Original Article
ISSN (Online): 2582-7472

ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts
June 2024 5(6), 1082–1087

DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: ANALYSIS OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Dr. Ravi Prasad[1], Ruchi Sharma[2], Ayushi Aran[3], Vandana Bhardwaj[4]

[1]Associate Professor, Sunder Deep College of Management and Technology
[2]Research Scholar, Graphic Era Deemed to be University
[3]Assistant Professor, Sunder Deep College of Management and Technology
[4]Assistant Professor, Sunder Deep College of Management and Technology

Corresponding Author

Dr. Ravi Prasad,
raviprasad2007@gmail.com

DOI
10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i6.2024.2088

Funding: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright: © 2024 The Author(s). This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

With the license CC-BY, authors retain the copyright, allowing anyone to download, reuse, re-print, modify, distribute, and/or copy their contribution. The work must be properly attributed to its author.

ABSTRACT

Digitalization has significantly transformed higher education, influencing teaching methodologies, learning experiences, and institutional operations. The integration of digital technologies into academic settings has led to increased accessibility, flexibility, and innovation in both curriculum design and delivery. This shift is not only reshaping how students engage with content but also how educators approach instruction, fostering a more personalized and student-centered learning environment. The rise of online learning platforms, digital libraries, and virtual classrooms has democratized access to education, especially for non-traditional students such as working professionals and individuals in remote areas. As a result, educational institutions are adopting blended learning models that combine traditional face-to-face instruction with digital resources, providing learners with greater autonomy and adaptability. Furthermore, digital tools are enabling real-time data collection and analysis, allowing for more effective student assessment and feedback mechanisms. Higher, the rapid digitalization of higher education also presents challenges, such as the digital divide, where students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds may lack access to necessary technological resources. Additionally, there are concerns regarding data privacy and the potential over-reliance on technology in educational settings. This paper aims to explore the multifaceted impact of digitalization on higher education, examining both its benefits and limitations.

Keywords: Higher Education, Learning Outcome, Digital Platforms, Student Assessment

1. INTRODUCTION

The global shift towards digitalization has dramatically reshaped industries, sectors, and societies, and higher education is no exception. Digital technologies have not only revolutionized the way institutions operate but have also redefined the student learning experience, the nature of faculty-student interactions, and the overall educational ecosystem. As the digital transformation accelerates, universities and colleges worldwide are increasingly integrating new technologies into their teaching methodologies, research activities, and administrative processes (Bates, 2015). This integration has sparked debates and research into both the positive and negative effects of digitalization on higher education. This paper aims to explore the multifaceted impact of digitalization on higher education, examining its contributions to learning environments, accessibility, pedagogy, and institutional challenges.

2. RISE OF DIGITAL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS

The most prominent aspect of digitalization in higher education is the rise of digital learning environments, including online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and digital libraries. These tools have revolutionized how education is delivered and accessed, significantly enhancing the flexibility and convenience of learning (Means, Toyama, Murphy, Bakia, & Jones, 2010). In contrast to traditional in-person education, online and blended learning models have empowered students to access courses and educational resources from any location with an internet connection. These models provide working professionals, part-time students, and individuals living in remote or underserved areas with opportunities that were previously unavailable (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008). Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), for example, are a direct product of this digital revolution. These platforms have democratized access to education by offering free or low-cost courses from top universities, enabling students from around the world to learn from renowned scholars without the need to relocate or pay hefty tuition fees (Jordan, 2014). Moreover, the proliferation of Learning Managment Systems (LMS) such as Canvas, Blackboard, and Moodle has facilitated the easy distribution of materials, assignment submissions, and collaboration among students and faculty, further fostering an interactive and accessible learning environment (Almarashdeh, 2016).

3. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

1. To examine the use of Digital tools and the adoption pattern in Higher education.
2. To Identify the impact of Digitalization on Higher education in the Long run.

To know the students' perspective about the role of digital technology in innovative learning.

4. LITERATURE REVIEW

Selwyn, N. (2016) analysed impact of online platform and digital tools on learning outcome of students in higher education institutions (HEIs). The result indicates that these platforms offer individualised learning experience for students. These platforms (digital tools) help in enhancing students' engagement. Moore & Kearsley (2011) studied online learning platforms which facilitate students' satisfaction. A detailed study includes the role of Online learning platforms such as Coursera, Moodle and blackboard in changing or enhancing satisfaction among students. This study concludes that these online platforms have increased ease of doing courses but at the same time students are missing classroom environment. Luckin (2017) identified role of artificial intelligence in higher education. The study include impact of ai driven chatbots and its role in learning experiences of students. They conclude that though artificial intelligence helps in work alignment, but ethical integrity cannot be ignored.

