Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
January 2024 5(1), 996–1002

# MICROCREDIT: A MEANS OF FINANCIAL STABILITY OR A TOOL FOR EXPLOITATION?

Shaniba S [1], Gopika B [2], Dr. N Sivakumar [3], Dr. S Nehru [4]

[1] Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, Coimbatore-641008
[2] Research Scholar, Department of Economics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University), Tamil Nadu
[3] Associate professor and head, Department of Commerce IT & E-COM, Sri Krishna Arts and Science College, Coimbatore-641008
[4] Professor, Department of Economics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University), Tamil Nadu

**Corresponding Author**
Shaniba S,
shanibashoukath3@gmail.com

**DOI**
**10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.2554**

**Funding:** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.



## ABSTRACT

Microcredit has received a lot of attention lately since it is hailed as a revolutionary financial instrument for reducing poverty and promoting economic empowerment. This piece explores the dual nature of microcredit, examining how it may be used as a tool for potential exploitation as well as a means of achieving financial security. This research highlights the critical role that microcredit has had in giving marginalised populations access to capital by drawing on an extensive analysis of empirical evidence. It looks at how microcredit initiatives have boosted community development, entrepreneurship, and income production, thereby empowering people, especially women. These encouraging results highlight microcredit's capacity to promote economic resilience and financial stability. On the other hand, the research also reveals the negative aspects of microcredit. The research indicates that low-income individuals with inadequate financial and educational backgrounds are using microcredit to cover everyday household needs because there are insufficient regulating authorities in place. With no other feasible choice, these people are turning to microcredit, where they frequently run into predatory practises such excessive interest rates and forceful loan practises. The positive effects of microcredit are overshadowed by these practises, which give rise to serious ethical and social concerns.

**Keywords:** Microcredit, Financial Stability, Exploitation, Empowerment

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Microcredit has changed the lives of millions of people worldwide, especially in developing nations like India. It is praised as a tool for financial inclusion. For those who are not otherwise able to access conventional financial institutions, it guarantees access to modest loans. The idea of microcredit is to help the impoverished escape poverty by giving them the tools they need to launch enterprises, provide for their families, and enhance their standard of living. But in reality, its results differ. In certain instances, it promotes financial progress and independence; in others, it makes debt cycles and exploitation worse. This contradiction begs the crucial question: Is microcredit a weapon for exploitation or a way to achieve financial stability?

This case study explores 100 households' experiences with microcredit in Kerala's Palakkad area. An excellent setting for evaluating the effects of microcredit is Palakkad, a province renowned for its agrarian economy and cultural variety. The lived experiences of these families are the main focus of this study, which also examines how and why they sought microcredit and how it functions in their day-to-day activities. This study offers a narrative-driven examination of the borrowers' challenges, achievements, and coping strategies by capturing their voices through in-depth interviews.

Two key questions are at the heart of this study:

1) How and why do individuals approach microcredit?
2) How do they manage repayment, and how has microcredit affected their lives?

By weaving together personal stories and collective experiences, this research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of microcredit's role in shaping financial stability and its potential pitfalls. More specifically the study aims to spark a thorough conversation on the dual nature of microcredit and its implications for financial stability and poverty reduction through a detailed analysis of the subject. In order to fully realise microcredit's potential as a legitimate instrument for financial empowerment while reducing the risks of exploitation, it emphasises the significance of responsible lending practises and the pressing need for a well-regulated microcredit sector. This study adds to the continuing conversation about how microcredit might help the most vulnerable people in the world have a more stable and equitable financial future.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

After the success of the Grameen Bank, founded in Bangladesh in the 1970s by Nobel laureate Muhammad Yunus, the idea of microcredit became well-known around the world. Since then, microcredit has spread over the world, benefiting millions of people. Development economics underwent a revolution as a result of Yunus' strategy of giving small, collateral-free loans to the most vulnerable members of society. According to scholars like Armendáriz and Morduch (2010), microcredit makes it possible for people to obtain capital without depending on conventional financial institutions, which opens doors for entrepreneurship and the reduction of poverty The effects of microcredit are still up for discussion, though. Microcredit can trap borrowers in debt cycles, especially in areas with high interest rates or exploitative lending practices, according to Bateman and Chang (2012), while other studies, like those by Khandker (2005) and Pitt & Khandker (1998), contend that microcredit reduces poverty and improves well-being. In contemporary discourse, the contrast between microcredit as a tool for empowerment and as a means of exploitation has gained popularity.

