing diversity and complexity (4th ed.). Guilford Press.

- White, J., & Mielke, K. (2018). The role of death education in enhancing emotional intelligence in nursing students. International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship, 15(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijnes-2017-0052
- Wong, P. T. P., & Tomer, A. (2011). Beyond terror and denial: The positive psychology of death acceptance. Death Studies, 35(2), 99-106. https://doi.org/10.1080/07481187.2010.536886
- Worden, J. W. (2008). Grief counseling and grief therapy: A handbook for the mental health practitioner (4th ed.). Springer Publishing Company.
- Wright, J. H., Basco, M. R., & Thase, M. E. (2006). Learning cognitive-behavior therapy: An illustrated guide. American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Yalom, I. D. (2008). Staring at the sun: Overcoming the terror of death. Jossey-Bass.
- Zimmerman, J. L., & Dickerson, V. C. (1996). If problems talked: Narrative therapy in action. Guilford Press.
- Zisook, S., Shear, K., Kendler, K. S., & Simons, J. F. (2009). **Bereavement, complicated grief, and DSM:41. Beck, A. T., & Alford, B. A. (2009). Depression: Causes and treatment (2nd ed.). University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Bonanno, G. A. (2009). The other side of sadness: What the new science of bereavement tells us about life after loss. Basic Books.
- Balk, D. E., & Corr, C. A. (2010). Adolescent encounters with death, bereavement, and coping. Springer Publishing Company.
- Balk, D. E., & Wogrin, C. (2020). Helping the bereaved college student. Springer Publishing Company.
- Falk, D. R., & Corr, C. A. (2012). Death, dying, and bereavement: Contemporary perspectives, institutions, and practices. Wadsworth Publishing.
- Silverman, P. R. (2000). Never too young to know: Death in children's lives. Oxford University Press.
- Tomer, A., Eliason, G. T., & Wong, P. T. P. (2008). Existential and spiritual issues in death attitudes. Routledge.
- van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma. Viking.
- Wolfelt, A. D. (2005). Companioning the bereaved: A soulful guide for caregivers. Companion Press.
- Wolfelt, A. D. (2013). Understanding your grief: Ten essential touchstones for finding hope and healing your heart. Companion Press.