Radianti, Majchrzak, Fromm & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020) studied VR (Virtual Reality) as a teaching technique. This research focused on use of VR (virtual reality) and its impact on students in higher education. Its use in various difficult subjects like medicine and engineering cannot be ignored. Using VR (virtual reality) costs expensive to students. Dichev & Dicheva (2017) studied impact of gamification on performance and motivation of students in higher education. Gamification is the process of adding game like elements in work processes to enhance participation. The authors investigated the use of gamification in curriculum of higher education. Studies have shown that this process have helped in enhancing students' motivation to some extent and improves their performance. Van Dijk (2020) illustrated social inequality among students regaeding access to basic infrastructure required for online learning in higher education. This research investigated that disparity exists for the access to technologies because many students are disadvantaged. The study reveals that this gap is widening more. Graham (2013) studied blended learning and its impact on students of higher education. Blended learning combines the traditional and online (digital) methods of learning. The research concludes that if designed carefully, blended learning can be beneficial for the students of higher education as it provides flexibility.Martin & Grudziecki (2015) evaluated the level of digital literacy among students and teachers of university. The study concludes that students take more interest in technology as compared to teachers. Although, these both groups require proper digital training to enhance their digital skills.Lancaster & Clarke (2016) illustrated impact of tools of digital assessment on academic integrity. The study emphasizes more on online evaluation and academic integrity. The result indicates that increase in online examinations have led to dishonesty.Bernard et al. (2019) analysed students' engagement in online learning. This is a metaanlysis study which include engagement of student in digital environments. It concluded that online learning demands motivation and self discilpline. Jordan, K. (2014) explores role of Massive open online courses in making higher education worldwide. This is the broad and wide access of higher education to individuals all over the world. This study also includes completion and retention rates of courses. Johnson et al. (2015)

discussed adaptive learning technologies in higher education. Adaptive Learning Technologies enhance learning experience for each student by using data. This technique is very important and useful as it meets the needs of individual students.

ccording to Tess (2013), social media can be a tool for informal learning in higher education. It helps students in learning engagements but at the same time it can distract them from learning. It has been found good in students' engagement. Tarafdar,Tu,& Ragu-Nathan (2010) studied technological stress among faculty in digital classrooms. This study analysed the present challenges or difficulties faced by faculties in making familiarity with teaching tools which are digital. According to this study institutional help is very important in allocating the resources and conducting training programmes.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The paper is based on both primary and secondary data. The primary data is collected from the students in higher education availing digital education. A structured questionnaire was prepared keeping in mind the objective of the study and convenient sampling techniques was adopted by the authors. The sample size of the survey was 100.The primary data was gathered from the students, teachers and administrator using technology in higher education. The secondary data is gathered through existing research studies, educational statistics & reports, institutional reports and data,

6. HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

H1: There is a significant impact of digitalization in higher education.

H0: There is no significant impact of digitalization in higher education

Table 1: Demographic profile of the Respondents

Particulars	Frequency	Percentages
Age Group		
18-25	26	26%
25-35	43	43%
35-45	19	19%
Above 45	12	12%
Total		100%
Gender		
Male	48	48%
Female	52	52%
Total		100%
Use of Digital Tools		
Upto 5 years	44	44%
5-10 years	26	26%
10-15 years	14	14%
15-20 years	12	12%
Above 25 years	4	4%
Total		100%
Marital Status		
Married	65	65%
Unmarried	35	35%
Total		100%
Types of University		
Private	63	63%
Public	25	25%
Others	12	12%
Total		100%
Education Level		
Post-Graduation	12	12%
Graduation	42	42%
Intermediate	28	28%
Others	18	18%
Total		100%

Source: Field Survey

7. INTERPRETATION

Table 1 shows the demographic profile of the respondents in the study. Table 1 represents the Age, Gender, Marital status, Types of University, Digitalization Tools and Education level of the Study. The survey reveals the student's perspective towards digitalization in Higher Education.

8. ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

CHI-SQUARE TEST

The author employed Chi-square Test to identify the impact of digitalization in Higher Education. The test was undertaken to examine the association of digitalization in the quality of education from the students 'perspective. The result of the chi-square is depicted in the Table 2.