The creation of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) and microfinance institutions (MFIs) in the 1990s, aided by the government and non-governmental organisations, gave microcredit in India a boost. Kerala has led the way in advancing Self-Help Groups (SHGs), with initiatives like Kudumbashree that use microfinance to empower women. Research by Kurien (2001) and Mukherjee (2012) emphasises how important SHGs are in helping Keralan women develop their social capital and financial independence. But in recent years, worries about mounting debt and repayment constraints have surfaced, especially in rural regions where agricultural revenues are erratic. Palakkad is a unique example of comprehending the dual nature of microcredit because of its mostly agricultural economy. In the lack of steady income, some households in the district struggle to repay loans, while others have utilised microcredit to diversify their sources of income. Scholars like Guerin et al. (2015) have examined the problem of over-indebtedness, which clarifies how high interest rates and repeated borrowing may increase rather than lessen financial vulnerability. With a special emphasis on the qualitative effects of microcredit in the setting of rural Kerala, this research places itself within the larger conversation on microcredit. It seeks to contribute to the literature by offering an in-depth narrative of how microcredit is perceived and experienced by the borrowers themselves, bringing to light both the potential benefits and the inherent risks associated with this financial tool.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

Using a qualitative methodology, this research examines the real-life experiences of 100 households in Palakkad district of Kerala. A narrative-driven, case study approach was judged to be the most appropriate to capture both the financial and emotional facets of borrowers' experiences, given the complex nature of microcredit's influence. In-depth interviews are the main method used in the study, which enables participants to express their own perspectives and experiences with microcredit.

## 3.1. RESEARCH DESIGN

The research design is structured as a qualitative case study, centred on the microcredit experiences of families in Palakkad. The study is guided by two main research questions:

1) How and why do individuals approach microcredit?
2) How do they manage repayment, and how has microcredit affected their lives?

The goal of this investigation is to give a thorough grasp of how microcredit can be used as a source of exploitation or as a tool for financial stability. The participants were chosen using a deliberate selection process, guaranteeing representation from a range of socioeconomic origins, genders, and professions in the district. The sample size of 100 households offers variation and depth in the viewpoints collected.

## 3.2. DATA COLLECTION

Data were collected through semi-structured, in-depth interviews, conducted over a three-month period in Palakkad district. These interviews were conducted in the local language, Malayalam, to ensure comfort and openness among the participants. The interview questions were designed to elicit responses that reveal both the practical aspects of microcredit such as how families access and repay loans and its emotional impact on their lives, including stress, hope, or empowerment. Each interview lasted approximately 45 to 60 minutes and was recorded with the consent of the participants. Follow-up questions were asked based on the initial responses to explore the themes of financial inclusion, economic challenges, and repayment strategies in greater detail. Field notes were also maintained to capture non-verbal cues and contextual factors that could provide additional insights.

## 3.3. DATA ANALYSIS

The data were analysed using thematic analysis, a method suitable for identifying patterns within qualitative data. Interviews were transcribed verbatim and then coded to identify recurring themes and categories. The two primary themes financial stability and exploitation were the guiding lens through which the data were interpreted.

Subthemes that emerged during the analysis included:

- Motivation for approaching microcredit (e.g., starting a business, covering medical expenses).
- Repayment challenges (e.g., fluctuating incomes, pressure from lenders).
- Social and psychological impacts (e.g., empowerment versus stress, community dynamics).

A grounded theory approach was employed to allow themes to emerge organically from the data. The analysis also paid particular attention to the nuances between different groups of borrowers, such as women, farmers, and small-scale entrepreneurs, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of microcredit’s varied impacts.

## 3.4. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Given the sensitive nature of financial hardship, ethical considerations were paramount. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring they were fully aware of the study's aims and their right to withdraw at any point. The anonymity of participants was strictly maintained, and pseudonyms were used in reporting the findings to protect their identities. Additionally, care was taken to conduct interviews in a respectful and non-intrusive manner, particularly when discussing repayment difficulties or personal financial struggles. While the study provides valuable insights into the lived experiences of microcredit borrowers in Palakkad, there are some limitations. First, the sample is limited to one district, and while it offers a detailed view of microcredit's impact in this region, it may not fully capture the broader experiences across different states in India. Second, the study relies on self-reported data, which may introduce bias as participants may underreport or overreport certain aspects of their financial situation. Finally, the qualitative nature of the research does not allow for generalization, but rather aims to provide a deep, contextual understanding of the issue. This methodology ensures that the voices of the borrowers are at the forefront, allowing for a rich, story-driven exploration of whether microcredit is truly a means of financial stability or a tool for exploitation.

## 4. RESULTS

The results of in-depth interviews with 100 households in Kerala's Palakkad area are presented in this part along with a discussion of the findings' wider ramifications. According to the investigation, microcredit may be both a short-term financial help and a long-term financial burden, creating a complicated and frequently conflicting experience.

### 4.1. MOTIVATIONS FOR APPROACHING MICROCREDIT

**Necessity over Choice:**

The majority of households surveyed said that they approached microcredit out of necessity rather than choice. According to the interviews, families looked to microcredit for necessities like launching small companies, paying for school fees, fixing up their homes, or paying for medical bills. Many participants emphasised that microcredit was the only choice available in the absence of official bank credit, which required collateral and copious amounts of paperwork. "I urgently needed money for my son's school fees," one member clarified. I couldn't get a loan from anyone in the hamlet, and the bank turned me down. It seemed like my only option when the microcredit salesperson knocked on my home.

**Small Initial Loans:**

Many borrowers began with modest loans, usually between ₹1,000 and ₹5,000, mostly for necessities rather than business ventures. These modest loans increased over time, not as a result of profitable commercial endeavours but rather as a result of mounting debt. According to the participants, microcredit brokers often urged them to take out new loans, sometimes to pay back old ones, which created a vicious cycle of borrowing and debt.

**Cultural and Social Factors:**

The influence of societal pressure on the choice to get microcredit was a recurrent subject. In example, a number of female participants stated that microcredit agents specifically targeted Self-Help Groups (SHGs) and promoted group borrowing, which made them feel obligated to take part. In many instances, borrowing was viewed as the standard in the community, and SHG members encouraged one another to take out loans that they would jointly guarantee. People found it difficult to turn down loans because of this social collateral practice, even if they weren't confident, they could pay them back.

### 4.2. CHALLENGES IN REPAYMENT

**Irregular and Volatile Incomes:**

Due to erratic revenue sources, a sizable percentage of participants—particularly those engaged in daily wage labour or agriculture—had difficulty repaying their loans. A number of participants explained how it was challenging to sustain regular repayments because of the seasonal nature of their jobs. One farmer said, for example, "I can handle the payments during harvest season, but the burden increases when there is no work. Just to pay the interest, I had to take out another loan.This research highlights a significant drawback of microlending in rural regions, where earnings are erratic and subject to market or climatic fluctuations. Due to their frequent inability to make payments on time, borrowers' debt loads grew even more as interest accrued.

**Multiple Borrowings and Debt Cycles:**

The frequency of repeated borrowings was one of the main themes that showed up in the data. Numerous households concurrently obtained loans from multiple microcredit organisations, frequently to settle past debts or cover other pressing expenses. Their financial difficulties were made worse by this technique as it became unsustainable to balance several repayments. A number of participants expressed feeling overburdened by the growing amount of debt. "I have loans from three different companies," one participant clarified. I use one debt to pay off another. It's an endless circle. This result is consistent with previous research that highlights the dangers of excessive debt for microcredit borrowers, particularly in areas where several lenders function independently. Many families experienced feelings of hopelessness and entrapment as a result of the financial and emotional strain caused by having to repay numerous debts.