Table 2: Relationship between the Demographic Profiles of the Respondents & Impact of Digitalization in Higher Education

Variables of the Study	Impact of Digitalization			Total	χ^2 Value	Table Value	Remarks
	Low	Moderate	High				
Age Group							
Upto 5 years	3	5	17	26	6.756	4.991	Hence ,it is Significant
5-10 years	6	15	22	43			
10-15 years	2	4	13	19			
15-20 years	2	4	6	12			
Gender					7.982	5.890	Hence ,it is Significant
Male	11	10	44	65			
Female	5	12	18	35			
Types of University							
Private	5	24	34	63	9.879	6.234	Hence ,it is Significant
Public	5	8	12	25			
Others	2	2	8	12			
Use of Digital Tools							
Upto 5 years	7	14	23	44	21.932	9.495	Hence ,it is Significant
5-10 years	5	7	14	26			
10-15 years	2	4	8	14			
15-20 years	3	4	5	12			
Above 25 years	0	2	2	4			
Education Level							
Post-Graduation	3	2	7	12			Hence ,it is not Significant
Graduation	7	15	20	42			
Intermediate	3	9	16	28			
Others	3	8	7	18			

Source: Author's Calculations: Using SPSS

9. CONCLUSION

In a nutshell, digitalization changed the face of higher education by enhancing access, enriching learning and teaching methodologies and enhancing administration. There is, therefore, a need for educational institutions to overcome the related challenges as they operate in this environment, for example managing the realities such as Digital divide and information privacy. In this way, higher education will be able to harness the potential of digitalization to the utmost and thus become an effective tool for knowledge creation as well as for attainment of integrated, constructive teaching-learning environments. All these continuities in technology will definitely affect higher learners in future and how institute handle and manage these changes will determine their ability to prepare students for an environment that is changing at a very fast pace. Digitalization has also totally transformed the manner that people educate and learn. The curriculum can therefore be augmented by such tools as virtual reality, interactive simulations, and multimedia so as to elicit student involvement and help the students grasp the content better. By incorporating tech-Assisted instructions, teachers can be able to create meaningful learning environments and models. For better learning outcomes to be achieved, adaptive learning technologies, for instance, apply data analytics in the personalization of lessons taught. Transition to student centered approach makes it possible to use a more complicated approach to teaching that can embrace one or several velocities and styles. Also, the shift to the digital learning process requires an assessment of traditional educational models of teaching. There are numerous advantages in the use of technology in learning, but teachers cannot avoid changing their teaching strategies and be abreast with advancements in technology. That is why they require professional development and help to integrate technology into their classroom, and to keep themselves in touch with the new tendencies and techniques available.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

None

REFERENCES

Almarashdeh, I. (2016). Sharing instructors experience of learning management system: A technology perspective of user satisfaction in distance learning course. Computers in Human Behavior, 63, 249–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.013

Bali, S., & Sharma, M. (2017). A qualitative analysis of digital literacy skills among undergraduate students in India. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology, 13*(1), 133-141

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. *International Society for Technology in Education*.

Bond, M., Marín, V. I., Dolch, C., Bedenlier, S., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2018). Digital transformation in German higher education: Student and teacher perceptions and usage of digital media. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 15(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0130-1

De Freitas, S., & Neumann, T. (2009). The use of 'exploratory learning' for supporting immersive learning in virtual environments. *Computers & Education, 52*(2), 343-352.

Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018

Gallagher, S., & Palmer, J. (2020). The changing landscape of higher education post-COVID-19. *The Observatory on Borderless Higher Education*, 1-9.

Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. The Internet and Higher Education, 7(2), 95–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001

González-González, I., & Jiménez-Zarco, A. I. (2015). Using learning methodologies and resources in higher education: What do students prefer? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 12(1), 52–64. https://doi.org/10.7238/rusc.v12i1.2457

Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2020). COVID 19 – School leadership in disruptive times. School Leadership & Management, 40(4), 243–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2020.1811479

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. Educause Review, 27(1), 1-12. Retrieved from https://er.educause.edu/articles/2020/3/the-difference-between-emergency-remote-teaching-and-online-learning

Jordan, K. (2014). Initial trends in enrolment and completion of massive open online courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 15*(1), 133-160.

Kahu, E. R., & Nelson, K. (2018). Student engagement in the educational interface: Understanding the mechanisms of student success. Higher Education Research & Development, 37(1), 58–71. https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2017.1344197

Laurillard, D. (2012). Teaching as a design science: Building pedagogical patterns for learning and technology. *Routledge.*

McGetrick, J. (2019). Cybersecurity in higher education: Mitigating risks in an evolving digital landscape. *Educause Review,* 54(2), 30-40.

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2010). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies*. U.S. Department of Education.*

Picciano, A. G. (2017). Theories and frameworks for online education: Seeking an integrated model. Online Learning, 21(3), 166–190. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v21i3.1225

Selwyn, N. (2016). Digital downsides: Exploring university students' negative engagements with digital technology. Teaching in Higher Education, 21(8), 1006–1021. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2016.1213229

Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a discipline. American Behavioral Scientist, 57*(10*), 1380-1400.

West, D. M. (2012). Big data for education: Data mining, data analytics, and web dashboards. *Governance Studies at Brookings*.