## 4.3. MICROCREDIT AS A TOOL FOR EXPLOITATION

**Aggressive Lending Practices:**

The aggressive lending methods used by microcredit agents were a concerning trend that surfaced from the interviews. According to the participants, brokers would often stop by houses to persuade families to take out further loans, usually without fully outlining the terms and circumstances. The high interest rates and the cumulative effect of loan default were unknown to many borrowers. "The agent told me it was easy money, just a small loan," one participant said. However, they kept the interest from me. They now visit my home once a month to collect the money, and I am left with nothing. According to this research, even while microcredit organisations support financial inclusion, the lack of accountability and transparency in lending procedures calls into doubt the morality of microcredit in rural communities. The vulnerability of low-income families combined with the aggressive approach of microcredit agents suggests a high danger of exploitation.

**Emotional and Social Impact:**

Numerous interviews revealed the emotional toll that microcredit debt takes. Participants talked about how their failure to repay debts caused them to feel stressed, anxious, and ashamed. Relationship tensions within the community were recorded by a few families, particularly those who took out loans from Self-Help Groups and failed to fulfil their joint repayment commitments. This led to shame or social marginalisation, which made the borrowers' problems even worse. "I can't face the people in my group anymore," one member wrote. They treat me as though I've let them down by not being able to pay back the debt. It's not only money; it's also my community reputation.

## 4.4. MICROCREDIT AS A MEANS OF FINANCIAL STABILITY

**Instances of Success:**

There have been some encouraging accounts where microcredit did offer a route to financial security in spite of the difficulties. Only a tiny percentage of families reported financial benefits, especially those who started small companies or supplemented their agricultural income with microcredit. These families were able to make timely loan repayments and even obtained further loans to grow their companies. According to one successful borrower, "I began by purchasing seeds with a ₹1,000 loan. My farm has grown over the years. After taking out a larger loan to purchase equipment, I am now able to provide for my family without taking out further loans. Even though they are less frequent, these examples show how microcredit may be a tool for financial empowerment if borrowers have a workable income strategy.

# 5. DISCUSSION

The study's conclusions demonstrate how microcredit in rural Kerala has two distinct characteristics. On the one hand, those who are not able to access traditional banking institutions can do so through microcredit. But instead of empowering many Palakkad households, microcredit has turned into a source of exploitation. It is challenging to break out from the cycle of debt caused by aggressive lending tactics, borrowers' lack of financial literacy and the volatility of their salaries. The study finds that although microcredit can be a lifesaver in some situations, it is not a universally applicable strategy for reducing poverty. Microcredit is more likely to maintain financial vulnerability than to provide stability in the absence of improved regulation, education, and support networks; the success stories are the exception rather than the rule.

# 6. CONCLUSION

The dual character of microcredit in Kerala's Palakkad district is revealed by this study. Although microcredit makes money available to families that are not able to access traditional banking, it frequently results in long-term financial difficulties. Aggressive lending methods and erratic wages trap many borrowers, particularly those from low-income families, in debt cycles. Most families struggle with repayment, experiencing social and mental hardship, even if a small number have found financial security through microcredit. Stricter lending laws, more financial literacy, and more flexible repayment plans are some of the measures required for microcredit to be a true instrument for financial stability. Without these adjustments, microcredit runs the risk of turning from a tool for reducing poverty to one for exploiting vulnerable groups.

The findings point to the need for change in the microcredit industry, which should include more stringent regulation of lending practices, clearer loan conditions, and initiatives to educate borrowers about financial literacy. The report also emphasises how crucial it is to take the local economic environment into account, especially in rural regions where earnings are erratic and borrowers are more susceptible to debt traps. This study sheds information on the potential benefits and drawbacks of microcredit in rural India by hearing directly from the borrowers, adding to the continuing discussion of whether microcredit is a tool for exploitation or a source of financial stability.

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None.

## REFERENCES

Armendáriz, B., & Morduch, J. (2010). The economics of microfinance. MIT Press.

Bateman, M., & Chang, H.-J. (2012). Microfinance and the illusion of development: From hubris to nemesis in thirty years. World Economic Review, 1(1), 13-36.

Guerin, I., Labie, M., & Servet, J. M. (2015). The crises of microcredit. Zed Books.

Khandker, S. R. (2005). Microfinance and poverty: Evidence using panel data from Bangladesh. The World Bank Economic Review, 19(2), 263-286.

Kurien, J. (2001). Kudumbashree: Women's empowerment through poverty eradication in Kerala, India. UNDP.

Mukherjee, A. (2012). Self-help group-bank linkage programme and financial inclusion: A case study in India. Asian Journal of Research in Business Economics and Management, 2(7), 103-114.

Pitt, M. M., & Khandker, S. R. (1998). The impact of group-based credit programs on poor households in Bangladesh: Does the gender of participants matter? Journal of Political Economy, 106(5), 958-996.

Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2015). The miracle of microfinance? Evidence from a randomized evaluation. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(1), 22-53.

Morduch, J. (1999). The microfinance promise. Journal of Economic Literature, 37(4), 1569-1614.

Roodman, D. (2012). Due diligence: An impertinent inquiry into microfinance. CGD Books.

Rahman, A. (1999). Micro-credit initiatives for equitable and sustainable development: Who pays? World Development, 27(1), 67-82.

Bhatt, N., & Tang, S.-Y. (2001). Delivering microfinance in developing countries: Controversies and policy perspectives. Policy Studies Journal, 29(2), 319-333.

Hermes, N., & Lensink, R. (2011). Microfinance: Its impact, outreach, and sustainability. World Development, 39(6), 875-881.

Karim, L. (2011). Microfinance and its discontents: Women in debt in Bangladesh. University of Minnesota Press.

Sriram, M. S. (2010). Commercialisation of microfinance in India: A discussion on the emperor's apparel. Economic and Political Weekly, 45(24), 65-73.

Harper, M. (2011). Inclusive value chains in India: Linking the smallest producers to modern markets. World Scientific.

Wright, G. A. N. (2000). Microfinance systems: Designing quality financial services for the poor. Zed Books.

Kabeer, N. (2001). Conflicts over credit: Re-evaluating the empowerment potential of loans to women in rural Bangladesh. World Development, 29(1), 63-84.

Chakrabarti, R. (2004). The Indian microfinance experience—Accomplishments and challenges. IDFC India Infrastructure Report.

D'Espallier, B., Guerin, I., & Mersland, R. (2013). Women and repayment in microfinance: A global analysis. World Development, 39(5), 758-772.

Dunford, C. (2006). Evidence of microfinance's contribution to achieving the Millennium Development Goals. Freedom from Hunger.

Swain, R. B., & Wallentin, F. Y. (2009). Does microfinance empower women? Evidence from self-help groups in India. International Review of Applied Economics, 23(5), 541-556.

Hulme, D., & Mosley, P. (1996). Finance against poverty. Routledge.

Meyer, R. L. (2002). Track record of financial institutions in assisting the poor in Asia. Asian Development Bank Institute Research Paper.

Yaron, J. (1994). What makes rural finance institutions successful? The World Bank Research Observer, 9(1), 49-70.

Sharma, P. (2010). Microfinance, women's empowerment, and sustainable livelihood in rural India: A case study of self-help groups. Social Change, 40(1), 19-36.

Imai, K. S., Arun, T., & Annim, S. K. (2010). Microfinance and household poverty reduction: New evidence from India. World Development, 38(12), 1760-1774.

Aghion, P., & Bolton, P. (1997). A theory of trickle-down growth and development. Review of Economic Studies, 64(2), 151-172. https://doi.org/10.2307/2971707

Cull, R., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Morduch, J. (2009). Microfinance meets the market. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 23(1), 167-192. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.23.1.167

Duvendack, M., Palmer-Jones, R., Copestake, J. G., Hooper, L., Loke, Y., & Rao, N. (2011). What is the evidence of the impact of microfinance on the well-being of poor people? EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, Institute of Education, University of London.

Gonzalez, A. (2007). Resilience of microfinance institutions to national macroeconomic events: An econometric analysis of MFI asset quality. Microfinance Information Exchange (MIX) Discussion Paper, 1, 1-31.

Hashemi, S. M., Schuler, S. R., & Riley, A. P. (1996). Rural credit programs and women's empowerment in Bangladesh. World Development, 24(4), 635-653. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(95)00159-A